Dear Bernie.

I've written your father twice about a simple thing Jinwas going to do for me before he fled to civilization, or perhaps from it, and because he has not answered I wonder if he is away. Of course, I know he may be too busy with Jim away. But if he is away, perhaps you can let me know what I'm looking for. Not thinking that Jim would not do what he said he would, I didn't keep the Post story that reported a bit about a sort of public interest law group I want to ask to represent me. Chiefly because I think they'll not be worried about the kind of retaliation other lawyers might well anticipate and fear.

Both man and wife at the top or perhaps the whole thing are lawyers. The man was with a prestigeous law firm and returned to college to study religion. They represent one or both of two correspondents, the woman a Canadian using her maiden name Honey and the man wounded when the Contras tried to assassinate one of the dissident Contra leaders. The name of their group tegins Christ and as I recall there are three additional letters. I have no DC phone book and the information operators won't give addresses and I don't have the whole name now. I believe that the story said they are in the Dupont Circle area. Their suit includes the CIA, so it may be possible that I may be able to help them.

"ork is not easy for me now but I'm plugging away at my brief, having gotten an extension of time. I don't want to waste any of my flagged energy if I am not going to have a fool for a client.

Your father, to whom please give this if he is around, may be interested in knowing that after the heavy dose of discretic I've been on for five years was cut by half to only twice the normal dose I have a little less of that washed-out feeling and the discretic is no less effective.

I also told your father that I'd written im Garrison about an FBI record I got from Henry Hurt in which it reported that one of its sources reported to it in 1954, of first-person knowledge, that Shaw was both gay and S-M. It did not then occur to me that because at the time of his confirmation - and this record has that date, 3/2/68 - the FBI publicly called Ramsey Clark a liar over this, he might want a copy if he ever writes about his life as AG. So, if any of you has Clark's present address or can get it easily, I'd like to send him a copy. (The FBI reported two additional such reports not firstperson.)

If Jim hasn't told you, pro se I've reduced this case to the judgement against me and that to such things as entirely underied perjury, fraud and misrepresentation as the sole basis for it. Smith was great even for the Smith we all know. He went to some trouble in his Memorandum to say that because of his consideration for my pro se status he'd made a real review of the case record and then flaunted his gross ignorance of it by saying this is a suit for King assassination records of the New Haven FBI office, the latter three times. He also cribbed one of the FBIs fabrications, which I'd corrected and to the FBI was significant, as Smith doesn't understand because he knows nothing at all about the case. If he had he'd have clabbered me over that. I wish he had!

Hope you are all well and happy,

"arold Weisberg