

0249

JL- More new evidence re 1997; more on frauds on courts IM 3/30/79

The attached records are relevant to the false claims contrived by the CIA and Civil Division in 1997, perhaps in 225.

The affidavits provided in 1997 proclaim under oath the most urgent need to never disclose where the CIA has stations.

While I could not get to preparing a short memo for you on this until now, actually I first saw it 3/12/79, which is after the Civil/CIA combo prevailed by perjury.

These pages are from FBIHQ 105-82555, Serials 5678 and 5679.

Throughout it is disclosed that both the CIA and FBI have Mexico City operations.

More, the nature of some of the work is set forth. Like the CIA got 22 pix of Cuban Embassy employees.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JUN 1 1972

TELETYPE

JB019 605PM RSP
STATE 656
3:05 PM URGENT 06-01-72 JSS

TO ACTING DIRECTOR (105-82555) NR 290-01
FROM LEGAT MEXICO CITY (105-3702) RUC 2P

Budwig

Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Campbell	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Wikard	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. S. ara	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

LEE HARVEY OSWALD, IS DASH R DASH CUBA.

REBUCAB MAY THIRTY ONE LAST.

COPIES OF PHOTOS DESCRIBED IN REBUCAB ARE NOT AVAILABLE
IN MEXICO CITY FILE. CIA STATION ADVISES ALL MATERIAL FROM
THEIR OFFICE WAS SENT THEIR HEADQUARTERS AND NO ITEMS REMAIN
AT MEXICO CITY.

IT IS NOTED PHOTOS FORWARDED BY LEGAT MEXICO AIRTEL MAY
TWENTY SIX LAST ARE APPARENTLY OF THE UNKNOWN INDIVIDUAL
DESCRIBED IN ITEM NUMBER THREE OF PAGE TWO OF LETTERHEAD
MEMO DATED FEBRUARY TWENTY FOUR, NINETEEN SIXTY FOUR, AND
PHOTOS DESIGNATED NUMBERS ONE AND TWO IN THE SAME LETTERHEAD
MEMO ARE ALSO OF THE SAME UNKNOWN INDIVIDUAL BUT THE THREE
PHOTOS WERE TAKEN BY CIA ON OCTOBER ONE AND FOUR, NINETEEN
SIXTY FOUR THUS ACCOUNTING FOR THE DIFFERENCE IN DRESS.

*Cable to Mex
LW/pld 6.7.72*

3 JUN 20 1972

105-82555

5678

5- [Signature]

MEX 105-3702 PAGE TWO

ATTENTION IS DIRECTED TO BUREAU CABLE NUMBER NINE THREE ZERO TO LEGAT MEXICO DATED FEBRUARY TWELVE, SIXTY FOUR, AND MEXICO CITY CABLE NUMBER THREE SEVEN SEVEN DATED FEBRUARY FOURTEEN, SIXTY FOUR, WHICH FURTHER EXPLAIN HANDLING OF PHOTOS AND INDICATE PHOTOS REQUESTED MAY BE LOCATED IN FILE OF DALLAS OFFICE.

END

GXC FBI WASHDC

cc. Mr. Lohmeyer

CODE

CABLEGRAM

URGENT

6/2/72

1 - W. A. Branigan
1 - L. Whitson

TO LEGAT MEXICO (105-3702)

FROM ACTING DIRECTOR (105-82555) *REC-31 5678*

LEE HARVEY OSWALD, IS - R - CUBA.

REURCAB NUMBER TWO NINE ZERO, JUNE ONE, ONE NINE SEVEN TWO.

DL HAS PHOTOS FURNISHED THEM IN NOVEMBER, ONE NINE SIX THREE,
BUT NOT THE ACTUAL CROPPED PHOTOS SHOWN TO PEDRO GUITERREZ
VALENCIA, FEBRUARY, ONE NINE SIX FOUR.

WHAT DOES YOUR FILE SHOW FOR DISPOSITION OF PHOTOS IN IA
FOLDER AFTER THEY WERE SHOWN TO PEDRO? WERE THOSE PHOTOS THEN
RETURNED TO CIA? WHAT PHOTOS DID YOU FORWARD TO CIA WITH YOUR
MEMORANDUM OF MAY FIFTEEN, ONE NINE SEVEN TWO, REQUESTING CONSENT
TO RELEASE TO PUBLIC OF CROPPED PHOTOS SHOWN TO PEDRO? SUCAB.

1 - Foreign Liaison Desk (Route through for review)

LW:pld
(5) *pld*

NOTE:

Bernard Fensterwald, local attorney, Being Department
under Freedom of Information Act for copies of photos displayed
to one Pedro Guterrez Valencia in Mexico in February, 1964.
Photos were obtained from CIA, Mexico, and backgrounds cropped.
Legat, Mexico, vague as to disposition of cropped photos.

- Felt _____
- Mohr _____
- Rosen _____
- Sisco _____
- Bishop _____
- Callahan _____
- Campbell _____
- Casper _____
- Cleveland _____
- Conrad _____
- Dalbey _____
- Miller, E.B. _____
- Ponder _____
- Soyars _____
- Walker _____
- Walters _____
- Tele. Room _____
- Mr. Kinley _____
- Mr. Armstrong _____
- Mr. Hastings _____

TELETYPE UNIT

EM/DEM

10/11/72
1/11
800

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JUN 5 1972

TELETYPE

JB030 701PM MXW

STATE 837

3:40PM URGENT 06-05-72 JSS

TO ACTING DIRECTOR (105-82555) NR 293-05
FROM LEGAT MEXICO CITY (105-3702) (RUC) 3P

Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Campbell	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. W. kart	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. S. ara	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

Handwritten signature

LEE HARVEY OSWALD. IS DASH R DASH CUBA.

Handwritten signature

REBUCAB JUNE TWO LAST SETTING OUT THREE QUESTIONS.

ATTENTION IS REDIRECTED TO BUCAB NINE THREE ZERO, DATED
FEBRUARY ONE TWO, NINETEEN SIXTY FOUR, WHEREIN REFERENCE IS
MADE TO THE PHOTO OF AN UNIDENTIFIED WHITE MALE, POSSIBLY
AMERICAN, HOLDING WALLET AND MONEY AND, IN ANOTHER PHOTO,
HOLDING A BAG OF TYPE-USED BY A MESSENGER. THESE ARE
PRESUMABLY THE PHOTOS BEING SOUGHT.

ANSWER TO QUESTION ONE:

THE MEXICO CITY FILE DOES NOT REVEAL THAT THESE PHOTOS
WERE EVER ENTERED IN ONE A SECTION, AND THERE IS NO REFERENCE
TO THEM EXCEPT IN BUCAB NINE THREE ZERO AND IN MEXICO CITY CABLE
NUMBER THREE SEVEN SEVEN DATED FEBRUARY ONE FOUR, NINETEEN
SIXTY FOUR. THIS LATTER CABLE REFLECTS THE PHOTOS OF THE
UNSUB WERE SHOWN TO PEDRO GUTIERREZ VALENCIA AMONG SIXTEEN
MISCELLANEOUS PHOTOS AS MENTIONED ON PAGE NINE OF MEXICO CITY

Handwritten number 5679

XEROX

JUN 1972

61 JUN 26 1972

3 JUN 20 1972

105-82555

MEX 105-3702 PAGE TWO

LHM DATED FEBRUARY SEVEN, NINETEEN SIXTY FOUR.

ANSWER TO QUESTION TWO:

IT CAN ONLY BE ASSUMED AT THIS TIME THAT THE PHOTOS OF UNSUB SHOWN TOGETHER WITH THE MISCELLANEOUS PHOTOS WERE ALL RETURNED TO CIA, MEXICO CITY. THE COVER PAGE OF THE LHM DATED FEBRUARY SEVEN, NINETEEN SIXTY FOUR, REFLECTS THAT TWENTY TWO PHOTOS OF CUBAN EMBASSY EMPLOYEES WERE RETURNED TO CIA.

ANSWER TO QUESTION THREE:

THE PHOTOS FORWARDED TO CIA BY LEGAT MEMORANDUM DATED MAY FIFTEEN LAST ARE THE SAME AS FURNISHED TO THE BUREAU BY AIRTEL MAY TWENTY SIX LAST. THESE TWO CROPPED PHOTOS ARE THE ONLY ONES IN MEXICO CITY FILE THAT COULD POSSIBLY PERTAIN TO THE REQUESTED UNSUB PHOTOS AND THE UNCROPPED VERSIONS ARE NOT CONTAINED IN MEXICO CITY FILE.

AS FOR THE ORIGIN OF THESE TWO CROPPED PHOTOS, THE FILE INDICATES THEY WERE RECEIVED FROM CIA, MEXICO, ON NOVEMBER TWO THREE, NINETEEN SIXTY THREE, AND ARE PROBABLY PART OF THE SERIES OF PHOTOS TAKEN ON OCTOBER ONE, NINETEEN SIXTY THREE, AND

MEX 105-3702 PAGE THREE

OCTOBER FOUR, NINETEEN SIXTY THREE, WHICH WERE BELIEVED POSSIBLY OF OSWALD BECAUSE OSWALD HAD CONTACTED THE RUSSIAN EMBASSY, MEXICO CITY, ON OCTOBER ONE, NINETEEN SIXTY THREE. THESE PHOTOS WERE FLOWN FROM MEXICO TO DALLAS BY SA ELDON RUDD ON NOVEMBER TWENTY THREE, NINETEEN SIXTY THREE, AND ARE DESCRIBED IN MEMO OF SA RUDD TO SAC, DALLAS, DATED NOVEMBER TWENTY THREE, NINETEEN SIXTY THREE.

MEXICO CITY FILE DOES NOT EXPLAIN WHY THE TWO PHOTOS OF UNSUB FURNISHED BY AIRTEL MAY TWENTY SIX LAST WERE COPIED AND RETAINED IN FILE, WHILE THE OTHERS OF THE QUOTE SERIES UNQUOTE WERE NOT COPIED AND RETAINED. LIKEWISE, THE FILE DOES NOT SPECIFY THAT TWO PHOTOS SUBMITTED WERE THOSE SHOWN TO GUTIERREZ OR WHETHER OTHER PHOTOS OF THE SERIES WERE SHOWN, THROUGH MEXICO CITY CABLE THREE SEVEN SEVEN SUPPORTS SHOWING OF SOME OF THE SERIES, VERY PROBABLY THOSE FURNISHED THE BUREAU SINCE THEY WERE THE ONLY ONES RETAINED IN THE ONE A SECTION WHEN PHOTOS WERE SHOWN TO GUTIERREZ ON JANUARY TWENTY NINE, NINETEEN SIXTY FOUR.

END

MRF JB

gal cc: Mr. Schmitt

0249

To Quin Shea from Harold Weisberg JMW Assassination appeals 3/28/79

A set of 62-109060 worksheets, for Section 25 although the cover does not so indicate, reminds me that the mail intercepts by the Postal Service and the CIA for the FBI is well known, having been testified to before and reported at great length by the Senate Intelligence Committee. I've read that testimony.

Moreover, well over 15 years have passed.

I see no reason for any b1 claims for such records. I assume some of these in these bulgys are intercepts.

In more than a year and a half there has been no action on those referrals. (Some are also to CIA).

There is a problem not within any exemption: the CIA has not been forthright about this. I believe it has failed to acknowledge all the copies of intercepts it has.

If there not an E.O. provision requiring action by the referring agency if after 30 days there is no response on a referral of classified material?