

Dear Jim,

7/5/85

What you sent me on equity came today. Thanks very much for it. Mark had sent me Rule 60b so I was able to invoke it (I think) properly. As I read it, by the way, whatever happens I can still file under it if I can go after the bastards. *

I rushed to complete the draft so that Ed would not be under any pressure in retyping it because she hasn't been feeling very energetic, has had sinus and arthritis trouble and lost her voice, which is not unusual, for a couple of weeks. In addition, she is having to learn a new typewriter. So, she is nibbling away at it because I have (and I love this!) until Bastille Day to file. Because she is well into it I'll not alter the beginning but right off I found a nice definition of equitable and inequitable as just and unjust and I'll work that in at the end somewhere. Before reading further into what you sent because I think I need no more now. But I will go into it because I think a reasonable interpretation of what it says based on Gaines v. New Orleans also means that I have a cause against those bastards. (If I can find a lawyer with both the backbone and spirit.)

It has been hot and muggy and I've been more tired than usual ever since the trip to see the Orioles so I've done less outside but I've sprayed and today I stacked a little wood (and feel somewhat better for it) and when I stop sweating I'll prune the pines that impinge on the lane. Unless it then is too muggy. When I do that I'll return to what I've been doing while Ed types, writing covering letters to the yellow ones to whom I've sent copies before in the media. I thus can have everything ready to mail at one time and have only one trip to the post office. Then I'll return to reading what you sent and marking it up for possible future use.

Until I can read what I've written in the form of clean copy I'll have no idea how clearly I presented it or how completely. I am inclined to believe that while it has defects it is adequate and in terms of proof and evidence is overwhelming.

Their fraud and perjury are so obviously knowing and deliberate that there really ought to be an action against them for their wrongdoing, only it seems that all the lawyers in Washington are terrified. LaHaie has it coming to him in particular.

* For up to a year I've time.

If Bud wants to accomplish something of meaning he'll try to get some press attention to this because with attention very much can follow and happen. He'll probably ho-hum because, like all you lawyers, you have adjusted to and come to accept any kind of official misconduct, including felonies.

Thanks and best wishes,

