

Dear Jim,

C.A.77-2155

1/25/78

Figley lied to Judge Gesell in representing that they had to make a special copy for me and that this would require all that great time based on his own xerox machine, and all that jazz. They could have had a set here within the time I asked. They were just determined not to let me have a set when the records were available to others.

I have been lucky. I have a student putting them in the file cabinets by file number and then by Section number within each file.

To get her started and to have an idea of what is included I opened all the boxes and wrote the identification of the lowest-numbered Section on ~~xxx~~ each box lip. Each and every section has a card under the Acco clip identifying it by file, section and set. Mine are mostly Copy 8. But the student came across one Copy 1.

She may not finish it tonight. She is putting a slip on the front of each drawer listing what is in each drawer.

As soon as she has completed that I'll be able to check anything any reporter may want checked, if he provides a citation.

The standing, even though I moved around some, was too much for me. I'm coming out of it now but my legs swelled and I grew sort of faint. I exercycled for a while and I suppose that got more circulation going. But I'll have to be more careful about that.

However, it also means that I have less of a personal knowledge than I would have.

I did not see a single worksheet. Without a worksheet for each page on which there is any withholding there is no basis for appeal because the claim to exemption remains unknown. This is how I got the worksheets in 1996, by making an issue of that.

I made a separate request for the worksheets before the first of these two releases. As of today I've not even received acknowledgement. I did file an appeal.

His arrogance is insane. We can go back to court in the same case on that issue alone. They have not complied with the order because they have not provided the worksheets.

As I glanced at the first pages I observed that they are releasing information that they continue to withhold in the King case. One illustration is a note added to a clipping. The note says the story is about Harry Dean. It then gives his FBI number. Not obliterated. Obliterated in King records I've obtained.

The student just left. I expect the job will be finished tomorrow night.

There is no front cover on any of the Sections. I think I'd best get several hundred and put one on each Section as it is used. In time they'll all have that protection. If it is not done damage is inevitable. Maybe the best and cheapest thing is to get a printed go cut standard stock of suitable characteristics to size, perhaps also punch one end.

I had a nice note from Nancy. She and Liz got back to Boston before the heavy snow. In responding I've asked her to be alert for indications in the executive session transcripts for indications of what was discussed in those that remain withheld. She has all of them and will be reading them with care.

Best,