IN THE

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

HAROLD WEISBERG,

Appellant,

v.

Civil Action No. 82-1072

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,

ET AL.,

Appellees

. . .

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME WITHIN WHICH TO FILE REPLY BRIEF

Comes now the appellant, Mr. Harold Weisberg, and moves the Court for leave to file his accompanying motion for extension of time within which to file his Reply Brief. As grounds for his motion, appellant represents to the Court as follows:

- Appellant's Reply Brief is presently due on June 14,
 1982. For the reasons stated in his motion for extension of time,
 appellant cannot file his Reply Brief by this date.
- 2. Counsel for appellees has represented to appellant's counsel that appellees would not oppose an extension of two weeks but do not consent to an extension of thirty days.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES H. LESAR 1000 Wilson Blvd., Suite 900

Arlington, Va. 22209

Phone: 276-0404

Attorney for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this 11th day of June, 1982, mailed a copy of the foregoing Motion for Leave to File Motion for Extension of Time Within Which to File Reply Brief to Mr. William G. Cole, Civil Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530.