

JAMES H. LESAR, ESQ.
910 16th ST., N.W.
SUITE 600
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005

DAY/TIMER

Time-Saver

LETTER

IN REFERENCE TO:

FIRST CLASS MAIL INTER-OFFICE

FOR Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Road
Frederick, Maryland 21701

HOW TO USE THIS

DAY/TIMER

Time-Saver

LETTER TO SAVE TIME.

Type or write your reply in the space below. Then mail the white copy to us and keep the pink copy for your files. You'll save time and effort, and we'll have your answer much faster! Thank you.

MESSAGE

REPLY

DATE 9/10/80 last

Dear Harold: The enclosed article is very interesting and may prove helpful to us. I'm trying to obtain copies of Judge Schwartz' orders from Fullbright & Jaworski. Please note the last three paragraphs on the second page of the article. This may be the most important part for us.

It looks like Delaware may be the only state in the union with a judge who believes that Congress meant what it said when it called for prompt disclosure.

Maybe we should file suit in Delaware next time.

Jim

SIGNED

Fine decision, long overdue. I like graf best. If judge is my age he's not a Wilmingtonian of my time, but he could be from downstate or elsewhere. If sh decision sticks the time and cost of FOIA litigation will go down much and new stonewalling devices will have to be invented. But a little of this and less tolerance of untruth can make a big difference. I also like his refusal to accept the government's responsibility for it...Of course it makes a big difference if you are a corporate plaintiff, with the \$\$\$s to buy a big name and the courts can see important financial interests involved. They all tend to favor financial concerns and interests. The campaign against the Act seems to avoid them. Thanks. HW 9/11/80