2/6/87

Attn: Ms. Christine Whittaker or successor,

Re: 86-5289/90

Enclosed, exactly as it was returned to me in today's mail, is what I believe is the $^{\rm O}$ pposition I filed to the Department's Motion for Summary Affirmance late last year.

The date on which I mailed this at the local post office is partly obscured by the return cancellation, 1/30/87. That date is stated on the enclosed Opposition.

As you will see, this languished for quite some time in the Department and in the end had the not tion "not listed" written on, I pretume within the Department.

In order to speed delivery to you I drove into town to the post office because out in the country there would not have been any pickup until my mail was delivered the next day.

I'll do that again with this to avoid an even longer delay because if I do not and it is picked up tomorrow, a Saturday, it will, with all other Frderick mail, go to Baltimore for rerouting.

I am sorry about the delay but I am not responsible for the Department's statement that Ms. Whittaker is not there.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

Hardelleuby

cc: Clerk, appeals court

