Dear Jim, re 82-~~itx~~ 1229 12/19/83

With luck and without interruptions I'll get this done in time to mail when I'm in town for two medical appointments after lunch and save a day on its reaching you. I'll write you further later.

The copy you sent me has an extra set of pages 24-45, so they may be missing from another set. If not one you filed I canr returns these to you to complete a set.

Something is omitted between pages 51 and 52. The bottom third of 51 is ~~kmnkx~~ blank and 52 begins with the last psrt a sentence that has no beginning. This kind of thing looks terrible to a court.

2. about middle, no Laurel County involved in that violence. I think that is where the federal court was, in the town of London.

4. end first graf, i have a question about "defende" in last line. Maybe accurate but not immediately obvious as quoted.

11. A. Crime Scene Photographs. This does not say that this is one of my 1969 requests and that is inportant to remember for oral argument.

14/ line 4 gives FBIHQ Murkin as 20,000 pp. More like 40,000 pp. This in line 12 necessary to insest "half" before "the number."

19. line 5, "dissenimated." Transpositions.

25F. Perhaps you won't want to touch it now byt the firmulation 9 lines up reads like a concession that "the Department reviverd no benefit" from the consultancy. Insert something like "allegedly" or "claimed."

34, bottom, 35, top. Louw not "of the Justice Department's Community Relations Service" of which you made ~~nox~~ mention where it is important, as a component not searched even though thebuncintested evidence is that it had pertinent information. Laue was with DJ, Louw with public EV.

39. line 10, notmonly "pre- Amended Act requests. Most ~~xxx~~ are post-amended and remain ignored.

41. line 6, insert /before "couple" an "a."

Some of the omissions are, I think, potentially significant, partivularly those I called to your attention not only in qriting but when we spoke. Because so many of the falsehoods in the government'sbrief are ignored I can easily see a soguation in which a court panel looking for excuses will have them ready-made. And on the consultancy, I do not understand why you omitted the fact that I had provided a list, which totally demolishes all their false represent tions.

I certainly did an awful lot of work for absolutely nothing.

In haste,

C