UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

HAROLD WEISBERG,

Plaintiff.

CIVIL ACTION

CLARENCE M. KELLEY, et al.

78-0249

Defendants.

FIRST DEFENSE

The Court lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter inasmuch as no documents have been improperly withheld within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. §552(a)(4)(B).

SECOND DEFENSE

Defendants Clarence M. Kelley and Griffin Bell are not proper parties to this action.

THIRD DEFENSE

The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

FOURTH DEFENSE

In answer to the numbered paragraphs of the Complaint, defendants admit, deny and aver as follows:

1. This paragraph contains plaintiff's characterization of this action and does not contain allegations of fact for which an answer is required, but insofar as an answer is deemed required it is denied.

- Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments in this paragraph. Accordingly, they are denied.
- 3. Denied, except to admit that Clarence M. Kelley was formerly the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
 - 4. Admitted.
 - 5. Admitted.
 - 6. Admitted.
- 7. Denied, except to admit that defendant Federal Bureau of Investigation received a letter from plaintiff dated December 6, 1977, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit I, to which the Court is respectfully referred for a full and complete statement of the contents thereof.
- 8. This paragraph contains allegations of fact and a conclusion of law. Insofar as this paragraph contains allegations of fact they are admitted, and defendants further aver that letters dated February 21, 1978 and March 6, 1978, were sent to plaintiff. These letters are attached hereto as Exhibits 2 and 3, to which the Court is respectfully referred for a complete and accurate statement of the contents thereof. Insofar as this paragraph contains a conclusion of law, no answer is required, but insofar as an answer is deemed required, it is denied.

Any allegations not hereinbefore admitted or denied are denied.

Defendants deny that plaintiff is entitled to the relief sought in the Complaint or to any relief whatsoever.

WHEREFORE, defendants having fully answered, pray that the action be dismissed with prejudice and that defendants be granted their costs.

Respectfully submitted,

EARBARA ALLEN BABCOCK Assistant Attorney General

EARL J. SILBERT United States Attorney

LYNNE K. ZUSMAN

Attorneys, Department of Justice Information and Privacy Section Washington, D. C. 20530 Tel: 739-3664

Attorneys for defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that he served the foregoing Answer upon plaintiff by depositing a copy thereof in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, to:

James Hiram Lesar, Esquire 910 16th Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D. C. 20006

this 15th day of March, 1978.

EMORY JOHN BAILEY

Exhibit I

Dr. Alleh H. Vedreight, Chief FOLA/II Tranch Records -cnagatent Division, FUE Wash., D.O. 20535

Rt. 12, Frederick, No. 21701 12/6/77

Dear Ar. L. Coreight.

Your letter of December?, 1977 relating to the FrI's release of JFM accessination files came today. I reject that it requires further correspondence.

The first question I must raise, one I've raised nore times than I can estimate, is why with all these reviews of JPN summassimation records my many requests for precisely this public information remain without response. I have filed two decem or more such FOTA requests. It is more than a pair since your SA Howard testified in my C.M.75-1936 that the FAI had by then had three reviews of this material. It is more than a year since I testified to these requests that one entirely without any compliance since. The FAI's counsel, AUSA and staff, were present at my testimony'and at SA Howard's. Various FAI FOTA personnel were present. You obtained the transcript of this testimony. I have since the time of the testimony repeated prior appeals. But to date there is the same - total - silence from the FAI and from you who sign yourcelf as in charge of the FAI's FOTA work.

The Act requires the production of records, not their generation. However, my FA and FCIA requests that should have gialfied these records years ago also are without your compliance. By appeals of this invalor without response. I therefore do not have all the records relevant to my FOIA and FA requests. I herewith repeat my requests under the Acts, intending by the repetition that you provide within the time limitations of the Acts all those records that relate to my requests. This means back to as I recall it 1968. I ascume that this is your all-time record of non-compliance. Whether or not it is I want any and all such records of whatever source or nature, however generated and wherever filed or stored or described or classified by the FDI. I also solicit any explabation you would care to provide for this persisting non-compliance and the permeating dispregard for the obligations impose myon the lureau and upon you personally by the Acts.

Aside from other and I believe obvious considerations it is a fact that some if not much or indeed all of what you are now making available should have been provided to me quite long ago. Not having complied with my requests and the Acts has, I believe, been hurtful to me and has constituted an interference with my right and ability to perform the work upon which I have for so long been engaged.

As you are aware long ago non-compliance with my requests was ordered and approved to the highest FBI levels, including the first Director. As you are also aware compliance is the present issue in my C.A.75-1976 and because of the FBI's non-compliance I am at this very moment forced to forego other work and do the work of the FBI with regard to compliance in that case. With this non-compliance being total with regard to JFK assassination records and a major factor in the 1.96 case and for other reasons I believe the request in my second paragraph above constitutes justification under the Acts for expedited compliance and I do ask that of you, I want to be able to incorporate what you should provide in the memoranda I am being compalled to prepare for you and at your request in C.A.75-1996.

By the time of the date of your letter of December 2,1977, a letter I take it was sent to many and is a sort of form letter, your representations in it were untruthful. You had in fact made an exclusive release or more than 500 pages of these "forthcoming" records to Radio Station WINS and the AP at least. You thereafter and prior to the date of your letter made duplicates available to others in the press. Whatever the circumstances of those releases it is a fact and to my personal knowledge is a fact that within this release there are records I began to ask the FBI for going back to about 1968. But your first persgraph refers to your "forthcoming release" and your second begins, "The first segment of these materials will be made available beginning at 9:30 a.m. December 7,1977, ..."

Cophibit I

Of course I am also troubled by your failure to notify me of your raining these recered available until the day prior to their availability. While I do not deceive you - I cannot use these receres in your reading room - your unnecessary delay in this gramanized that were it within my capabilities it would still be impossible for ne because I have a received appointment that procludes it.

Your fifth paragraph is also troubling. You say of these about 60,000 pages, "Laterials to be released are copies from the raw investigative files of the PEL..." This is the same PEL that forced me to go all the way to the "upreme Court in a case in which I did not request "raw investigative files" by falsely representing that I had asked for such raw files and that the release of any of them at any time and under any circumstances would utterly destroy the PEL or render it forever impotent.

When you follow this with "as they were compiled chronologically in our central records system during the investigation," I am further troubled, in general and as it related to my own requests that remain without response. Nost PSI records do not even reach your "central records system" at PSIM, and there is no such limitation in any of my requests for JPK assassination records. This can mean, for example, that if I had all the 80,000 pages you are to release you might still not have complied with my requests.

Your concluding paragraph states that "No index of our FET materials is available to cross-reference these materials to the public record." This is a semantical representation. The public record is only part of the records that are involved. The rew materials are often incorporated in other records, like Letterhead kemoranda and other reports. From my personal experience in FDIA cases I have learned that the FLI has a practise of noting on its field office raw materials what reports include that information. This should mean that through other than what you might describe as an index it is possible to correlate the raw materials with the other records into which parts are incorporated.

These records were processed under FOIA, I take it. This means that other records relevant to the processing were generated. These should include worksheets on which the records are listed and where exemptions are claimed the exemptions are noted. There are other records relevant to processing and review. I herewith ask for a copy of any and all records relating to the processing and release of all these records, whatever the form or origin of such records might be and wherever they may be kept, as in the Office of Origin or other points as well as in Washington. If there are other records that indicate the content of these released records I are especially interested in then because they can be a guide to content. If there is a separate list of records not yet released I ask for a copy of it also or if an inventory was made, a copy of the inventory.

in emigrificación de la constante de la consta

Sincerely.

Harold Weisterg



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

Exhibit. *2

FEB 2 1 1978

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12 Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

This acknowledges receipt of your letter dated January 19, 1978, concerning the letter you received from Special Agent McCreight dated January 18, 1978, and the fact that you have received no determination on your request to the Federal Bureau of Investigation dated December 6, 1977, seeking access to the Bureau's worksheets on the Kennedy assassination records.

As you know, this Office ordinarily responds to appeals based on a lack of a component response to a request with a letter that merely expresses our inability to conduct initial record reviews, indicates that we will monitor the processing of the initial request, and advises the requester of his right to seek judicial relief. In this case, however, I intend to proceed somewhat differently and to maintain your appeal as to the December 6 request in an open status. It has been assigned Number 8-0242 and I intend to hold the file personally. Even prior to the receipt of your letter of January 19, I had been discussing with the Bureau the matter of the possible release of its worksheets; that was in a general sense -- not just the Kennedy case -- and resulted from my testimony before the Abourezk Subcommittee late last year. At that time, former Deputy Attorney General Flaherty and I assured the Subcommittee that we would give serious attention to the problem of giving requesters more information, at the initial stage, about the nature and quantity of records to which access is denied. I have given this problem considerable attention over the past several months, in discussions with personnel from the F.B.I. and other components of the Department as well. Pending resolution of the matter, I intend personally to hold appeals involving "explanatory" records.

With respect to the actual Kennedy assassination worksheets, it may possibly turn out not to be necessary for me to act formally. The Bureau is still considering whether to put



Exhibit

"clean" copies of the final version of these items into the reading room and otherwise to make them available to interested persons. A final decision should be made by the Bureau in the relatively near future. In the event the decision is negative, I will then treat your letter of January 19 as an appeal on the merits and we will adjudicate on a formal basis the issue of access to the worksheets.

With respect to the excisions from the released Kennedy records, it should be obvious that this Office would also prefer to address any possible issues in the context of specific exemptions and specific documents. This might permit an efficacious appeals procedure to operate — there is no way my staff and I could do a line-by-line review of all excisions from all of these tens of thousands of pages. Accordingly, pending resolution of the worksheets issue, I will treat your letter of January 19 as a protective appeal encompassing any Kennedy assassination records as to which you ultimately decide to appeal.

As indicated above, I do not anticipate that the decision on access to the Kennedy worksheets will be overly delayed.

Should there be any interim developments, I will keep you advised.

Because this response is not a grant of access to the worksheets, I remind you that you have the right to seek judicial relief in the United States District Court for the judicial district in which you reside or have a principal place of business, or in the District of Columbia, which is where the worksheets you seek are located.

Sincerely, .

Benjamin R. Civiletti Acting Deputy Attorney General

By:

Quinlan J. Shea, Jr., Director Office of Privacy and Information Appeals

CC: James Lesar, Esquire

Exhibit #3

March 6, 1978

Mr. Harold Weisborg Route 12 Frederick, Haryland 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Reference is made to your letter dated December 6;
1977, in which you sought access to the Federal Bureau of
Investigation's (FBI) inventory worksheets on the Rennedy
Assassination records pursuant to the Freedom of InformationPrivacy Acts.

We have a large volume of requests similar to yours.

In view of this, some delay in making a final response to your request may be anticipated. Please be assured that we are making every effort to process your request propotly.

Your patience and understanding of this unavoidable delay will be appreciated.

Your request has been assigned number 62,054

Sincerely yours,

Allen H. McCreight, Chief
Freedom of InformationPrivacy Acts Branch
Records Management Division