If you read what I sent you some months ago that I'd filed with the federal appeals, gourt in this long-lasting litigation, the enclosed motion, which I'll file toworrow, different in form, in content, in what I ask of the court and in my, in effect, putting my own head on the block and in my seeking sanctions against the government, which has, to now, obtained them against me. As you will see, I use new evidence disclosed by the very FBI agent who swore falsely with regard to it. SA John N. Phillips is the FBI's supervisor in my case and that of a friend who provided the FBI records I use.

In my reporting days, which probably ended before you were born, any kind of official mendacity was usually regarded as news. In recent years it has not been and is generally accepted and ignored by the press.

There have been a couple of recent exceptions, Devine and Reynolds, so I have some hope that when it is to the courts and is as well proven as in this case, maybe someone will pay some attention.

The degree to which I proved official falsehood to the court in this case, which is separate from this Rule 60b approach, is pretty high. The FRI and its counsel rarely told the truth even by accident. The case record is too large for any reporter to find the time to examine it, but if you have any such interest, it is available here, at the clerk of the court's office or in that of the lawyer who represented me until DJ created a conflict of interest. He is Jin Lesar, 918 F, NW, 393-1921. Mark Lynch, who represented me on appeal, is with the ACLU Foundation and it out of town until next month.

If you have any interest, if I can help in any way, please let me know. I am concerned about evil precedent involving others, not me, about what will be the de facto nullification of FOIA, and I'm glad to report that the also evil precedent that was a threat to lawyers has been overturned.

Sincerely,

Mille