## Dear Howard,

## 11/11/77

Today the Samietant Chief of the DJ Civil Division offered to hire as as a consultant, to pay me at consultant rates, to provide me with office space and such assistants as I might require and to pay my transportation costax so that we could work out a solution to the problems the FaI and other DJ components have created in the "ing case, C.A. 759 1996. Today I also declined the offer.

One for the books? Or !!!

You lawyers are trained to make on kind of notes. I have interest in others.

There were nine of them, from the No. 2 person in<sup>c</sup>ivil and the head of its litigation divisions in FBI to people from the FBI and Civil Rights and the DAG's compliance soction. (He never got to say a word. I don't know if he knows how luch he is.)

There is no doubt that after their claim to full compliance we are getting much more. There is a question about how much. But it will be good, whether or not as much as I'd like.

When these people have a chance to realize what happened today they will see, and the FBI will see, if it ever sees, that it lost such face.

It would up ith the assistant chief and ne working out the backs of a visble agreementif they can get the FBI to perform.

In the course of his considering my proposal aloud it turned out that is what I think is the real clobbering in and I gave the AUSA, the FBI FOIA supervisor and the representative of its office of Legal Counsel on the 2d they in total recreay started to do exactly what I said was a belated and minimal beginning point, the reprocessing of the 3,000 item entries on an index to 29 volumes of prosecutorial records.

It got pretty intense at some point but on each issue we faced them down, issue by issue, with a compromise of my offering on again assuming a burden of proof the law imposes on them as practicality toward reasonable compliance. I'll tell them what is public domain of what they withheld from these more assantial volumes. They will give me reprocessed index cards where they now agree they have no basis for withholding and the names, in the form of a list, on these where they make such clains atill.

Jim and I have always worked together in such situations about as well as anyone could ever hop: for two people to be able to do. In all these situations we have newer had a chance to really prepare. We do it by improvisation, really spontaneously. Today it took, emong its form, Jin's laying out for them their grin realities, usless, and me making the unless reasonable for them, with a firm new or else, what I'll do on each question and issue if they force me to. At several points it was fun despite what it really was and means.

On the question of withholding police names I'd just read the <u>Hully</u> decision on the bus, maked the language in point for Jin, and he read it for the first time as we walked down the DJ corridor. The rather nice lagigation chief pulled what she thought was a klincher on us, the second circuit decision of about a week ago in the Hins FOIA case, or the issue of records relating to one Jahmall. The judge would not even look at the stuff in camera, based upon affidavits provided. When she was finished I told them I know semething about Schmall, that he had been an intelligence agent and there was thus a different situation, and that 4 would not be in the position of the affidats. I was prepared to take the stand and give competent testimony on eachs contented withholding. At that X did not say nearly as much as I could have but it was enough.

They did up awful lot of backing down. We did not. They will do nore and if it is enough we will accept the practical situation. Enough will have to include what will be hard for the FEL, its learning to stop playing games with "is and me. One consequence may be that they'll seek to play the same games but with less dependence on getting away with them by raw power.

I believe the FBI is sort of thrown by people who are not afraid of them, who are willing to challeng them and do it regularly, who know shat they are talking about and make few if any mistakes, and who while not really squeezing them can be blunt as we were today and give them their alternatives, between doing what we want and facing what can be less to their liking in a court decision. We grew angry often enough. Not too much. Jim is great when it happens to him. (Wish it's happened more often!)

There came times when I said they had to realize the time had came when I would not be slided like a slami any more. Another than I refused to be put in the position of bearing their burden of proof for them. But would be helpful without assuming the burden.

Each time the top give made a supportion of how I could be helpful I told him his they had refused precisely that offer. 't began by fin's telling him that I had made offers that had been rejected. One is by the providing of a concolidated index of what is in books and court proceedings on the subject. By the time this has happened to many if not almost all of his suggestions the wind was protby and, out of that sail, when he cans to a list of names I refused outright to do it. I said I would give them subjects, but the Fill olefand that would not help. So I get back the indices they had east we know they had, which is where they had refused in our presence when 2 proposed it for the second or third they on the 2d. Take that did we loar that they hill have that ready soon.

There was a little banter before the top man was free, in his large outer office that be share a with the Division chief, unbara Babcock. The laughed and said he had bearned a story as a boy about the bigger they are the barder they fall. I was reminded of TR and said(before the TBI get there) that while I didn't think they'd think i was spanning softly I really was - and hoped I would not have to prove I was currying a big stick. The cost of the corning though have given the kewyers in idea of the biggeous of that stick.

There was a role reversal. It just happened that way, with is talking tough to them, farst trying to get them to see that their excissi course was to do as with the 26 vols., just make stuff available. They bridled. o is nudged them a bit by saying they so thheld nothing on blacks, only on white. When that shock them up much I added "and worsen-no privacy for them." There is much of this, even to who sired their bastards. I told them ou. To total FUI chlance. (They feel that way about porch anyway, "guess.,

When they complained about the amount of work I told them they were getting paid for it, I wasn't, that they had made these ervore and now claimed to be able to benefit from them because of all the work it would require to rectify them. So there were no more complaints about the amount of work that locans. I even told them that whereas I had begun by repeatedly offering a compromise, that if they'd clease the axpurgeted indices to the key volumes I'dencept that I told them that with the attitude and the passing of time I would not agree to being out the to the extra work use would require, having to keep going to ano from files to which & do not have easy accessed that A want the relevant records reproceesed with the indices. I dong't recall that they agreed but I'm confident it will happen.

They came up ith a farout interpretation of the stipulations and after correcting them on that I told them of their violation. It sturned the lawyers when im, in angur, said they'd held the records up to dump 6,000 disorganized pages on me at one time. I added that they were so heavy neither hil nor I could move them. Tame, by the say.

I can t recall anything on which they got any place at all. We beat them down on everything. They are left with their power, what they can get away with and our need not to spend the rest of our lives on this.

In the course of chatting with the young woman lawyer from Civil Aughts after it was all over we learned how they managed not to comply: they turned their records over to OFR, OFR claimed not to have to respond, and then instead of either responding or returning records they put them in storage. I think the judge will love that, as will these other people, who apparently don't know it. They will a wook from today, when we all met again.

We were back in the suit of offices in which I fell asleep after winning in 718-70 while to havyers tried to decide which would deasan hisself by watching se while I went over those records. The furniture hasn't been shifted. It is arranged exactly as in 1970. But rouphelstered, which may say it all.

I later found myself wondering how these FEI people, who must regard me as the menace move and his created, fult when I was asked to become the government's consultant in the case they are defending against me - with full staff, quarters, equipment and pay!