3/15/13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

HAROLD WEISBERG,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action Nos. 78-322 and 78-420 (Consolidated)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION,

Defendant.

ERRATUM

On December 6, 1982, defendant propounded a set of fourteen interrogatories to plaintiff. On March 8, 1983, plaintiff's counsel filed blanket objections to all of those interrogatories. In addition, he objected to interrogatory no. 8(b) because a typographical error rendered it meaningless. (The number "4b" in the interrogatory should have been "8a"). Although the context of the interrogatory clearly provides what the correct number should have been, the defendant now formally states that interrogatory 8(b) on page 5 of its First Set of Interrogatories should read as follows:

> Identify each and every document and/or other source upon which plaintiff relies in support of the contention referenced in interrogatory no. 8(a) above.

> > Respectfully submitted,

J. PAUL McGRATH Assistant Attorney General

STANLEY S. HARRIS United States Attorney

Dordon hil

43

5.0 LaHAIE

Attorneys, Department of Justice Room 3338, Civil Division 10th & Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20530 Telephone: (202) 633-4345

Attorneys for Defendant.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this <u>JSK</u> day of March, 1983, I have served the foregoing Defendant's Erratum, by first class mail to:

> James H. Lesar, Esq. Suite 900 1000 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209

Haine LaHAIE