

Dear Jim,

8/13/85

You were to have sent me a copy of the rules relating to Motions for Reconsideration but I've not received it or them. Because there is a flexible limit on the time and the flexibility may be misused against me I've decided to prepare what I anticipate will be appropriate, without pagination, and I'll put something at the front, perhaps also at the end or in the in-between provision I'm making by starting each section on a new page.

After I completed the draft and while Lil is retyping it as and when she can I made an insertion and then, on reflection, decided to eliminate what I believe is an appropriate part of my lecture. I enclose a copy. I'd rather have included it but as I thought about this while in the morning's therapy I decided it is wiser to leave it out. What I say is unwelcome enough without it.

As you'll see, the United States Attorney's office slit my letter open, then Xed out the address on the window, they stamped it return to sender. So, all I did is type Di Genova's name on a xerox and along with the letter and a xerox of the returned envelope send it back to him.

I was about to say, "What people!" But they aren't people.

I'm surprised not to have received anything from Smith. After two weeks!

Is he slipping?

Or cooking something up?

Or, maybe, thinking?

Best,