

Dear Jim,

7/4/83

When I finished the draft of the affidavit I'd is retyoing I thought of addressing in it the slanders of the FBI's records about me. I believe also that on the sly the FBI gave copies of some, or showed them, to LaHais and the other lawyers. There was a time, before amending of the Act, when they sent this kind of garbage to the Department, to the AG's, deputies and others, so there may be even be copies in Civil. I'd not be a bit surprised to learn that they did this with the judges, improper as that is, and I know they sent such stuff to Memphis.

There also is the question of the his orical records left in the litigation records. Aside from the fabrication about allegedly celebrating the Russian revolution into which a religious gathering was transferred, I believe there is no refutation or denial in the record.

Two things occurred to me. One is using the letter Abe Fortas, Thurman Arnold and Paul Poerter signed praising me for my own part in what they called my "vindication" is the State Department matter, there is what I've been telling audiences that reflects my views, that despite its failings ours is the best form of government man has yet devised, how unique FOIA is and that, witness the 1974 FOIA amendments, the system can work.

If I state this ~~was~~ on my own now those who do not want to believe won't. But this coming Saturday I expect to receive a tape of an old broadcast I made on the FM affiliate of WMAL. I don't know its call letters. Here's how.

A producer at WBJC, the Baltimore WRJA-FM, who is also in commerial radio, happened to hear that broadcast. He got a tape of it because he was so impressed. He then had the idea of interviewing me on the 20th anniversary. He's coming up to do that this coming Saturday, the 9th. He asked me if I'd like the tape and thinking of Dave, said I would. Now I don't have any recollection at all of what I was asked or what I said, but I'll be surprised if what I refer to isn't in it. I always said it, ~~as~~ unless it was edited out, it will be. And then I can quote it.

And to reasonable people, it should be the proof of the pudding. No reasonable person will believe that a pro-Communist or Pro-Soviet and anti-US person would say the opposite when he is reading a fairly large audience. When one reaches an audience is when one wants to get his actual views - or his propoganda if he is propogandizing anything - across.

Might it be a good thing to have in the record in the event there is an appeal, where there is already propoganda against me/us? I believe that the first spectro request, from FBI files, can be usely that way, too.

The Fourth is an appropriate time to wonder whether many of the minds we deal with, as adversaries, concieve the early American patriots to be subversive by their current standards. They certainly do not hold many of the same beliefs and they do not practise them.

It would not be long, I may draft it anyway if I've not heard from you about this, but I'm asking what you think.

Best,

I