ALL TO BE RESILEND - SEE LOCAL RULE 8 (8)

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 83-1529

September Term, 1983

Mark A. Allen, Appellant Civil Action No. 78-01743

V.

Central Intelligence Agency, et al.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia

Before: Wright, Wilkey and Scalia, Circuit Judges

JUDGMENT

This cause came on to be heard on the record on appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, and was briefed and argued by counsel. While the issues presented occasion no need for an opinion, they have been accorded full consideration by the Court. See Local Rule 13(c). On consideration thereof, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED, by this Court, that the order of the District Court appealed from in this cause is hereby affirmed for the reason stated in the attached memorandum.

United States Court of Appeals
for the District of Columbia Circuit

FILED MAR = 1 1984

GEORGE A. FISHER

Per Curiam For the Court:

George A. Fisher, Clerk

Bills of costs must be filed within 14 days after onter the court looks with distance upon the costs out of time.

MEMORANDUM

Appellant appeals from an order of the District Court for the District of Columbia denying his request for an award of attorneys fees in litigation under the Freedom of Information Act. We affirm the order of the District Court.

Section (a)(4)(E) of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552 (1982), provides that the Court may assess attorneys fees against the United States in any case in which the complainant has substantially prevailed. The District Court found that the appellant did not substantially prevail in his action. The District Court's finding on this issue can be overturned only if it is clearly erroneous. Crooker v. United States Department of the Treasury, 663 F.2d 140, 142 (D.C. Cir. 1980). The District Court's finding is not clearly erroneous, and we, therefore, affirm.