

Dear George,

3/16/83

This is an affidavit I'm sending Jim for use in Paul Hoch's case, C.A. 82-0754. I did not have time to locate the earlier affidavit I provided for a Gary Shaw case. In it I go into how the FBI would have filed the records in question if they had been intended as part of the three investigations Phillips lies about. As you will see, after I proved he'd lied in making the identical - word-for-word-allegation in the Shaw case, he repeated it, verbatim.

I had only part of an afternoon for this, I'm sorry to say.

I regard Phillips as a professional perjurer. His sworn lies in FOIA cases have escalated their costs enormously and frustrated the Act.

I'm sure Jim can provide the first affidavit I provided for the Shaw case.

If you should want the Phillips and Haegle declarations, Jim can provide them.

My wife is making the copies now so I can get this to the post office for the only outgoing mail for Jim because he has to file whatever he files in the Hoch case not later than Monday, so there isn't time for more copying her at this time.

I've asked Bud and Jim to compile the Phillips perjury record. (When I use this word it is not because I think any court is willing to face FBI perjury, although I don't think there is one in Washington that is not aware that this is an FBI FOIA practise.) I've suggested that they might get Mark to do the work on it. Right now it is too much for me, even if most of the case is in my own affidavits. And there has been no direct confrontation on them and no real effort to refute any part of them.

But who knows, maybe there will yet be a judge who isn't afraid.

Or maybe other attention can lead to this.

In any even, once the record is compiled, it can be available for the Congress, if there are hearings on the Act.

Best wishes,

AL