JAMES H. LESAR ATTORNEY AT LAW 1000 WILSON BLVD., SUITE 900 ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22209 TELEPHONE (703) 276-0404

April 28, 1982

Mr. Harold Weisberg 7627 Old Receiver Road Frederick, Maryland 21701

> Re: Weisberg v. Webster, Weisberg v. FBI, Civil Actions 78-322/420

Dear Harold:

•

Enclosed is a copy of page five of the affidavit by SA Phillips. Somehow it got stapled together with the original of Phillips' affidavit, rather than with the copy sent to you.

Sincerely yours, James H, Lesar

The above materials encompass all of the films and tapes which were in the Dallas and New Orleans files at the time those files were processed in response to plaintiff's instant FOIA request. Although other films and tapes were sent to FBIHQ during the investigation, they are involved in the pending administrative appeal of plaintiff's separate FOIA request for FBIHQ material. Finally, some photographic material was returned to the contributor without a copy being retained by the field office. In no instance were files loaned out by the FBI.

To make a list -- as plaintiff requests -- of all films, tapes and pictures which were <u>originally</u> in the Dallas and New Orleans files would require the Bureau to review every evidence envelope which is prepared for every item in a "lA" enclosure and every Bulky Exhibit Inventory sheet which is prepared for every "lB" or "bulky" in the files. These envelopes and inventory sheets usually contain a written note as to the disposition of the item. Since the FBI has provided plaintiff with a copy of all the "lA" envelopes and "lB" inventories, he has the capability for determining for himself the disposition of any films, tapes, etc., which he claims are missing.

Finally, during the administrative appeal of the instant FOIA request, plaintiff complained to Quinlan J. Shea, Jr., Director of OPIA, that certain items were missing from the "lA's" and "bulkies." By letter dated July 6, 1979 (see Exhibit 5 attached hereto), plaintiff was provided with an explanation for the whereabouts of those items which he thought were missing. Notwithstanding that explanation, plaintiff still conclusorily

\*/ See Exhibit 4 attached hereto.

- 5 -