

Dallas Dept
Lish with Horn
Lab agents - WC, Wynn, book - words
Marine + my wife + me
Fettler } also 5 P&L's - added on 4/73
Potterson } records not 100% SA off. Susan Wadsworth, Hornon
Gamblering, Speech, Memphis agents
Hornon decision re WC
Kelley - historical cases

Hallings -
Wiseman off. + Kelly
"Markin"
Orfulation
Consultancy

Confidentiality - implied
How would SA do this
when investigating a
crim mat. base on that
knowing who would be
called as a witness?
or in W.C. investigation,
not knowing who
would be used as a
witness.

For Police + Intel sources
Records from the me:
Canada, England, Mexico
w/ra from - above, France, Italy
Germany Central America

attestations: Mason W - ms
on MM - Secret. Let results
Phillips for Specht + Anderson

promotions:
Hartough

insert

___/ I have also read the declaration of Peterson relating to justification for withholdings under claim to "national security." Aside from specifics I address below, like Pe Lieberman's declaration and the withholdings indexed, all reflect the intent to circumvent the disclosure requirements of the Act. The withholdings and the justifications reflect the intent to withhold. They reflect an attitude diametrically opposed to the finding of the attorney general in one of my FOIA lawsuits, that this subject matter is an important historical case and that as such a case it requires maximum possible disclosure. The practices reflected in these declarations and the withholdings is common within my experience. The FBI has many records on this subject that can be and have been seriously embarrassing to it. It also wants to discourage use of the Act. So, it withholds arbitrarily and capriciously and then there is a long and tedious period of trying to obtain information that ought never have been withheld to begin with - in these declarations withholdings that ought never have been considered - for capitulation to both its campaign against the Act and its intent to misuse the Act by converting it into an instrument for withholding. No matter what the FBI profits because even when it has been compelled to disclose, it has frustrated the courts and the plaintiffs and it has enormously inflated its statistics on the cost of FOIA, which it then misuses in an effort to have the Act changed to permit more withholding.

Q13(C)pb "When the meaning" of (7) - total withholding
p13 425(b)(2) 1(2) - sometimes disclosed in past
14 (2)-3 always disclosed in past
(2)-4 disclosed in past
(2)-2 " " " "
(6)3 sometimes " " " "
(6)4 " " " "

15
19 433
20 435 hit time - always disclosed
27

Did these disciplinary go public? and rather than "release" of names did FBI acknowledge ~~the~~
they had been disciplined and did it not also disclose records reporting the disciplining
(to me in l.f. records)
not only in SA's but in how FBI functions.

29 454 - Fake! There is no public interest not only in SA's but in how FBI functions.
30 458+59 Is this not already disclosed in IG report related records?
36 468 already disclosed and admitted to be disclosed by Hoover. Public interest is served
37 69 in such way as prohibiting evaluation of reports & other info.
40 475 usually confidential but implied. In FBI's of past parts concern, & when
these are not explicitly "confidential" sources grant public interest in
being able to evaluate info + FBI's use of it. Also to disclose annuities, etc.

I think Wickerman is used because he lacks knowledge of previous practices &
disclosures & so he can generalize out of context & without personal knowledge

41 75 - Big lie - published in 20, disclosed at archives, and at Hoover's orders
43 B If this said "would" some of it would be true. But when it says "would" it
is false

46 82 - The hit letters are not "unique" to that source
48 84 - This has been released, including to me, without any of the conjectured
hazards. Even the information provided was released in Xerox to me

49 E Ditto
49 F Ditto
51 90 Why the "monitoring information" what does that include?

6-4885-9 any
6-95-5897