Mrs. Lynne K. Zusman Civil Division Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530 Dear Lynne,

Soveral hours ago I had a faint and dizzy spell. A former nurse's aid at the local hospital happened to be visiting us. She found my heart-beat slower than normal, my pulse irregular, so I sat quietly until it passed off. However, because I did and do not feel quite right mince then I've been reading records.

My doctor is aware of this. He does not want to make any further tests now because they are invasive and can entail some risk. I am to do what I am doing, take it easier.

One of the records I read states explicitly what I want you and your associates in defending against my FOIA suits to know. As your clients would put it, I am making you witting.

When you oppose counsel fees for my counsel and I cannot pay him I cannot in good conscience send this to him and ask him to write you. He can't keep up as it is.

In FBI 62-109060-Section 163 there is Serial 6605. It is a memo form the added text of which reads: "Attached for Bureau and Bonn is a copy of 'Truth Letter' received from Special Branch, New Scotland Yard." This is a copy of the original, signed by Legat Minnich (whose name has been withheld from time to time in other records).

The record provided by Scotland Yard is next in the Section. It is not withheld.

Both are directly opposite the representations your office has filed in several of my cases. In those case I have provided affidavits in response, with other proofs, really disproofs of these allegations attached. Your office received copies. After that you personally signed a motion in at least one case pretending otherwise, even after receipt of copies of other FBI records proving the untruthfulness of the FBI affidavits.

In another case when I was not able to make a file search I attested that I had records proving CIA affidavits to be false. Since then a student working in my files has found so many of these CIA records proving its affidavits to be false I have told her not to continue bringing them to me to make copies or noting the date she found them.

When I can I will give this to Jim lesar for any use he considers appropriate. If through the present fuzziness I recall correctly that case is before the appeals court.

If I remember correctly your motion in the FBI case states that you read my affidavit to which I refer.

There have been many attestations to practise and need to withhold information provided by local authorities and the names of those various officials. I want you to know that this is opposite practise in the general JFK releases that began in 1977, again as is clear in the records I've just read.

cc: Jim Lesar

Sincerely, Harold Weisberg