

Office of Professional Responsibility
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

7/9/85

Dear OPR,


Tomorrow, in C.A. 78-0322/0420 I will be filing the enclose motion. I send it to you without expectation that you would consider doing anything about any offenses by employees of the Department or any of its components, based on prior experience; and with the expectation that as, in the past, you will find some way of smearing on an adequate coat of whitewash, ~~as in the past~~. This is not the first time I've called the felony of perjury to your attention and this is not the first time it is beyond question.

Despite this expectation and lack of it I send you this copy to meet my obligations as a citizen. Whether or how you meet your obligation is for you to decide.

This motion alleges and I think proves more than perjury, I believe with adequate documentation. It addresses the conduct of both FBI and Department employees in this litigation. It iz not easy for a layman to believe that any employees would engage in any such conduct if they had any reason to believe it was not what was expected of them. This suggests possible complicity of their superiors. Some of these superiors are signatory to what the Department filed.

If after what the record of your office leads me to expect, straining at gnats and picking at nits, you would like any additional information, I'll provide any I have, and there is more than is reasonably interpreted as fraudulent and consistent false swearing throughout this litigation.

Sincerely,



HAROLD WEISBERG
7627 OLD RECEIVR RR
FREDERICK MD 21701



A