wione

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEAL RECEIVED

HAROLD WEISBERG,

Appellant,

v.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY,

ET AL.,

Appellees

Case No. 79-1729

CLERK OF THE UNITED

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY TO APPELLEES' OPPOSITION TO APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUPPLEMENT RECORD, TIME HAVING EXPIRED

Comes now the appellant, Mr. Harold Weisberg, and moves the Court for leave to file his Reply to Appellees' Opposition to Appellant's Motion for Leave to Supplement Record, time having expired. In support of his motion appellant represents to the Court as follows:

- 1. Because of a brief due on May 28, 1980, in Weisberg v. U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Action No. 75-1996, and other committments, appellant's counsel was not able to begin work on the Reply to appellees' Opposition until the late afternoon of Friday, May 30, 1980.
- 2. Substantial work on the Reply was done on the weekend and it was completed on this date, Monday, June 2, 1980.

For the foregoing reasons appellant requests that his motion be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

AMES H. LESAR

2101 L Street, N.W., Suite 203

Washington, D.C. 20037

Phone: 223-5587

Attorney for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this 2nd day of June, 1980, mailed a copy of the foregoing motion for leave to file reply to appellees' opposition to motion for leave to supplement record, time having expired, to Ms. Margaret E. Clark, Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530.

TAMES H. LESAR