

7/31/85

and WBE
Dear Mr. Stern,

Because it is obvious that among those who decide what is news official felonies are not news, I'm sending my newest filing for your information. If you want their Opposition, I'll be glad to send it.

Last night I caught only part of the evening news telecast relating to the FBI's teamster fink who isn't being prosecuted. It reminds me of what, with luck, might lead to a followup.

There is a little-known FBI component known as the Top Echelon Informant Committee. The FBI has its own definition of top echelon. But this guy seems to fit.

As I understand it from accidental disclosure to me years ago, all decisions relating to a top echelon fink must be made by it.

This would seem to require records that might be interesting.

Although as you've probably learned the FBI resists almost all disclosures, it seems that with official acknowledgement that this guy is their informer they cannot claim FOIA's exemption to withhold those records. All it would seem that they can properly do is withhold within the records.

I wish it were possible for me to do the legal research because I do not expect Judge Smith ever to run out of whitewash in any FBI or CIA case, but aside from Rule 60(b) I believe that there is no statute of limitation on fraud.

If you've had time to read what I've sent, I think you may believe as I do that this new evidence is really overwhelming. And by normal standards, Phillips is really nailed because he was and is supervisor in both cases.

Best wishes,

Keenan