

Dear Jim, re King appeal brief, DJ

12/6/83

As I told you, I copied pages of the DJ brief and put them in subject folders. Time is short for both of us, so I've gone over these about which I've not written and I find only one possible subject not already adequately covered, to the degree I can, in what I've already sent you. I titled this one "dirtiness," of which there is plenty, of which you should make a point. I addressed it where they slur you by saying I attended all the calendar calls to keep an eye on you. I don't know what else there is in this but if there is anything that seems important and I do not include it now I'll do it as fast as I can. I have a package to make to send to a college and because I'm taking this to the post office to save time and that package is too large to fit into any mailbox (20 copies of Post Modern) so I have to go to the post office with it anyway, another time if not now. It is for a college bookstore because that book is used as a text. So, if not herein, as soon as I can, if there is anything significant in this folder.

I've made the package and have about 10 minutes after skimming this one file. In general, I wish you could come to understand that most people do not regard silence in the face of insults and slurs as good manners, as Alger Hiss should have learned and others as a result of his ignored learning. Most people, while they may not always believe that the insults ignored have a basis (as most do) will still consider it unmanly and suspicious if such rotten things are ignored. I think you should point out that this rhetoric is inappropriate, untrue, intended to prejudice and reflects the government's situation when making extensive representations that are contrary to the case record and outside of it.

Hurriedly, and wishing us both good luck,

The slurs and insults are addressed to both of us.

F