Another aspect of this ***EMMAPHENEST" this underied "concerted campaign of misrepre entation" is the FBI's attestation to having made exhaustive and "multi-tiered"
searchesto complay with Weisberg's requests when in fact it never made any such searches. 1/

1/ Extensively until his artieral surgery of September 1980 and to the degree he could thereafter Weisberg was in regylar communication with and had numerous confe rences with the director of appeals who, at one point admitted, that the FBI had never made any searchds to comply in Dallas but I in New Orleans had at least made a pretense of searching to comply.

When Weisberg obtained copies of the alleged search slips allegedly made to comply (sed Exhibit with his requests he found that Dallas never made any and long after claiming full compliance made its first searches, then at the direction of thebappeals office and (see exhibit then only a shallow pretense of searching. New Orleans merely substituted hand-copied records of an entirely different search of almost a year earlier, but even those irrelevant search records listed relevant records that were not processed and still remain withheld. Complicating this even further, FBI SA John N. Phillips, its supervisor in this matter, attested that its ("multi-tiered" searches include utter irrelevancies in the searches in the sear like the recofds on one Sam Collier, but this is not included in the attested-to search slips. In its shallow pretense of searching Dallas omitted relevant records the existence of which the FBI had already acknowledged and with regard to two of the larger and more embarrassing scandals, both involving the Oswald case agent, James P. Hosty, Jr., with many hundrals, if no thousnads of pages already disclosed, gihat that search slip is entirely Mank. Weisberg attached copies of these phony search slips to an affidavit, annotating them to provide the titiles of the file numbers listed. In response, the FBI merely swore falsely again, that these were in fact there were its original records of the searches made in this litigatikn, on the face of it false and obviously impossible. The district court chose to believe the faisexandxinpossible in the district court chose to believe the faisexandxinpossible in the district court chose to believe the faisexandxinpossible in the district court chose to believe the faisexandxinpossible in the district court chose to believe the faisexandxinpossible in the district court chose to believe the faisexandxinpossible in the district court chose to believe the faisexandxinpossible in the district court chose to believe the faisexandxinpossible in the district court chose to believe the faisexandxinpossible in the district court chose to believe the faisexandxinpossible in the district court chose to believe the faisexandxinpossible in the district court chose to believe the faisexandxinpossible in the district court chose to believe the faisexandxinpossible in the district court chose to believe the faisexandxinpossible in the district court chose to be district court chose to be districted in the dist

ies, o

wanted to file an unnecessary, inappripriate and costly <u>Vaushn</u> index. as Phillips om Marsh 2, 1982 attested, such an index, if full, would cost the FBI 126,000 man hours if full and On 1,300 if a 1/100 index./Weisberg then filed his offer in response to the court's suggestion. The court ignored his motion. Weisberg believes this was an error and that in it is the court denied him a right

limitations it imposes upon him prompted Weisberg to seek to dismiss the litigation, with prejudice against himselps In response the defendants insisted that instead they