Weisberg also invoked Rule 60(b)(5), claiming that thus he should be granted relief from the judgement because it is inequitable. Among his reasons is that the underied felonies by which it was procured make it inequitable. The diestict court ignored this entirely, the government did not deny it, and without question there is no one-year limitation, represented as absolutely "iron-clad" by the district court: (Wright & Miller, Fder Federal practise and Procedure, Vol 11, p. 202

"The one-year limit applicable to some of the grounds for relief in Rule 60(b) does not apply to Rule 60(b)(5)" Weisberg did argue inequitability extensively, the district court ignorrs it, representing instead that there is an "iron-clad" one-year limit under this rule, which is not true, and it erred in ignoring Weisberg's undisputed claim to relief from the judgement based on its inequitability.