1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 3 HAROLD WEISBERG, 4 PLAINTIFF, 5 ٧.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 78-322 6 WEBSTER, ET AL., 7 DEFENDANTS 8 HAROLD WEISBERG, 9 PLAINTIFF 10 ٧.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 78-420 11 FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, ET AL., 12 DEFENDANTS 13 WASHINGTON, D.C. 14 MARCH 10, 1982 15 THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER CAME ON FOR A STATUS 16 HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN LEWIS SMITH, JR., UNITED 17 STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, AT 9:30 A.M. 18 APPEARANCES: 19 JAMES H. LESAR, ESO. 20 FOR THE PLAINTIFF 21 HENRY LA HAIE, ESQ. WILLIAM WELBY, ESQ. 22 FOR THE DEFENDANTS 23 DAWN T. COPELAND 24 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 25

PROCEEDINGS

THE DEPUTY CLERK: CIVIL ACTION 78-322, WEISBERG V. WEBSTER.

CIVIL ACTION 78-420, WEISBERG V. THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.

FOR THE PLAINTIFF, JAMES LESAR AND FOR THE DEFENDANT, HENRY LA HAIE AND WILLIAM WELBY.

THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.

MR. LESAR: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WHAT IS THE SITUATION HERE, GENTLEMEN?

MR. LESAR: YOUR HONOR, THE GOVERNMENT HAS FILED THEIR MOTION, THE TITLE OF WHICH I CANNOT REMEMBER. IT IS BASICALLY --

THE COURT: CONCERNING THE ADJUDICATION OF CERTAIN EXEMPTION CLAIMS.

MR. LESAR: THAT IS IT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. LESAR: AND WE WILL BE FILING AN OPPOSITION TO THAT MOTION.

MR. WEISBERG HAS ASKED ME TO MAKE A PROPOSAL TO THE GOVERNMENT THAT WE FEEL WOULD LEAD TO A SETTLEMENT OF THE CASE WITH FAR LESS EXPENDITURE OF TIME AND MONEY THAN THE GOVERNMENT'S VAUGHN WOULD ENTAIL.

I HAVE NOT HAD A CHANCE TO FULLY BROACH THAT TO MR. LA HAIE, BECAUSE WE' WERE NOT ABLE TO GET IN TOUCH WITH

EACH OTHER THE LAST TWO DAYS.

HOWEVER, I EXPLAINED IT TO HIM BRIEFLY THIS MORNING AND IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY WILL REJECT THAT OFFER.

THE COURT: THE RECOMMENDATION IS FOR A SAMPLING TECHNIQUE?

MR. LESAR: YES.

THE COURT: WHAT IS THE MATTER WITH THAT SITUATION?

MR. LESAR: WELL, THERE ARE A NUMBER OF OBJECTIONS

THAT I WOULD WANT TO SPELL OUT IN A WRITTEN PLEADING BUT BRIEFLY --

THE COURT: WE ARE DEALING WITH QUITE A BIT OF MATERIAL HERE AS YOU KNOW.

MR. LESAR: I UNDERSTAND THAT.

THE FIRST PROBLEM FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE IS THAT MR.

WEISBERG HAS ADDRESSED MANY CLAIMS OF EXEMPTIONS AS THIS CASE

WENT ALONG AND AS DOCUMENTS WERE PROVIDED TO HIM AND HE HAS

WRITTEN THE OFFICE OF INFORMATION AND PRIVACY APPEALS OBJECTING

TO SPECIFIC DECISIONS.

WE THINK THAT THOSE APPEALS SHOULD BE DEALT WITH

FIRST AND SPECIFICALLY ADDRESSED, AND ONCE THAT IS ACCOMPLISHED,

THE DISPUTE WILL BASICALLY NOT BE ANY LONGER IN DISPUTE.

THE COURT: ACTUALLY, THIS MATTER SHOULD BE RESOLVED.

IT GOES BACK, AS YOU KNOW, TO 1978.

MR. LESAR: I DO, YOUR HONOR.

THE GOVERNMENT HAS --

THE COURT: AS A RESULT OF ITS SEARCH, THE FBI HAS REVIEWED 35,775 DOCUMENTS CONSISTING OF 148,196 PAGES. I THINK ALL THINGS MUST COME TO AN END AT SOME TIME AND IT IS TIME THAT THIS ENDED.

MR. LESAR: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THEY HAVE TO FOLLOW CERTAIN PROCEDURES UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT.

THE COURT: I AM AWARE OF THAT.

MR. LESAR: THEY HAVE NOT DONE SO IN THIS CASE.

WE CONTEND THAT MR. WEISBERG HAS A RIGHT TO HAVE HIS APPEALS

ACTED UPON AND THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS NOT DONE SO.

THE COURT: AGAIN, I READ THE MEMORANDUM. I DO

NOT SEE ANY REAL OBJECTION TO THAT. IT SEEMS TO ME TO BE

A VERY SENSIBLE SOLUTION TO THIS PROBLEM.

MR. LESAR: I WOULD PROPOSE THAT MY TIME TO RESPOND
TO THAT IN WRITTEN FORM HAS NOT YET EXPIRED.

THE COURT: YOU WILL HAVE YOUR OPPORTUNITY AND WE WILL SET A FURTHER STATUS BUT I WOULD SUGGEST A LITTLE BIT MORE REASONABLE AND FLEXIBLE APPROACH MIGHT BE IN ORDER.

MR. LESAR: ALL RIGHT.

MR. LA HAIE: MAY I SAY SOMETHING?

THE COURT: CERTAINLY. I DID NOT MEAN TO CUT YOU OFF BUT IT IS OBVIOUS THAT WE CANNOT RESOLVE THIS THIS MORNING

MR. LA HAIE: YOUR HONOR, I AM HENRY LA HAIE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.

WE WOULD CONCUR WITH THE COURT THAT THIS CASE HAS

 DRAGGED ON AND IT SHOULD COME TO AN END. MR. LESAR HAS

INDICATED THAT THERE ARE CERTAIN APPEALS THAT SHOULD BE DEALT

WITH. THOSE APPEALS HAVE BEEN DEALT WITH AND IN FACT, THE

REASON WHY THIS CASE HAS GONE ON FOR FOUR YEARS IS THE FACT

THAT THE OFFICE OF INFORMATION -- THE OFFICE OF PRIVACY AND

INFORMATION APPEALS DID REQUEST THE FBI TO REPROCESS THE

DOCUMENTS.

THOSE DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN REPROCESSED. THERE IS

NOTHING ELSE THAT THE OFFICE OF PRIVACY AND INFORMATION APPEALS

CAN DO AT THIS POINT, AND WE FEEL THAT THE CASE IS RIPE FOR

ADJUDICATION AND THAT A SAMPLING VAUGHN IS THE ONLY FEASIBLE

METHOD THAT WE CAN USE TO GET A SAMPLE OR A REPRESENTATIVE

SAMPLE OF ALL THE EXEMPTIONS THAT WERE CLAIMED BEFORE THE

COURT.

NOW, MR. WEISBERG COULD GO ON FOR MANY MORE YEARS

AND COMPLAIN ABOUT CERTAIN EXEMPTIONS. WE ARE READY TO PUT

IT BEFORE THE CCURT AND HAVE A DECISION ON THIS ONCE AND FOR

ALL.

THE COURT: MR. LESAR?

MR. LESAR: I WILL ADDRESS SOME OF THESE MATTERS MORE IN THE WRITTEN OPPOSITION THAT I WILL FILE.

THE COURT: WHEN WILL YOU HAVE YOUR WRITTEN OPPOSITION IN?

MR. LESAR: IT IS DUE MONDAY, I THINK, YOUR HONOR,
AND I WOULD HOPE TO BE ABLE TO DO IT BY THEN. THERE ARE

MR. LESAR: FINE, YOUR HONOR.

25

MR. LA HAIE: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: THAT WILL BE AT 9:30 ON THURSDAY,

MARCH 25TH, WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE.

MR. LESAR: THANK YOU.

(WHEREUPON, THE HEARING WAS CONCLUDED.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

THIS RECORD IS CERTIFIED BY THE UNDERSIGNED REPORTER

TO BE THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED HEARING.

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER