

Dear Jin,

1/13/65

The thickness of this envelope does not reflect all of what I've done at my desk this weekend. And there were unexpected interruptions that tended to make me edgy because I wanted to clean all this up. Aside from my reduced energy level, Lil is still having trouble getting around because her chiropractor could not get to his office Thursday and had to cancel and since then she's spent much of the time, almost all day today, in bed with a painful neuralgia attack. So, I've also done all the copying, and that, on this nonautomatic machine, means two loads of paper, all that collating, and getting it all ready for filing. So, while I feel good having it done, I'm more tired. And annoyed because I can't stop to think about my antibiotic, so I now have another 50 minutes before supper that should have begun when I remember that I'd forgotten. And will be twice reheated. Our friend at the China Pearl brought it this afternoon. His nice wife cooked it for us before they opened because he was bringing some paper to Lil anyway. Boy God, she cooked it at home!

All of this is to explain that I may be a little fuzzy and will not now go into what I plan to do soon, perhaps tomorrow, prepare something, perhaps in the form of a letter to Lynch, on newly disclosed information bearing on official dishonesty and misleading of the courts, if not also perjury, in the field offices cases. It will be from what I'm enclosing for you and Mark, with a set of copies of the docs. The question is - and please do think about this constructively, not negatively - what mechanism does the law and practise and regulations - provide?

I suppose that it is always possible to go back to district court on "new evidence." But is it possible to get any of this in any form before the appeals court? And can you see any way in which it addresses your situation on fee assessments, etc.

Although I may write Lynch before I can hear from you, and I rather expected you to phone after reading what I'd sent, perhaps I won't or won't have time before you can respond, so what do you think of that?

There is some pretty raunchy stuff here and I want to use it. I can see possibilities of turning on some lawyers who are friends of or believers in Warren, even, perhaps, some of the Commission's staff. Imagine the FBI preparing dossiers on them after the report was out and Hoover and the FBI claiming that he prevented Warren from having a general counsel of his own choice, the norm!!! (Through Ford, the ex sess transcripts show.) It is clear that the FBI did not need discovery from me on the critics and that from these FBI records, readily available to Phillips, they could have complied at least in part with that Shenefield directive and that Phillips lied, if he did not do worse. and it is relevant.

Before I forget, in addition to what usually takes my mornings, this Thursday I'm a state witness in a local case and I do not know how long that will take. My summons tells me to be there at 9 and I've been phoned and asked to be there earlier.

How much more is there that you are going to send from this Hosty stuff, or how much more may I be able to extract? Should I know before I do anything more, or try to?

Now I'm going to take a mild valium and sit and watch something on TV and see if I can't unwind a bit.

Best,

11AH