

Dear Jim,

10/23/84

I started on the Hoch case material and got as far as p. 5 of Briggs when the doctoral candidate who is here and promised to do a little file shifting for me said it was convenient. So, we've emptied the shallower file cabinet behind the door in my office and I'll have to be going over its contents for myself and for him. I'm giving that file cabinet to a friend and by removing it the passageway will be wider and safer for me. And what stuff we did find! Like a stack of these memo order forms I used so many years ago.

I'd just gotten to where Briggs pontificates and generalizes about foreign liaison. He does not say that he does not withhold what has been disclosed, and some has been, by both CIA and FBI.

Earlier, in the 10/12/84 Dube affidavit he did not say that he had checked to see if any had been disclosed. Still hasn't.

I'm going to read this and mark it up but absent some assurance that Paul is not going to cop out I don't want to waste any time preparing anything that is not going to be used.

I decided to skim the rest before getting to those moved files. He generalizes about other such claims, again avoiding prior official disclosure, such as where the CIA has stations. (He doesn't mention bases at that point.) Some have been disclosed and he does not say he is not withholding that information,

I'm inclined to believe this is an adaptation of a standard form affidavit because some of it does not appear to be relevant to the kind of information involved, such as his graf 16.

18 says he withholds in toto instead of redacting to protect sources.

20 Some cryptonyms have been disclosed, inc. in JFK case. Amlash, QJWIN, etc.

27 predecisional: three documents must include the one disclosed.

D