PAGE FOUR

THERE CERTAINLY IS REASON TO BELIEVE THAT GEMBERLING WAS MAKING REFERENCE TO THE OSWALD LETTER IN QUESTION;

61-2

GEMBERLING OF COURSE WOULD BE A VERY LOGICAL PERSON TO INTERVIEW; HOWEVER, HE HAD A HEART ATTACK APPROXIMATELY A MONTH AGO AND TRY AS WE DID, WE WERE UNABLE TO GET CLEARANCE FROM HIS DOCTOR WHO IS OUT OF TOWN, TO INTERVIEW GEMBERLING.

INTERESTINGLY, I CALLED SA GEMBERLING AND TOLD HIM IN THE ABSENCE OF A DOCTOR'S CLEARANCE, WE DID NOT INTEND TO INTERVIEW HIM. HE RESPONDED THAT HE WAS WILLING BUT UNDERSTOOD THE BUREAU'S POINT OF VIEW. HE VOLUNIEERED THAT HE REALLY HAD NOTHING TO ADD AND MENTIONED SPECIFICALLY THAT THE OSWALD LETTER WOULD HAVE ENHANCED THE DANGEROUS PROPENSITIES OF OSWALD. GEMBERLING KNOWS THAT HE ROSSIBLY WILL BE INTERVIEWED ON SOME FUTURE OCCASION BUT THE VERY FACT THAT HE VOLUNIEERED THAT HE HAD LITTLE TO ADD WOULD TEND TO INDICATE THAT THE INTERVIEW WOULD BE NEGATIVE.

FOR THE DIRECTOR'S INFORMATION, ON SUNDAY, AUGUST 31, THE SOURCE TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED MR. ADAMS TO ADVISED THAT HE HAD RECEIVED

ITEM 326