

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 82-1072

September Term, 19 81
Civil Action No. 75-00226

Harold Weisberg,
Appellant

United States Court of Appeal
for the District of Columbia Circuit

v.

FILED SEP 14 1982

U.S. Department of Justice, et al.

GEORGE A. FISHER
CLERK

O R D E R

On consideration of the motion of appellant for leave to file reply brief, time having expired, filed September 1, 1982, it is

ORDERED by the Court that the aforesaid motion is denied and the Clerk is directed to return the lodged reply brief.

Appellant earlier received an extension of time. No good cause has been shown for failing to request a further extension prior to the extended date on which the brief was due.

This matter was presented to, and ruled upon by, a member of the panel scheduled to hear oral argument on September 28, 1982.

For The Court

GEORGE A. FISHER, CLERK

By: *Robert A. Bonner*
Robert A. Bonner
Chief Deputy Clerk

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 82-1072

September Term, 19 81
Civil Action No. 75-00226

Harold Weisberg,
Appellant

v.

U.S. Department of Justice, et al.

United States Court of Appeals
for the District of Columbia Circuit

FILED SEP 16 1982

GEORGE A. FISHER
CLERK

O R D E R

It is ORDERED by the Court, sua sponte, that the order of September 14, 1982 be, and the same hereby is, vacated and upon further consideration of the motion of appellant for leave to file reply brief, time having expired, it is

ORDERED that the aforesaid motion is granted and the Clerk is directed to file the lodged reply brief. It is

FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that the motion of appellees for extension of time to respond to the reply brief of appellant is granted and the Clerk is directed to file the lodged supplemental brief of appellees.

For the Court:

GEORGE A. FISHER, Clerk

By: *Robert A. Bonner*
Robert A. Bonner
Chief Deputy Clerk