

Mr. Mark Lynch
122 Maryland Ave., NE
Washington, D.C. 20002

7/10/84

Dear Mr. Lynch,

Because of unexpected interruptions it has taken me longer than I'd expected to draft comments on the government's brief. There also was more in it that I believed required comment than I'd expected from having read your and Hitchcock's briefs. Which are virtually ignored in this diatribe. In the short time before the last outgoing mail it is not possible for me to read and correct my terrible typing and make copies, so I will not be able to mail it before tomorrow.

After I read it I will probably write you further because I am very disturbed by it and see in it what you appear not to have seen, I presume because you merely scanned it in terms of the briefs to which it does not respond.

There are two basic and significant complete fabrications, outright lies, in it, the one I called to your attention by phone yesterday and the one about the judge observing my alleged relationship with Jim, i.e., domination for wrongful purposes, ^{in his courtroom and} over a five year period. They deliberately lie about the language of the Dallas request, which is essential for them because their own search slips prove they did not search, and they make this up for what I see but you apparently do not, more than merely prevailing in this case but as a basis for steps against Jim. Read again, * especially pages 44-7, please, and ask yourself if this is not a possibility.

I believe it is essential to move to expunge as whatever you elect to substitute for deliberately false and basic to the government's case, and my and Jim's defense.

Failure to do this can present Cesar and me with a truly terrible situation.

Both of these are at the least complete and deliberate fabrications.

I have and have checked diaries for the period in question. I was in court one time in this case, one time only, and then did not even sit with Jim because it was a pro forma business the DJ had asked for and he'd agreed to. There was no other calendar call until after the surgery that has prevented my attending them. Not one of the signatories to this brief has ever laid eye on me that I know of and I am certain I've never, ever, seen any one of them anywhere. On this fabrication they construct a case against Jim and their threat is scarcely hidden.. Thus they not only fabricated - not one of them was even in a position to know. I also enclose a copy of my Dallas request with the two paragraphs of it that they say are not in it marked to indicate its essentiality to their hoping to prevail without having made or attested to any search to comply with those paragraphs. This simply cannot be and nobody will believe it can be a simple error.

What I will send is 17 1/2 pages like this. With perhaps worse typing because the more I read the more disturbed I became. Sincerely, Harold Weisberg