

Dear Jim,

3/16/84

The Judge Pratt of the Medina County case is the same judge we know and have no reason to love, ~~as~~ a consistent judge who flaunts his contempt for the appeals court (which can be regarded as a form of subversion) in this case as he articulated it in 226.

Medina was more fortunate with the panel.

I think that case and the government's practises in it is part and parcel of the official campaign against paying counsel fees and costs.

Yet recently the Post had one of its "liberal" editorials, going the exact opposite way. I clipped it but mislaid the clipping.

H

CA