1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF	FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
3	HAROLD WEISBERG,			
4	PLAINTIFF,			
5) (CIVIL ACTION NO. 78-322		
6	WEBSIER, ET AL.,			
7	DEFENDANTS)			
8	HAROLD WEISBERG,			
9	PLAINTIFF)			
10) V.) (CIVIL ACTION NO. 78-420		
11	FEDERAL BUREAU OF			
12				
13				
14		ASHINGTON, D.C.		
15	5	JANUARY 7, 1981		
16	THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATT	THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER CAME ON FOR A STATUS		
17	HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN LEWIS SMITH, JR., UNITED			
18	STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, AT 9:30 A.M.			
19	APPEARANCES:			
20	JAMES LESAR, ESQ. FOR THE PLAINTIFF			
21				
22	DANIEL METCALFE, ESQ. FOR THE DEFENDANTS			
23	DAWA T. COOST AND			
24	DAWN T. COPELAND OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER			
25	;			

ميا

PROCEEDINGS

THE DEPUTY CLERK: CIVIL ACTION NO. 78-322, WEISBERG V. WEBSTER.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 78-420, WEISBERG V. THE FBI.

FOR THE PLAINTIFF, MR. JAMES LESAR AND FOR THE

THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.

DEFENDANTS, MR. DANIEL METCALFE.

MR. LESAR: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.

MR. METCALFE: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.

FOR THE RECORD, I AM DANIEL METCALFE FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ON BEHALF OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.

AS YOUR HONOR MAY RECALL, THESE TWO CONSOLIDATED

LAWSUITS ARE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT CASES IN WHICH

PLAINTIFF SEEKS RECORDS FROM THE FBI PERTAINING TO THE

ASSASSINATION OF JOHN F. KENNEDY FROM THE DALLAS AND NEW

ORLEANS FIELD OFFICES.

THE PARTIES THROUGHOUT THE LAWSUIT HAVE BEEN IN

AGREEMENT, I BELIEVE, TO ALLOW THE ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL

PROCESS TO CONCLUDE BEFORE WE DETERMINE WHAT, IF ANY, ISSUES

REMAIN.

WHEN WE WERE LAST BEFORE YOUR HONOR, WE WERE IN

THE MIDST OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL PROCESS IN WHICH

PLAINTIFF HAD CHALLENGED A NUMBER OF THE DECISIONS FROM THE

PROCESSING OF THE DOCUMENTS, THE VOLUMINOUS DOCUMENTS IN

THIS CASE AND PARTICULARLY HAD URGED THAT THE DEPARTMENT IN
THIS HISTORICAL CASE REQUIRE THAT THE FBI FAMILIARIZE THEMSELVES WITH ALL OF THE VOLUMES, THE MANY VOLUMES AND THE
EXHIBITS OF BOTH THE WARREN COMMISSION COURT AND NOW THE NEW
HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS AND THE PLAINTIFF
AND MR. LESAR HAVE BEEN VERY PATIENT TO ALLOW THE COMPLETION
OF THAT PROCESS, THE ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL PROCESS, AND I
CAN NOW REPORT THIS MORNING THAT THAT HAS BEEN COMPLETED.

AND AS A RESULT OF THAT PLAINTIFF HAS BEEN HELD

ADMINISTRATIVELY IN A NUMBER OF ASPECTS OF HIS CHALLENGE AND

THAT AFFECTS RATHER GREATLY WHAT HAS BEEN DONE, AND IT REQUIRES

THAT MANY OF THE DOCUMENTS IN LARGE MEASURE BE REVIEWED AND

PARTICULARLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NEW STANDARD OF

FAMILIARITY WITH THOSE TWO LARGE VOLUMES.

I HAVE DISCUSSED WHAT HAS TO BE DONE PURSUANT TO

THIS WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL AND I DON'T BELIEVE WE HAVE

ANY REAL DISAGREEMENT WITH RESPECT TO THAT. I DISCUSSED THAT

WITH MR. LESAR YESTERDAY.

ALSO YESTERDAY WE DISCUSSED THE APPROXIMATE TIME

TABLE BY WHICH THE FBI EXPECTS IN ESTIMATING AS BEST AS THEY

POSSIBLY CAN HOW LONG IT WILL TAKE FOR THIS TO BE DONE. IT

WILL TAKE MANY, MANY MONTHS.

WE DISCUSSED THAT YESTERDAY AND NOW MR. LESAR AND I THIS MORNING APPEAR TO BE IN DISAGREEMENT ABOUT HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE.

I BELIEVE WE HAVE AGREED THAT PERHAPS THE MOST

APPROPRIATE COURSE WOULD BE FOR COUNSEL TO GET TOGETHER AND

LOOK AT THAT FURTHER AND TRY TO COME TO COMPLETE AGREEMENT

AS TO A TIME TABLE THAT WE COULD PRESENT TO THE COURT AND

PERHAPS WE CAN REPORT BACK TO THE COURT WITH RESPECT TO THAT

AND HAVE ANOTHER STATUS CALL AT THAT TIME IF THAT WOULD BE

APPROPRIATE WITH THE COURT.

THE COURT: MR. LESAR?

MR. LESAR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

I AM JAMES LESAR, ATTORNEY FOR THE PLAINTIFF MR. HAROLD WEISBERG.

MR. METCALFE IS QUITE CORRECT, YOUR HONOR, IN THAT
WE ARE IN AGREEMENT ON A NUMBER OF THINGS THAT MUST BE DONE
AS A RESULT OF THE DETERMINATION MADE BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
SHENEFELD ON OUR ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL.

FOR THE RECORD, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO SUBMIT

A COPY OF THAT SO THE COURT CAN BE AWARE OF THAT DECISION.

THE COURT: YOU MAY DO SO.

MR. LESAR: I JUST WANT TO ADDRESS BRIEFLY THIS

PROBLEM OF THE TIME SCHEDULE. YESTERDAY WHEN I SPOKE TO MR.

METCALFE HE INFORMS ME THAT IN HIS CONSULTATION WITH THE FBI

AGENTS WHO HAVE BEEN HANDLING THE PROCESSING OF THIS CASE,

THEY INFORMED HIM THAT IT WOULD TAKE APPROXIMATELY TEN TO

FOURTEEN MONTHS TO COMPLETE THE REPROCESSING OF THOSE DOCUMENTS.

I SPENT SEVERAL HOURS LAST NIGHT GOING OVER SOME

3

4

5

6

7 8

10

11

12

13 14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

RECORDS WHICH TO ME INDICATE THAT THAT IS JUST A LUDICROUS AMOUNT OF TIME.

MY RECORDS INDICATE THAT THERE ARE COMPARATIVELY FEW -- A COMPARATIVELY SMALL PERCENTAGE OF THE RECORDS HAVE TO BE REPROCESSED AND THE FIGURE I WAS GIVEN YESTERDAY WAS PURPORTEDLY BASED ON PROCESSING FORTY TO FIFTY THOUSAND PAGES OF DOCUMENTS AND THAT THEY WOULD PROBABLY ONLY HAVE TO REPROCESS 5,000 PAGES AT MOST IN ORDER TO DEAL WITH THE DECISION.

SO MR. METCALFE AND I NEED TO SIT DOWN AND TALK THIS OVER AND GET CLEAR EXACTLY HOW MANY PAGES HAVE TO BE REPROCESSED AND IN WHAT AMOUNT, AND THE TIME IT WILL TAKE.

IF WE CAN'T COME TO AN AGREEMENT WITHIN THE NEXT COUPLE OF WEEKS THEN I WOULD ASK FOR THE COURT TO SET A SCHEDULE THAT I THINK WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IN THIS CASE.

THERE IS AT LEAST ONE OTHER ISSUE ON WHICH THERE IS DISAGREEMENT AT WHICH SOME POINT IT WILL SURFACE IF WE CAN'T RESOLVE IT IN DISCUSSIONS AND THEN WE WILL HAVE TO COME BACK BEFORE THE COURT AND THAT IS THE QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT THE FBI NEEDS TO PRODUCE ADDITIONAL NUMBERS OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT THEY HAVE WITHHELD AS "PREVIOUSLY PROCESSED."

OUR POSITION IS THAT THEY HAVE WITHHELD A LOT OF NUMBERS -- A LOT OF RECORDS AS PREVIOUSLY PROCESSED THAT ARE NOT DUPLICATES OF THE RECORDS RELEASED TO HEADQUARTERS.

THAT ADMITTEDLY IS SORT OF A DIFFICULT QUESTION

TO RESOLVE AND MY CLIENT IS WILLING TO COMPROMISE ON PART OF THAT, AND I WANT TO DISCUSS THAT WITH MR. METCALFE TO SEE IF I CAN PERSUADE THEM THAT SOME OF THOSE DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE RELEASED BECAUSE THEY ARE NOT IN FACT DUPLICATES BUT CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION THAT MY CLIENT IS ENTITLED TO.

SO, IN CONCLUSION, THEN, I WOULD AGREE WITH MR. METCALFE THAT WE SHOULD DISCUSS MATTERS OVER THE NEXT COUPLE OF WEEKS AND IF WE CAN'T, I WILL THEN FILE A MOTION SO THE COURT MAY DISPOSE OF IT AND I WOULD CONCUR THAT WE SHOULD HAVE ANOTHER STATUS CALL IN THE VERY NEAR FUTURE.

> THE COURT: DO YOU WANT TO SET THE DATE NOW? MR. METCALFE: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT WEDNESDAY THE 11TH. IS THAT AGREEABLE TO YOU, MR. LESAR?

MR. LESAR: I AM TRYING TO REMEMBER. I HAVE A TRIAL SCHEDULED FOR, I THINK, THE 7TH.

I THINK THE 11TH WOULD BE FINE.

MR. METCALFE: FOR THE RECORD, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO INDICATE THAT I HAVE ATTEMPTED TO HAVE THE FBI MAKE A MOST REALISTIC ESTIMATE AS TO HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE TO COMPLETE THIS PROCESS AND A TEN- TO FOURTEEN-MONTH PERIOD IS WHAT THEY HAVE INDICATED TO ME.

MR. LESAR CAME IN THIS MORNING INDICATING A FIGURE OF 5,000 PAGES, AND I HAVE NOT HAD A CHANCE TO EVALUATE THAT, BUT THE FBI HAS BEEN VERY COOPERATIVE IN THIS CASE.

1	THE COURT: ACTUALLY IT IS TIME FOR THIS CASE T	0	
2	BE MOVING FORWARD. IT IS A '78 CASE.		
3	MR. METCALFE: I AGREE.		
4	THE COURT: I KNOW THAT THERE ARE MANY DOCUMENT	S	
5	INVOLVED, BUT I DO THINK THAT WE SHOULD MOVE FORWARD. I	THINK	
6	THE ATTITUDE OF COUNSEL IS VERY GOOD.		
7	MR. METCALFE: WE WILL TRY TO MOVE IT ALONG AS	BEST	
8	WE CAN, YOUR HONOR.		
9	THE COURT: 9:30 THEN ON FEBRUARY 11TH FOR A FU	RTHER	
10	STATUS.		
11	I DO HOPE YOU MAKE A LOT OF PROGRESS BETWEEN NO	W	
12	AND THEN.		
13	MR. METCALFE: THANK YOU, YOUR HONCR.		
14	MR. LESAR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.		
15	THE COURT: DO YOU WANT THIS LETTER MADE A PART		
16	OF THE RECORD?		
17	MR. LESAR: YES, I DO.		
18	THE COURT: VERY WELL. IT WILL BE FILED.		
19	(WHEREUPON, THE HEARING WAS CONCLUDED.)		
20	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER		
21	THIS RECORD IS CERTIFIED BY THE UNDERSIGNED REP	ORTER	
22	TO BE THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTE	R.	
23			
24	Manual In Believe	_	
25	OFFICTAL COURT REPORTER		