

Dear Jim,

3/16/83

Here is the affidavit for Paul's case. I've rushed to be able to get it typed so that I can get it to the postoffice in time for special delivery to get it to you tomorrow. I believe that because I nailed Phillips in the same lie twice earlier it can be more powerful before Robinson, depending on his mood and bent. You have the other affidavit provided in the Shaw case and I think you should use it because in it I provide the proper FBI file numbers for the non-existing criminal investigations he swears were involved. On the off chance that you do not find it I'm also including the FBI's published list of its own file classifications. I've not checked it thoroughly, as I did for the first Shae affidavit, but glancing at the beginning show that 3 and 14 can be two of the proper classifications for the crimes he says were being investigated.

Because he had been challenged, if not refuted on the identical claims in the identical language, I think this makes a perjury case, whether or not it is ever alleged. I do think that you should ask Robinson to determine it, whether or not he does or you think he does. It can be very important later, whichever way he may go, especially if there are Congressional hearings.

If I'd had worksheets I asked for or file numbers I'd have addressed this in other ways. As it is, I think it is best to use the earlier affidavit.

The accumulation of these things also can be of importance, as we discussed earlier. It would be good if you would get Mark to compile them all.

For your records, not for repaying me, the cash costs are xeroxing, \$4.00; mileage, 30 miles @ 20¢, \$6.00; and the special delivery postage on the envelope. There was no charge for the typing, so I'll pay my friend back somehow but you can't reflect that in your books. Also the phone call to Bernie yesterday when you and Bud were not in, was reversed, if he did not make a record of it.

Whatever any court may decide, the actuality is that Phillips is a professional perjurer. I think it is important to lay the charge before every possible court, and not only because some judge may do what should have been done long ago but because in the interest of the Act it should be done.

Please, next time, try not to cut my time so short. You should have sent me this when you got it if you wanted anything and you should have told me what you had in mind when you did send it. As it is I had only part of yesterday afternoon to get this done, read and to the friend who typed it last night for me to pick up after my morning's therapy. I'd have like to have time to have made more of a search for the misfiled first affidavit in the Shaw case because I think I could have done much more with it. I just didn't have time to go over the file classifications again because if I had I'd not have had the draft ready for retyping in time.

It really surprises me that the FBI keeps this whore on FOIA cases after the record we've made. Maybe it is because they can't get all that many whores these days, maybe for other reasons, but it is at the least arrogant and dangerous, so there is more reason for making it as dangerous as possible.

In haste,

114