

Dear Jim,

re Hoch's CA 82- 0754

3/10/83

If you want me to address them, I'm certain I can negate Phillips' declaration of 2/3 and reasonably certain I can do much with Haegele's. But I'll have to know the identifications of the records involved with Phillips at least and I'll need a copy of an affidavit I gave you for Gary Shaw's case, I think of the pictures of the march, where I addressed one of his lies in this declaration.

I think that if you have a good judge, what I can prove about Phillips ought make him unhappy. There is no doubt at all in my mind that in at least one area Phillips knew he was lying under oath and merely expected to get away with it.

Haegele's allegations are conclusory, boilerplated and not directly related to the records in question. I'm certain that the most cursory check will disclose that his catalogue of conjectured horrors comes directly from an EO and not from fact.

With Phillips, if he alleges the same laws were being enforced that he did in the Gary Shaw case, that will make it even better.

Because these are referrals at least the file numbers should be known. Do you have worksheets or slips on which referral is posted, with file numbers? I'll need them.

It might be interesting to compare Haegele's with some FBI filed in your case, Lesar v. DJ, before Gesell, if I remember correctly.

Who is the judge?

Best,