

82-1729  
Dear Jim, re King appeals

12/3/84

We've been iced in, it has been very cold and to keep the place warm enough I've had the door to my office closed until this minutes. And with an electric heater going it remains cold. One of the consequences of not being able to get out is the postponing of three medical appointments. Because I'll be going into town to mail this and get it in tonight's mail and because there is always the chance your mail may for once be on time, do not call me until after lunch on Thursday because one of these medical appointments following the therapy will take the entire morning. The second will not influence any call you might make and the one with "ufnagel" is for a week from tomorrow. Absent anything unusual, like bad weather or his preoccupation, I should be home before 2 p.m.

As soon as I received the DJ's newest flimflamery I read and annotated it. I enclose copies of those pages only. I'll be able to discuss them with you if you have the need.

It is again apparent that what I anticipated and prepared and sent to you that you ignored is relevant (and in one instance what I asked you to do that you would not do long ago is now held against me). so if you can now make any use of it you already have it, although it will be at least much more awkward to use it now.

In some instances there are outright lies but you agreed to say something about this in the brief and then didn't.

In some <sup>instances</sup> ~~instances~~, like citation of Howard bracketed with claims to only good faith, they are wide open for the kind of ridicule in which you are effective when you are not afraid to be.

You should be able to recall the kinds of things I annotate briefly, like the scope catalogue, not mentioned but lumped with what it isn't, gun catalogue, the importance is not that the catalogue was available from the manufacturer, which it wasn't, being out of date, but in what it disclosed of that particular model of scope on the rifle, ~~that~~ that it was a) ~~set~~ set at the wrong extreme for that alleged firing and b) that the FBI knew this and was silent. With regard to the rifle and ammo, the importance was not curiosity that could be satisfied by a different catalogue but what pertained almost a decade earlier to the model of rifle and ammo then current. This again is particularly true with regard to the sighting of the rifle. It also relates to the characteristics and behavior of the ammo and again to the FBI's knowledge, not reflected in the records disclosed. Also not reflected with regard to the scope. ~~REDACTED~~ Redfield.)

All in all, we made out OK. The windchills down to 50 below here knocked me out when I did no more than go to the mailbox. The protime ~~was~~ went crazy again, I got into the hemorrhaging range again but had only a slight one, and it is now down but shifting.

Especially because of the decision in spectro I think you should now make a real issue of bad faith with regard to my requests, as the case records reflect despite their galring lie about it, and include the current ones of which you have copies.

Because their honesty has not been attacked before it is more important to do it now, at least with some selections, and with vigor. (I've only put a ? where they claim the Bay of Pigs ms. was provided in response to a JFK case but I'm pretty sure it was a Birmingham record in this case that the court ordered them to disclose after I established its existence and they refused it. It also is my recollection that Shea did nothing in this case, no administrative action, until after the court involved him. His testimony, which I provided in what you did not use, refutes their claim with regard to the withholdings. In haste,