IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

HAROLD WEISBERG.

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No. 77-1997

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al.,

Defendants.

### AFFIDAVIT

Gene F. Wilson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

- 1. I am the information and Privacy Coordinator of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) and am responsible for supervising searches of CIA records in response to requests under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). I have occupied this position since August 25, 1975 and have held various executive positions with the CIA since 1957. The statements made herein are based upon my knowledge, upon information made available to me in my official capacity and upon conclusions reached in accordance therewith.
  - 2. Plaintiff, by letter of 11 June 1976, requested from CIA records:
    - a. all records pertaining to Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.
    - b. all records pertaining to the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.  $\ensuremath{^{?}}$
    - c. all records pertaining to James Earl Ray, under whatever name or alias.
    - d. all records on any alleged or suspected accomplice or associate in the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.
    - e. all collections of published materials on the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

- f. all analyses, commentaries, reports, or investigations on or in any way pertaining to any published materials on the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. or the authors of said materials.

  g. all records, letters, cables, memorandums, routing slips, photographs, tape recordings, receipts, sketches, computer printouts or any other form of data pertaining to or in any way revelant to the foregoing requests for information, regardless of source or origin. (See CIA
- 3. CIA, by letter of 2l June 1976, acknowledged receipt of the request and advised the plaintiff that the heavy volume of Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests that CIA had received had created a processing backlog which would delay processing of plaintiff's request (see CIA Exhibit B).

Exhibit A)

- 4. CIA, by letter of 30 November 1976, requested notarized statements of release from Mrs. Coretta King and Mr. James Earl Ray to insure that any release of documents of a private nature would not be objectionable to those parties. The letter also estimated that search and copying fees for this FOIA request would be approximately one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00). Further, plaintiff was requested to indicate his willingness to pay the search and copying fees and post a deposit of one half of the estimated costs (see CIA Exhibit C).
- 5. Plaintiff, by letter of 3 December 1976, stated his willingness to pay search and copying fees and deposited a check for five hundred dollars (\$500.00) for that purpose, but also requested a waiver of all fees. Plaintiff also forwarded a notarized release from Mr. James Earl Ray and indicated that Mrs. King would also be contacted for the purpose of obtaining a similar release from her. Plaintiff indicated at the same time that he would prefer that the Agency not postpone the search for Martin Luther King documents until such a release was available (see CIA Exhibit D).

- 5 Plaintiff, by letter of 27 December 1976. reaffirmed the request of 3 December 1976 and requested certain administrative data (see CIA Exhibit E).
- 7. CIA, by letter of 13 January 1976, acknowledged receipt of the \$500.00 deposit and the notarized release from Mr. James Earl Ray and indicated the Agency would proceed with the remainder of the request upon receipt of the release from Mrs. King that plaintiff had promised in his letter (see CIA Exhibit F).
- 8. CIA, by letter of 26 April 1977, advised plaintiff that two hundred eightysix (286) documents concerning Mr. James Earl Ray had been retrieved from CIA records and that two hundred forty-three (243) of the documents were released in their entirety or with portions deleted (see CIA Exhibit G).
- 9. Plaintiff, by letter of 2l July 1977, appealed CIA's FOIA determinations regarding material withheld from the documents released and regarding plaintiff's request for fee waivers. Plaintiff raised an assortment of other questions in the letter which have no direct relationship to the FOIA request which is the subject of the above-captioned litigation (see CIA Exhibit H).
- 10. CIA, by letter of 2 August 1977, acknowledged plaintiff's appeal from the determination regarding the material withheld from released documents and regarding the request for a waiver of fees (see CIA Exhibit I).
- ll. CIA, by letter of 20 March 1978, in response to continued dialogue on a variety of FOLA requests including the request in the instant case, advised plaintiff that search fees would be waived but not copying fees (see CIA Exhibit J).
- 12. CIA, by letter of 23 March 1978, advised plaintiff that the released material responsive to the FOIA request in the above-captioned litigation, a total of four hundred eighty-eight pages cost forty-eight dollars and eighty cents (\$48.80) and would be offset against his \$500.00 deposit or plaintiff could use that deposit to offset copying fees of one thousand four hundred thirty-five dollars and seventy cents (\$1,435.70) for fourteen thousand three hundred fifty-seven (14,357) pages of material he had received in response to another FOIA request. CIA also suggested that should plaintiff wish to pay those fees separately, the \$500.00 would be returned to plaintiff (see CIA Exhibit K).

13. Plaintiff filed his complaint commencing this litigation on 21 November 1977. A search de novo of CIA records was undertaken for documents responsive to the instant FOIA request. Such searches are routinely done upon commencement of litigation to insure that the search done is as complete as possible. As a result of the search, some additional documents were retrieved and have been dealt with in the various affidavits of CIA component representatives. The accompanying affidavits include those of Mr. Robert W. Gambino, Director of the Office of Security, Mr. Ernest J. Zellmer, Associate Deputy Director of the Directorate of Science and Technology, and Mr. Robert E. Owen, Information Review Officer of the Directorate of Operations. The search retrieved a total of:

28 documents originated with components of the Office of Security,

- 3 documents originated with components of the Directorate of Science and Technology, and
- 342 documents originated with the components of the Directorate of Operations.

The disposition of all of these documents is described in the various affidavits cited <u>supra</u> and several DOCUMENT DISPOSITION INDEXES accompanying the affidavits. Copies of the releasable versions of the documents are attached to pertinent affidavits along with the indexes.

- 14. In addition to the documents dealt with in the aforementioned affidavits and indexes, the Library of the Office of Central Reference of the CIA has compiled a listing of some three hundred fifty (350) odd news articles related to the substance of the FOIA request. Copies of the various articles have not been made because of plaintiff's expressed disinterest in the news articles he has already received. It is also likely that many of the articles listed on the list duplicate those plaintiff has already received. A copy of the list is attached.
- 15. In the process of the search, a number of documents which originated with other U.S. Government agencies were retrieved. Since many of those documents were classified and a release under FOIA of classified documents requires

such documents first undergo a declassification review. Section 3 of Executive Order 11652 concerning "AUTHORITY TO DOWNGRADE AND DECLASSIFY" requires that classified material be referred to the originating agency for declassification reviews. Other agency documents retrieved and referred are as follows:

1 document - Department of Defense

19 documents - State Department

1 document - Agency for International Communications

27 documents - National Security Agency

1 document - Department of the Army

64 documents - Federal Bureau of Investigation

The originating agencies will respond directly to plaintiff regarding their documents. It might be noted that documents are referred to other agencies as they are retrieved by the various components in CIA. Consequently, all the documents being referred to other agencies would not necessarily be delivered at the same time. It is possible that the collections of documents delivered to an agency will thus have some duplicate copies.

GENE F. WILSON

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

COUNTY OF FAIRFAX

) ss.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 26th day of May 1978.

Allie Tolorceou

My commission expires:

22 Movember 198

JAMES H. LESAR
ATTORNEY AT LAW
1231 FOURTH STREET, S. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20024
TELEPHONE (202) 484-5023

F 76-382

June 11, 1976

05

DDG

GGC ?

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST

Mr. Gene F. Wilson Freedom of Information Coordinator Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Mr. Wilson:

On behalf of Mr. Harold Weisberg of Frederick, Maryland, I request access to the following:

- 1. All records pertaining to Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.
- 2. All records pertaining to the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.
- 3. All records pertaining to James Earl Ray, under whatever name or alias.
- 4. All records on any alleged or suspected accomplice or associate in the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.
- 5. All collections of published materials on the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.
- 6. All analyses, commentaries, reports, or investigations on or in any way pertaining to any published materials on the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. or the authors of said materials.
- 7. All records, letters, cables, memorandums, routing slips, photographs, tape recordings, receipts, sketches, computer printouts or any other form of data pertaining to or in any way relevant to the foregoing requests for information, regardless of source or origin.

This request is made under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §552, as amended by Public Law 93-502

Sincerely yours,

37, 111

Tames H Tesair

EXHIBIT "A"

James H. Lesar, Esquire 1231 Fourth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20024

Dear Mr. Lesar:

This acknowledges receipt of your letter of 11 June 1976 on behalf of Mr. Harold Weisberg requesting, under provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, access to any CIA records dealing with Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., and/or James Earl Ray and any of his alleged or suspected accomplices in Dr. King's

I shall arrange for a search of CIA files and shall be in further communication with you once the search has been completed and any records found reviewed for releasability under the Act.

As you may know, the heavy volume of Freedom of Information requests received by the Agency has resulted in processing backlogs. If we do not respond within the 10 working days stipulated by the Act, it is your right to construe this as a denial, subject to appeal to the CIA Information Review Committee. It would seem more reasonable, however, for us to continue processing your request and to respond as soon as feasible. Any denials of records could be appealed at that time. Unless we hear from you otherwise, we will assume that this is agreeable to you and proceed on this basis.

For your information, I am enclosing a copy of our fee schedule. If the charges seem likely to be considerable, I shall provide you with an estimate and perhaps require a partial payment in advance

Sincerely,

/s/GFW

Gene F. Wilson Information and Privacy Coordinator

Enclosure: as stated IPS/CES/cb/21 Jun 76Distribution: Orig - Addressee
1 - IPS Chrono
1 - IPS (F-76-382)

EXHIBIT "B"

3 0 NOV 1978

IPS/DGV/cr/29 Nov 76 Distribution:

Orig. - Addressee

1 - OGC (Strickland) 1 - DDO/PICG 1 - OS/IRG I - AI/DDA

(I) - IPS (Weisberg, F-76-382) SP: I - IPS (Weisberg Correspondence)

1 - IPS Chrono

Mashington, DC 20024 Dear Mr. Lesar:

James H. Losar, Esquire 1231 Fourth Street, S.W.

You will recall that our letter of 21 June 1976 acknowledged receipt of your letter on behalf of Mr. Harold Weisberg requesting, under provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, access to any CIA records dealing with Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., and to those dealing with James Earl Ray and any of his alleged or suspected accomplices in Dr. King's assassination.

We find that we are unable to respond to your request as it now stands due to legal and regulatory restrictions on the release of personal information from efficial records. In that light, please be advised that we can proceed no further with your request unless and until we are in receipt of notarized statements of release from Mrs. Coretta King and James Harl Ray, respectively. These statements should be quite specific as to what areas of personal information are and are not authorized for release by this Agency to you and your client. If all information in our records is releasable, that should be so stated: if only such information as relates to specific incidents or to specific periods of time is releasable, these caveats should be clearly stated.

Finally, we must ask that you provide a statement of willingness to pay search and duplication fees as required by this request and in line with the fee schedule enclosed. It has been estimated that such charges could approach \$1000. Along with the notarized statements above, and the statement of willingness to pay all search and copying charges (or those up to an established limit), we would require a down payment of half the anticipated charges. Payment should be used to this office in the form of a check or money order payable to Treasuror of the United States.

Gene F. Wilson Information and Privacy Coordinator

Enclosure

EXHIBIT "C"

F-76-382

JAMES H. LESAR ATTORNEY AT LAW 1231 FOURTH STREET, S. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20024

TELEPHONE (202) 464-5023

December 3, 1976

Mr. Gene F. Wilson Information and Privacy Coordinator Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Mr. Wilson:

In response to your letter of November 30, 1976, Mr. Harold Weisberg has authorized me to state his willingess to pay search and copying charges up to the amount of \$1,000 if such charges are required by his Freedom of Information Act request for records pertaining to Dr. Martin Luther King. Jr. and his assassination. Perause you require a down payment of half the anticipated charges, and the state of the state of I enclose a check for \$500.00.

This payment of \$500.00 and any subsequent payments made in connection with this request are tendered with the express reservation that Mr. Weisberg does not waive his right to recover them.

# C.I.A. regulations provide:

Records shall be furnished without charge or at a reduced rate whenever the Coordinator determines that waiver or reduction of the charge is in the public interest because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefiting the general public. The Coordinator also may waive or reduce the charge whenever he determines that the interest of the government would be served thereby. 32 C.F.R. §1900.25(a).

In accordance with this regulation, I call, upon you to determine that it is in the public and governmental interest to waive search and copying charges incurred in connection with Mr. Weisberg's request. Enclosed herein is a copy of a letter to Deputy Attorney General Harold R. Tyler, Jr. which makes a similar request of the Department of Justice. The reasons expressed in that letter for granting a waiver are incorporated in my request that you grant

Should you require it, I will provide you with affidavits by myself, Mr. Weisberg, and others in support of this request for a waiver of the search and copying charges for these records. If you do wish considering affidavity to the search and copying charges for these records. do wish supporting affidavits, I would appreciate it if you would

EXHIBIT "D"

intermark of this as soon as possible. I would also request the road indicate what standards, if any, you have established for determining whether or not a request for waiver should be granted. granted.

のでは、これでは、これでは、日本のではのでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは、日本のでは

You state that you can proceed no further with Mr. Weisberg's request "unless and until we are in receipt of notarized statements of release from Mrs. Coretta King and James Earl Ray, respectively." Because Mr. Weisberg's request undoubtedly comrespectively. Because Mr. Weisberg's request undoubtedly comprises records which do not involve any proper claim of privacy, this cannot be true. I do enclose, however, a copy of a notarized waiver by James Earl Ray. I will immediately contact Mrs. King about a waiver by her. In the meantime, I request that you proceed to make available those records responsive to Mr. Weisberg's request which do not involve any proper claim of invasion of privacy. quest which do not involve any proper claim of invasion of privacy.

Sincerely yours,

James H. Lesar

#### WAIVER

follows:

でいたないのは母の気は海ののからのではなってはなって

- 1. I am the James Earl Ray who, on March 10, 1969, was convicted of the murder of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. I am presently incarcerated in the Brushy Mountain Penitentiary at Petros, Tennessee as inmate No. 65477.
- 2. Mr. James H. Lesar has represented me since 1970 in my petitions for post-conviction and habeas corpus relief. He present-ly represents me in my petition for a writ of certiorari to the United States Supreme Court to review the Sixth Circuit decision upholding the District Court's denial of my petition for a writ of habeas corpus.
- 3. Mr. Lesar has informed me that Mr. Harold Weisberg of Frederick, Maryland, has made a Freedom of Information Act request for access to records of the Central Intelligence Agency pertaining to Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., his assassination, and me. Mr. Lesar has also informed me of your stated intention not to release these records until I execute a waiver of any invasion of personal privacy which might result from the disclosure of such records to Mr. Weisberg and Mr. Lesar.
- 4. I hereby waive any invasion of my privacy which might occur as the result of the release of any of said documents, or parts thereof, to Mr. Weisberg and authorize the Central Intelligence Agency to make available to Mr. Weisberg and Mr. Lesar any records, or parts thereof,

which pertain to Mr. Weisberg's Freedom of Information request.

5. Mr. Lesar has also stated that where he and Mr. Weisberg are given access to, or copies of records or parts of records which may invade my personal privacy, neither he nor Mr. Weisberg will make public use of said records, or parts thereof, or make them available to any other person without my express permission.

JAMES EARL RAY

MOZGAN <del>PYDERSON</del> COUNTY, TENNESSEE

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20 day of da

NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR ANDERSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE MORGAN

My commission expires

7-14-80

-

JAMES H. LESAR ATTORNEY AT LAW 1231 FOURTH STREET, S. W. WASHINGTON: O. C. 20024 TELEPHONE (202) 484-6023

December 27; 1976

Mr. Gene Wilson
Information and Privacy Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D. C. 20505

DEC 3(

2

Dear Mr. Wilson:

On November 30, 1976, you wrote that the CIA can proceed nofurther of Mr. Harold Weisberg's Freedom of Information Act request for records pertaining to Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. and his
assassination "unless and until we are in receipt of notarized
statements of release from Mrs. Coretta King and James Earl Ray,
respectively." As I noted in my letter of December 3, 1976, this
cannot be true because Mr. Weisberg's request undoubtedly comprises
records which do not involve any proper claim of privacy by either
James Earl Ray or Mrs. King.

However, on December 3rd I did send you a copy of a waiver which James Earl Ray executed with respect to Department of Justice records on Dr. King's assassination. Anticipating that this might not suffice with respect to CIA records, I have obtained a second waiver from Ray which specifically refers to the records which your agency has. You will note that this waiver is limited to myself and Mr. Weisberg and does not authorize you to provide to other persons those records which you determine are exempt from disclosure on privacy grounds.

I note that you have not responded to my letter of December 3. In view of that, I solicit your assurance that you have begun processing Mr. Weisberg's request and will soon be making available to him those records for which a release by Mrs. King is not necessary. If I do not receive such an assurance very shortly, I will have no alternative but to bring suit against you.

I would like you to provide me with the following information:

1) the number you have assigned to Mr. Weisberg's request for King assassination documents, and 2) the number and date of the most recent request which you are processing as of the date of the receipt of this letter.

Finally, I would like to request that you make documents available to Mr. Weisberg as your review of them is completed, rather than waiting until all are reviewed before making any of them available. The Department of Justice has adopted this approach and is presently making about 500 pages of King assassination records available to Mr. Weisberg each week.

James H. Lesar, Esquire 1231 Fourth St., NH Washington, DC 20024

Dear Mr. Lesar:

Thank you for your letter of 27 December. This will acknowledge receipt of the sworn waiver executed by Mr. James Earl Ray, and to advise that processing of this portion of your request has been initiated. Upon receipt of the sworn waiver from Mrs. King, as mentioned in your letter of 3 December, we will then be able to proceed with all aspects of your FOIA request. We also wish to acknowledge receipt of your check for \$500.

Thank you for your assistance and continued cooperation.

This request has been assigned the case number F-76-382.

Gene F. Wilson
Information and Privacy Coordinator

IPS/EK/cb/12 Jan 77 Distribution:

Orig - Addressee 1 - IPS Chrono 1 - IPS F-76-382 1 - OGC

EXHIBIT "F"

Sincerely yours,

1 encl

2 8 APR 1977

James H. Lesar, Esq. Attorney at Law 1231 Fourth Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20024

Pear Mr. Lesar:

This is in partial response to your request, dated II June 1976, made on behalf of your client, Mr. Marold Weisberg. Please refer also to our letters, dated 21 June 1976, 30 Conversation this partial response addresses itself to that Earl Ray.

The materials located thus far have been reviewed carefully, and our determinations are as follows:

Enclosed, Tab A, are copies of the following items which are being released to you in their entirety:

- 1. One book excerpt.
- 2-109. One hundred and eight (108) press items.
- 110-134. Twenty-five (25) UPI wire items.
- 135-171. Thirty-seven (37) Routers wire items.
- 172-225. Pifty-two (52) AP wire items.

Enclosed also, Tab B, are segregable portions of the documents listed below. Deletions in the originals were made under the exemption provisions of the Freedom of Information Act subsections which are given with each document cited.

EXHIBIT "G"

The applicability of those exemptions has been explained to you in our earlier correspondence.

	Pocuments	Exemptions
234-235.	Two cables, dated 10 April 1963.	(b)(1), (b)(3)
236.	Cable, dated 10 April 1968.	(p) (q) (p) (z) '
	Three cables, dated 19 April 1963.	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)
239-233.	Four cables, dated 20 April 1963.	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)
234-235.	Two cables, dated 22 April 1988.	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)
236-237.	Two dispatches, dated 22 April 1968.	(b)(1), (b)(3)
233-239.	Two cables, dated 23 April 1963.	(b)(1), (b)(5), (b)(6)
240.	Menorandum, dated 23 April 1968.	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)
241.	Cable, dated 26 April 1988.	(b)(1), (b)(3)
242.	Routing slip, dated 22 October 1975.	(b)(1), (b)(3),
243.	Routing slip, dated 23 October 1975.	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)
500 5	7	

The documents listed below are being withheld in their entirety under the exemption provisions of the Freedom of Information Act subsections given with each document.

	Nocuments		Exemptions
244,	Hemorandum, dated	17 April 1968.	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)
245,	Menorandum, dated	19 April 1963.	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)
246.	Cablo, dated 20 Ap	pril 1963.	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)

•	<b>3 3</b>	49
257,	Cable, dated 29 April 1965.	(b) (d), (b) (d), (b) (d)
249.	Memorandum, dated 1 May 1963.	(5)(1), (5)(5)
249.	Cable, dated 3 May 1963.	(5)(2); (6)(2); (6)(6)
250,	2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)
251.	Hemorandum, dated 16 May 1963.	(b)(1), (b)(5), (b)(6)
252-254_	Three cables, dated 8 June 1968.	(b)(1), (b)(3)
255.	Monorandum, dated 19 February 1969	(b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), (b)(6)
256.	Memorandum, dated 28 May 1969.	(b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), (b)(6)
257-264.	Eight biographic sketches.	(b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(5), (b)(6)
265.	Memorandum, dated 17 April 1968.	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)
266-272,	Seven Cables, dated 19 April 1968.	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)
273.	Cable, dated 20 April 1968.	(b)(1),(b)(3), (b)(6)
274.	Cable, dated 22 April 1968.	(b)(1), (b)(3),
275.	Cable, dated 23 April 1968.	(b)(1), (b)(5),
276.	Cable, dated 24 April 1968.	(p)(g), (p)(g)*
277-278,	Two Cables, dated 25 April 1968.	(b)(1), (b)(5),
279.	Dispatch, dated 26 April 1968.	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)

	260.	Cable, dated 3% April 1959.	. (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
	201-202.	Two Cables, dated 30 April 1963.	(5)(1), (6)(7), (5)(6)
	255.	Memorandum, dated 2 May 1983.	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)
	234,	Memorandum, dated 6 May 1968.	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)
	205.	Memorandum, dated 13 May 1968.	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)
٠	206.	Cable, dated 24 May 1963.	(b)(1), (b)(3),. (b)(6)

The decisions cited above were made by Mr. Charles A. Briggs, DDO Information Review Officer.

As specified in the Freedom of Information Act, I am advising you of your right to appeal the above decisions, but it would seem to be more reasonable to await the complete results of our processing before you actually determine whether to do so.

We thank you for your patience and consideration.

Sincorely,

Charles S. Savia

Gene F. Wilson Information and Privacy Coordinator

Enclosuros IPS/EK/cb/20 Apr 77

Orig. - Adse.
1 - DEO
1 - IPS Chrono
1 - IPS F-76-382 w/cy Tab B
1 - OLC

1 - OGC 1 - Pub Aff/Wetu

Mr. Gene Wilson, FOLA/PA Coordinator Sectral Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C.

TO:CT (pls. ack.) Rt. 12, Frederick, Hd. 21701

F-77-461

7/21/77

(Tom O., or successor

Dear Mr. Wilson.

سجل ع دیم وزیم حص جهان چیم د المجس

The news account of your coming release of an additional 4,000 pages on what might be called mind-bending reminds me that my requests seem to me to cover this and that you have not notified me of this release. I do want these. If you interpret my prior requests as not covering them then consider this a new request. Hy recollection is that my initial requests cover enything and verything directly or indirectly related to this subject. That you have interpreted my requests as I have is evidence from prior correspondence notifying me of releases.

Under the Act there is authority for the remission of an action of the request formally. My work is now remarked as important historical cases, In Under the Act there is authority for the remission of all costs and fees. I believe -meto is restricted exclusively to what is now regarded as important historical cases, In one on which In have paid the CIA large sums without compliance, the King assessination, the previous Attorney General so held, formally, confirmed by a federal judge. I have already arranged to give all my records, not just these, to a university system. I believe at an earlier date I reserved the right to recover these fees. I have delayed a formal appeals pending a determination of a judge that there be a ruling by the Department of Justice first with regard to me and on this. The Department provided a bergain-basement response, a 60, remission that I have appealed and will if necessary litigate. I hope the CIA will not favorably on this.

It has been a very long time since I have heard from you about a multitude of requests all long overdue by your own backlog claims. One of these is on the King assessination, In that you may recall you estimated a \$1,000% search fee and asked for and received a \$500 deposit. What you have cont is ludicrous. In the long time in which I have heard and received nothing further I think you have in effect refused the request after charging fees so thin is also an appeal on the ling/Ray records.

I am asking again when I may expect to hear from you on all the other requests, including my PA request for the records on me. The appeal is several years old now. My recollection is that your last reference to this stated the processing of the appeal had not been completed. I have rights under the Act to nord than copies. I want to exprcise those rights. This is impossible without obtaining all copies first. As: I have told you repeatedly I would prefer not to have to litigate this. What I am thus really asking you is don a the CTA want me to suit it on a request that goes back to 1974 and on which it is not in compliance? Not even processed the appeal?

Among the factors making it difficult to keep track of these non-compliances is your failure to respond as well as to comply. I believe I have asked this before. I I have then what follows is an appeal from a refusal. If I have not it is a now request. I would like all records relating to my requests and appeals, including their sequential relationships to other requests, under both Acts and particularly as this relates to compliance with i. Lother requests.

11. Hd iss Z

EXHIBIT "H"

2 AUG 1977

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12 Frederick, MD 21701

Dear Sir:

This is in reponse to your letter, dated 21 July 1977, in which you raised several questions; some of which have been treated and finalized in our earlier correspondence.

In the case of your request concerning the Rev. Martin Luther King and James Earl Ray, we will ask the appropriate senior officials of this Agency to review your current requestfor a remission of fees and will advise you accordingly.

We also wish to acknowledge your appeal in that request concerning Rev. Martin Luther King and James Earl Ray. We will advise you of the Information Review Committee's determinations as soon as they are available.

We will process your request for copies of the 4,000 pages of naterial you describe in your letter and advise you when the processing is completed. In view of our continuing backlogs of similar requests, it is doubtful whether we will be able to complete the processing within the time limits prescribed by the Freedom of Information Act. You have, of course, the right to appeal this on the basis of our failure to respond, but it would seem to be more logical to await the receipt of our response before you decide whether to do so.

Your request as described in your last paragraph is not a request for reasonably described records as prescribed in the Freedom of Information Act. It is really a request for information, research and analysis and, in a sense, if we understand you correctly, it is a request for an analysis of your own correspondence. As such it most assuredly does not fall within the purview of the Act.

Sincerely,

Gene F. Wilson

Information and Privacy Coordinator

EXHIBIT "I"

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12 Frederick, MD 21701

Dear Mr Weisberg:

This is in response to your letters, dated 15 February and 1 March 1978, wherein you "request a waiver of all fees and costs with regard to all my requests and a refund of those charges I have already paid." You claim also that your expertise, impaired health, straitened financial status, as well as your decision to donate these records to a college, are sufficient grounds for granting such a waiver.

Please be advised that our records indicate that, despite the scope of your current requests, this Agency has not charged you search fees for any of them. We have asked, admittedly, and received your deposit for processing your request concerning Dr. Martin Luther King and James Earl Ray, but, in response to this and your earlier queries, we are waiving search fees in that case also. Your deposit, accordingly, will be used to defray the costs of copying charges in that case, or you can apply it against the copying charges for the drug and related materials sent to you in response to that request. Thus, in that latter case, as in all of the others that we have been processing over the past few years, the only charges you have paid, or will be expected to pay, are the costs of providing you with your own, personal, retention copies of the materials which were or will be released.

We have, further, considered the matter of waiving these charges also, but have determined that we cannot do so. They are the same costs that our other requesters for released material are expected, and do, pay. It would be unfair to the other requesters were we to provide them free of charge to you.

Sincerely,

Gene F. Wilson Information and Privacy Coordinator

EXHIBIT "J"

IPS/EK/gh/14 March 1973
Distribution:
Orig. - Addee
1 - IPS Chrono
1 - IPS Subject (F-76-438)
1 - IPS Subject (F-75-6669)
1 - IPS Subject (F-76-382)
1 - OGC (Ziebell)

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12 Frederick, MD 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

This is in reference to our letter of 20 March 1978 wherein we advised you that search fees were being waived in connection with the processing of your request concerning the Rev. Martin Luther King, Jr., and James Earl Ray. We have reviewed the matter further and are able to provide you with the following details which may be of help to you.

Our records indicate that we have already released 438 pages of material in response to that request. The cost of providing you retention copies at 10% per page amounted to \$48.80. You may, therefore, choose to apply your \$500 deposit against that amount, and the cost of future releases on this subject. On the other hand, you may also choose to apply the balance against the cost, \$1,435.70, of providing you copies of 14,357 pages of released materials in response to your request concerning this Agency's involvement in drug and behavioral research. You may, of course, also choose to pay separately, and in that case we will be prepared to refund the \$500 deposit to you.

Gene F. Wilson Information and Privacy Coordinator

IPS/EX/gh/22 March 1978 Distribution:

Orig. - Addee

1 - IPS Chrono

1 - IPS Subject (F-75-669) 1 - IPS Subject (F-76-382)

1 - IPS Subject (F-76-438)

- OGC/Ziebell

EXHIBIT "K"

# CIA LIBRARY OPEN LITERATURE READY REFERENCE FILE

SUB FILE: Dissidence/Terrorism

FOLDER : Assassination & Attempted Assassination

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Capture in the Cumberlands	Time Magazine	27 June 1977
Ray's Capture	Newsweek	27 June 1977
Assassination Buffery	New York Times Book Review	19 June 1977
Ray's Escape	Newsweek	20 June 1977
Ray's Breakout	Time Magazine	20 June 1977
Back in Cell	Washington Post	14 June 1977
Ray Is Apprehended in Tennessee	Washington Post	13 June 1977
Hill Assassinations Unit To Probe Ray's Escape	Washington Post	12 June 1977
Ray Remains at Large	Washington Post	12 June 1977
Ray, in May Interview, Insists He's Innocent of Murder	Washington Post	12 June 1977
King's Killer Flees Tennessee Prison	Washington Post	11 June 1977
A Man He Calls RAOUL	New Times	1 April 1977
The Assassination of Martin Luther King, Jr.	Skeptic	March/April 1977
Wife Says Husband Was Offered \$500,000 to Assassinate Dr. King	New York Times	24 March 1977
New Ballistic Tests Set On Bullet in King Death	New York Times	18 March 1977

FOLDER: Assassination & Attempted Assassination

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Full Probe Vowed on JFK, King	Washington Post	24 November 1976
Assassination Panel Names Top Counsel	New York Times	5 October 1976
Lawyer Says He Thinks Ray 'Covering Up for Someone'	New York Times	11 June 1976
Independent Probe On King Proposed	Washington Post	25 March 1976
The King Assassination Revisited	Time Magazine	26 January 1976
Hoover Hounded King, Others .	Washington Post	5 January 1976
The Most Curious Assassination	Washington Post	4 January 1976
Theories on King Murder Tied by Common Threads	New York Times	2 January 1976
FBI Plot On King Denied	Washington Post	2 January 1976
Assassinations: Who Killed the Dream?	Newsweek ·	8 December 1975
A Fresh Angle in the King Case	Newsweek	14 July 1975
Could You Spot An Assassin?	Parade	18 June 1972

### CIA LIBRARY OPEN LITERATURE READY REFERENCE FILE

SUB FILE: Dissidence/Terrorism

FOLDER : King, Martin Luther--James Earl Ray (Retired Folder)

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
The Assassination of the Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr., & Possible Links With the Kennedy Murders - Part One	Computers and People	February 1974
The Assassination of the Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr., & Possible Links With the Kennedy Murders Part 2	Computers and People	March 1974
James Earl Ray Loses Trial Bid .	Washington Post	28 February 1975
The Question Remains: Who Killed Dr. Martin Luther King?	Washington Post	10 November 1974
Ray '68 Location Specified	Washington Post	31 October 1974
Witness Was Drunk, Ray Hearing Is Told	Washington Post	24 October 1974
Ray Briefs Filed	Washington Post	19 June 1974
Ray Hearing	Washington Post	3 December 1974
Questions on Dr. King's Murder Likely to Remain, Whether or Not Ray Wins Current Plea for 2d Trial	New York Times	7 December 1974
Lawyers Say Huie Hurt Ray's Defense	Washington Post	1 November 1974

# CIA LIBRARY OPEN LITERATURE READY REFERENCE FILE

SUB FILE: Dissidence/Terrorism

FOLDER : Martin Luther King (Retired Folder)

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Data on Dr. King Not Fully Viewed	New York Times	24 December 1975
The Other Assassination	New York Times	7 December 1975
The Crusade to Topple King	Time	1 December 1975
Tales of the FBI	Newsweek	1 December 1975
Periscope - A Fresh Angle in the King Case	Newsweek	14 July 1975
Conspiracy Killed King, Widow Says	Washington Post	28 November 1975
Hoover's Campaign Against King	Washington Post	26 November 1975
FBI Bugging And Black- mail Of King Bared	Washington Post	19 November 1975
King Slaying Case	Washington Post	22 June 1975
Dr. King Bugging By F.B.I. Verified	New York Times	27 January 1975
FBI Tapped King at 1964 Convention	Washington Post	26 January 1975
The Assassination of the Reverend Martin Luther King Jr., and Possible Links With the Kennedy Murders	Computers and People	December 1974
'Profession: concert singer, Freedom Movement lecturer'	New York Times Magazine	26 November 1972
National Affairs (Martin Luther King Slain)	Facts on File	10 April 1968
Ray Changes Lawyers: Trial Postponed	Facts on File	1968

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Bobby, JFK & King Assassina- tions All Plotted by the CIA	National Enquirer	14 July 1968
Key Witness in Garrison Probe Says: I Was Offered \$50,000 to Kill JFK	National Enquirer	1 Sept 1968
Garrison Says CIA Agents Involved in Assassinations	Chicago, Ill. Daily Defender	30 December 1968
Soviet Accuses CIA in U.S. Assassinations	The Phila. Evening Bulletin	16 Jan 1969
Lane Hints at CIA Tie In Murder of JFK	Niagra Falls, N.Y. Gazette	4 Feb 1970
The Washington Merry-Go-Round	Washington Post	27 July 1971
A Super Bowl for Theorists	Washington Star	23 November 1973
Experts Analyze a Decade of Slayings	Washington Star	24 November 1973
Assassination Probers Gather Here	Washington Post	24 November 1973
Critics of Warren Report Meet to Ask New Study	The New York Times	3 February 1975
President Kennedy: CIA plot?	The Guardian (Manchester)	10 March 1975
Kennedy Shooting Still Haunts Ruling Circles	National Guardian	9 April 1975
Assassination Skepticism Reaches Full Tide Again	Washington Star	18 April 1975
Jim Garrison and His War with the CIA	Washington Star	18 April 1975

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Forensics Expert Backs New Assassinations Probe	Washington Star	24 April 1975
Ex-Cabbie Compiles Data on Slayings	Washington Post	28 April 1975
Those Assassination Buffs	Washington Star	29 May 1975
Was RFK's Death Too Quickly Solved?	Washington Star	1 June 1975
Wallace Backs Reopening of Probes	Washington Post	20 August 1975
Dick Gregory's war with the CIA	Chicago Tribune	20 August 1975
CBS plans 3 specials on assassi- nations	Baltimore Sun	15 September 1975
A Small, Medium or Large Conspiracy?	The Washington Post	17 September 1975
CBS Seeks Ballistic Data On the Slaying of Dr. King	The New York Times	26 September 1975
Patty Hearst, Charles Manson, CIA	PLAYGIRL Magazine	October 1975
New scents on old assassina- tions trail	The Guardian	14 October 1975
Breast Cancer and Assassina- tions	The Wall Street Journal	24 November 1975
TV: CBS News Is Presenting Two Hour-Long Programs on the Assassination of President Kennedy	The New York Times	24 November 1975

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
America's Assassins: A Painstaking Inquiry	Washington Post	25 November 1975
TV TONIGHT Assassinations Grow to 4 Hours	Washington Star	25 November 1975
Ford Would Sift New Data In Kennedy, King Slayings	The New York Times	27 November 1975
An Interview with Donald Freed	Radio TV Reports, Inc.	8 December 1975
Assassins IV': Examining the Forensic Evidence	Washington Post	4 January 1976
Assassination Questions	Washington Post	14 September 1976
Hill Blacks Would Reopen Probes of Assassinations	Washington Post	14 September 1976
New Assassination Probe Expected	Washington Star	15 September 1976
House Inquiry Into Killing of Kennedys and King Due	The New York Times	15 September 1976
Inside the news-briefly	The Christian Science Monitor	15 September 1976
Special Committee Approved to Probe JFK, King Deaths	Washington Post	16 September 1976
House Committee to Investigate Kennedy, King Murders	Moscow TASS	17 September 1976
Creating Select Committee to Investigate and Study Assas- sinations of John F. Kennedy and Martin Luther King, Jr.	Congressional Record- House	17 September 1976

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
The Graveyard Game	Tampa, Fla. Tribune	18 September 1976
House Votes 280-65 to Probe Kennedy, King Assassina- tions	Washington Post	18 September 1976 `
Reopen Kennedy, King death probes	The Chicago Tribune	18 September 1976
Congress Votes JFK Probe	The New York Times	19 September 1976
House Panel to Speed Assassina- tion Inquiries	The Los Angeles Times	21 September 1976
FBI, CIA Conspire Against American People	FBIS Daily Report	21 September 1976
Panel Is Named On King, JFK	Washington Post	23 September 1976
New Look at assassinations	Des Moines, IOWA Register	24 September 1976
Perils Awaiting Kennedy Probe	The Washington Post	3 October 1976
Assassination Inquiry Stumbling	Washington Star	4 October 1976
Names/Faces	Washington Star	5 October 1976
Assassination Panel Names Top Counsel	The New York Times	5 October 1976
Unit on Assassinations Names Lawyer to Post	Washington Post	5 October 1976
Full Inquiry Vowed on JFK, King	Washington Post	8 October 1976
Sprague Sworn to Head Assassina- tions Inquiry	The New York Times	8 October 1976

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Assassination Probe to Cast Its Net Widely	New York Daily News	8 October 1976
Sprague Sworn in as Leader of Probe Into Kennedy, King Assassinations	Baltimore Sun	8 October 1976
Ray Seeks Review of Case	New York Times	19 October 1976
Again, those unexplained assassination details	Washington Star	12 October 1976
'US Integrity Is at Stake In JFK Probe'	Washington Star	22 October 1976
Assassination probe: Counsel named	The National Guardian	27 October 1976
House Panel Picks 170 to Investigate Kennedy and King Slayings	New York Times	16 November 1976
National and International News in Brief	Philadelphia Inquirer	17 November 1976
Hill Investigator Told King Documents Were Destroyed	Washington Post	17 November 1976
King probe files reported destroyed	Philadelphia Inquirer	17 November 1976
Was King Slaying Data Destroyed by Officials?	Washington Star	17 November 1976
Documents Missing in Dr. King Inquiry	New York Times	17 November 1976
Anderson joins King, JFK probe	Morning Star	17 November 1976
FBI King Probe: 7 John Willards, Laundered Shorts	Washington Post	18 November 1976

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Congressional Committee on Political Assassinations Meets	USSR International Affairs United States	18 Nobember 1976
House Unit Opens Probe of Slayings of JFK, Dr. King	Washington Post	18 November 1976
House Panel Issues Subpoenas in Inquiry on 2 Assassinations	New York Times	18 November 1976
Assassination investigations begin to roll	Christian Science Monitor	19 November 1976
Assassination Panel Subpoenas 6	New York Times	21 November 1976
Why Another Assassination Inquiry?	New York Times	21 November 1976
Assassination Panel Organizing	New York Times	21 November 1976
Assassination Probes	New York Times	22 November 1976
U.S. Marks 13th Anniversary of Kennedy Assassination	USSR International Affairs United States	23 November 1976

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Probe of King Death	Washington Post	23 November 1976
Prober rips prosecutors of Rev. King's killer	Chicago Tribune	24 November 1976
Full Probe Vowed on JFK, King	Washington Post	24 November 1976
The House Assassination	Wall Street Journal	24 Nov. 1976
Avoiding Sensationalism	Baltimore Sun	24 Nov. 1976
JFK-King Unit's Lawyers Picked	Washington Post	25 Nov. 1976
Seventies	New Times	26 Nov. 1976
House Assassination Probe A Headline Hunter's Dream	Baltimore Sun	27 Nov. 1976
Documents destroyed in King probe	National Guardian	1 Dec. 1976
Assassination Probes-Is Honesty Possible?	Los Angeles Times	2 Dec. 1976
Investigations: Back to Square One	Newsweek	6 Dec. 1976
Richard Sprague tackles his toughest case	Philadelphia Inquirer	6 Dec. 1976
2 N.Y. Lawyers Named To Assassinations Probe	Washington Post	6 Dec. 1976
2 Deputy Counsels Named	Washington Star	6 Dec. 1976
Assassination Panel Goal: Thoroughness	Washington Post	7 Dec. 1976
Assassinations Inquiry Asks \$6.5 Million for First Year	Washington Post	10 Dec. 1976
Assassination Probers Settle Subpoena Block, Request \$6.5 Million	Washington Star	10 Dec. 1976

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Panel backs \$6.5 million JFK, King probs	Baltimore Sun	10 Dec. 1976
Panel to Study 2 Assassina- tion Asks \$13 Million	New York Times	10 Dec. 1976
House Assassination Panel Rapidly Using Up its Budget	Washington Post	14 Dec. 1976
Assassination Panel Hires N.Y. Detectives	Washington Star	15 Dec. 1976
Answers on assassionations	Netro-East Journal	15 Dec. 1976
Assassination Panel Appointd 2 Probers	Baltimore Sun	15 Dec. 1976
The Thirteen Million Dollar Probe	Baltimore Sun	15 Dec. 1976
Panel Told Ray Had a Con- tact After Murder	Washington Post	25 Dec. 1976
Conspirator Hinted In Dr. King Slaying	New York Times	25 Dec. 1976
Sprague Tells About JFK, King Probes	Washington Star	26 Dec. 1976
James Earl Ray Makes Offer to Testify Before House Unit	Washington Post	31 Dec. 1976
New Witnesses Quizzed in Kennedy, King Slaying	Washington Star	31 Dec. 1976
Counsel in Assassination Inquiry Often target of Criticism	New York Times	2 Jan. 1977
Larry L. King Assassination Panel: Worth the Trouble?	Washington Star	3 Jan. 1977
Blank Checks and Fearful Fantasies	New York Times	6 Jan. 1977

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
FBI, Assassination Unit Collide for First Time	Washington Star	6 Jan. 1977
Tight Rein Pledged on JFK, King Slaying Probers	Washington Star	7 Jan. 1977
The assassination probe must not defile liberties	Philadelphia Inquirer	9 Jan. 1977
Protection of Civil Rights Vowed In Assassinations Investigation	New York Times	9 Jan. 1977
Investigations Sprague's Sprawl	Time	10 Jan. 1977
Read Before you Vote	Congressional Record- House	10 Jan. 1977
Rebuff to Slaying Probe . Staff	Washington Star	12 Jan. 1977
House Showdown on Assas- sinations Inquiry Called Off	Washington Post	12 Jan. 1977
New Assassination Panel Is Blocked	New York Times	12 Jan. 1977
Assassination pannel stalled	Baltimore Sun	12 Jan. 1977
A second look at Spraque's probe	Philadelphia Inquirer	13 Jan. 1977
A Bureaucratic 'Witch Hunt' on Assassinations	Washington Post	14 Jan. 1977
House Assassinations Pannel Loses Access to FBI Records	Washington Star	15 Jan. 1977
Assassination Probe Won't Get Full \$6.5 Million, Wright Says	Washington Post	16 Jan. 1977

TITLE			PUB.		DATE	Ξ
Inquiry: Good	the Signs Aren't	Los	Angeles Times	17	Jan.	1977
Swift Hill	l Action On JFK-	Wash	ington Post	18	Jan.	1977

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
O'Neill Backs Assassination Probe	Washington Star	18 January 1977
Assassination Panel Agrees to Pay Cuts	Washington Star	20 January 1977
Assassination Prober Learns Washington Realities	Washington Star	21 January 1977
Some Second Thoughts on the Special Committee on Assassinations	Congressional Record	24 January 1977
House Cools on Assassinations Probe	Washington Post	°25 January 1977
How Not to Investigate Assassinations	New York Times	25 January 1977
Remarks Concerning the House Select Committee on Assassinations	Congressional Record	26 January 1977
Assassination Unit Is Left in Limbo	Washington Post	26 January 1977
Tass Writer Links C.I.A. To Kennedy Assassination	New York Times	28 January 1977
Reports & Comment	The Atlantic	February 1977
Compromise Readied on JFK-King Unit	Washington Post	1 February 1977
Statement of Views Opposing the Establishment of the Select Committee on Assassinations	Congressional Record	1 February 1977
Inquiry on Assassinations Losing Favor in House	New York Times	1 February 1977

TITLE

PUB.

DATE

Extended JFK, King probe pushed

The Baltimore Sun

2 February 1977

Providing For Consideration of House Resolution 222, Creating A Select Committee on Assassinations

Congressional Record

2 February 1977

## INTELLIGENCE IN PUBLIC LITERATURE FILE

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Assassination Unit's Fate Awaited	Washington Star	2 February 1977
House Panel Limits Assassing ! nation Inquiry to 2 Months	The New York Times	2 February 1977
Assassination Unit Voted Two-Month Revival by Panel	The Washington Post	2 February 1977
House Restores Assassination Panel for 2 Months	Washington Post	3 February 1977
Slaying Panel on Deadline	Washington Star	3 February 1977
House Extends Its Probe of Assassinations	Baltimore Sun	3 February 1977
House Gives Assassination Panel Authority to Continue Temporarily	The New York Times	3 February 1977
Assassination Panel Head Cites Conspiracy Data	New York Times	4 February 1977
Qualms About the House's Assassination Investigation	New York Times	5 February 1977
CIA Fails to Discredit Warren Commission Critics	USSR International Affairs	8 February 1977
A Committee of Rumor- Mongers	Chicago Tribune	8 February 1977
Rep. Gonzalez Trying to Fire Sprague	Washington Post	11 February 1977
Plot Panel Fights Over Counsel	Baltimore Sun	11 February 1977
Assassinations Panel Gearing for Showdown	Washington Star	11 February 1977
Sprague Ouster is Upset by Panel on Assassination	New York Times	11 February
Assassination Investigators Talk of Ousting Chairman	The Washington Post	12 February 1977

## INTELLIGENCE IN PUBLIC LITERATURE FILE

TITLE	PUB.		DATE	
Assassination Panel's Fate in Doubt as Sprague Faces New Allegations	New York Times	12	February	1977
Sprague Denied FBI Files in Rift with Gonzalez	The Washington Star	12	February	1977
Assassination Probe, Revisited	The New York Times	12	February	1977
Obstacles to Investigation of King, Kennedy Murders	USSR Internation Affairs	14	February	1977
Death Trip	Newsweek	14	February	1977
Investigations: Shrinking Sprague	Time	14	February	1977
Successor is Named, But Sprague Remains as Probe - Director	The Washington Post	15	February	1977
Problems Up For Beleagured Slaying Panel	Washington Star	15	February	1977
Congressional Spectacular	Washington Star	15	February	1977
Gonzalez Claims Key Support in Bid to Oust Sprague	The New York Times	15	February	1977
Assassinations Panel Takes a Stab At Clearing Up All the Confusion	Washington Star	16	February	1977
House Probe May Bypass K Kennedy's Assassination	The Washington Post	16	February	1977
Keystone Kops	The Baltimore Sun	16	February	1977
Assassination Panel Showdown Delayed Until Next Week	Washington Star	17	February	1977
Assassinations Panel Fails to Resolve Dispute	Washington Post	17	February	1977
Panel Delays Action on Sprague; Rep. Gonzalez Calls Him 'Deceitful'	New York Times	17	February	1977

## INTELLIGENCE IN PUBLIC LITERATURE FILE

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Effort To Oust Counsel Ignored; Assassination Panel Rebuffs Chief	Los Angeles Times	17 February 1977
Seventies: Assassination Inquiry: Forward, March Sort Of	New Times	18 February 1977
O'Neill Gets 2 Earfuls on Assassinations Probe	Washington Star	18 February 1977
Self-Inflicted Wounds	Newsweek	21 February 1977
Assassinations Panel Will Survive: 0'Neill	The Washington Star	21 February 1977
Assassinations Probe Showdown Deferred	Washington Post	22 February 1977
Report on King Inquiry Unlikely to End Suspicions	The New York Times	22 February 1977
Assassination Inquiry: 'To Find the Truth'	The New York Times	23 February 1977
Bell May Interview Dr. King's Murderer	The New York Times	25 February 1977
Sprague Cuts Unit Budget 50%	Washington Star	25 February 1977
Bell Wants Data on Money Trail in King Murder	Washington Post	25 February 1977
Rep. Gonzalez, Sprague Told to Work Together	Washington Post	26 February 1977
The Sprague Circus	The Economist	26 February 1977
Gonzalez Quits Panel, Calls Sprague a 'Scoundrel'	The Washington Star	2 March 1977
Assassinations	CIA Operations Center News Service	2 March 1977

#### INTELLIGENCE IN PUBLIC LITERATURE FILE

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Gonzalez, Assailing His Committee, Quits as Assassination Inquiry Head	New York Times	3 March 1977
Rep. Gonzalez Resigning Assassination Panel Chair	Washington Post	3 March 1977
JFK Probe Panel Near End of Line	Washington Star	3 March 1977
Assassination Unit's Chair- wan Resigns	New York Daily News	3 March 1977
Assassination Panel Chief, Gonzalez, Quits	Baltimore Sun	3 March 1977
Assassination Panel's Fate May Hinge on Three Witnesses in King Shooting	Baltimore Sun	4 March 1977
Assassination Panel Wants 3 to Testify	New York Times	4 March 1977
Assassination Panel Salvage Attempted	Washington Post	4 March 1977
Assassination Panel Mired in Problems	The New York Times	6 March 1977
Cobra Drops Out, Takes Mongoose, Too	Washington Star	6 March 1977
House Assassinations Panel: Bickering on the Brink of Ruin	Washington Post	7 March 1977
Gonzalez: Assassinations Probe Panel a Farce	Washington Star	7 March 1977
Sprague Blames Gonzalez for Setbacks	The Washington Post	8 March 1977

## INTELLIGENCE IN PUBLIC LITERATURE FILE

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
DeFacto Slaying Panel Chief Attempts to Bind Its Wounds	Washington Star	8 March 1977
Assassination Panel Told: Need 2 Yrs.	New York Daily News	8 March 1977
Gonzalez Resignation Accepted	The Baltimore Sun	8 March 1977
Assassination Panel to Have New Chairman	The Christian Science Monitor	9 March 1977
Sprague Gives Panel Some New Evidence	The Washington Star	9 March 1977
Assassination Unit Gets New Chairman	Washington Post	9 March 1977
Gonzalez Resignation is Accepted	The New York Times	9 March 1977
Assassination Probe Gets New Push	Washington Star	10 March 1977
What's News	The Wall Street Journal	10 March 1977
Conspiracies Hinted in King, JFK Deaths	The Baltimore Sun	10 March 1977
Sprague: Staff Has 'Conspiracy' Leads	The Washington Post	10 March 1977
Inquiry Panel Takes Custody of Evidence in Slaying of King	Washington Post	12 March 1977
Assassinations Panel's Show to Avoid Congressional 'Gong'	Washington Star	12 March 1977
O'Neill Predicts JFK-King Probe will be Continued	The Washington Post	13 March 1977
Not a Normal-Type House Probe	The Baltimore Sun	13 March 1977

## INTELLIGENCE IN PUBLIC LITERATURE FILE

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Underworld Figure Refuses to Talk Before House Assassination Panel	New York Times	17 March 1977
Underworld Leader with Cuban, CIA Ties Takes 'Fifth" Before Assassinations Panel	Baltimore Sun	17 March 1977
Mafia Figure Takes 5th as JFK Hearings Open	Philadelphia Inquirer	17 March 1977
A Reputed Mobster Refused to Answer Questions About JFK Killing	Wall Street Journal	17 March 1977
Slaying Panel Still Spinning Wheels	Washington Star	17 March 1977
Crime Figure Balks at JFK Probe	Chicago Tribune	17 March 1977
Publicity Grab Hurts Assassination Probe	Philadelphia Inquirer	18 March 1977
SEVENTIES-Killing the Committee	New Times	18 March 1977
House Assassination Panel Cuts Budget by Over Half for Year	The New York Times	22 March 1977
Continuing the Assassination Inquiries- The People Make Their Case	Congressional Record	22 March 1977
Smaller Budget Key to Slaying Probe Life?	Washington Star	22 March 1977
JFK-King Unit Backed by O'Neill	Washington Post	22 March 1977

## INTELLIGENCE IN PUBLIC LITERATURE FILE

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Taking a Look at the Assassinations	Atlanta Constitution	23 March 1977
Probe Team to Talk to Ray Again	Washington Star	24 March 1977
Assassinations Panel Accepts Lower Funding	Washington Post	24 March 1977
Slaying Probers Win a Friend, Vintage Mailer	Washington Star	25 March 1977
Assassinations Probe Nearing Showdown; Counsel Under Fire	Washington Post	29 March 1977
House Group Hints Oswald- Ruby Link	Los Angeles Times	29 March 1977

# HISTORICAL INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION INTELLIGENCE IN PUBLIC LITERATURE FILE

(A)		
TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Sprague Quits as Counsel To Assassinations Panel	Washington Star	30 March 1977
Providing For The Consideration Of House Resolution 433, Continuation of Select Committee on Assassinations	Congressional Record-House	30 March 1977
Providing For The Continua- tion Of The Select Committee On Assassinations	Congressional Record-House	30 March 1977
Providing For The Continua- tion Of The Select Committee On Assassinations	Congressional Record-House	30 March 1977
House Backs Inquiry On JFK, King	Washington Post	31 March 1977
House Votes To Keep Assassination Panel	New York Times	31 March 1977
Interview With Representative Louis Stokes	Radio TV Reports, Inc.	31 March 1977
The House Extended Its Assassination Probe:	Wall Street Journal	31 March 1977
A Committee Survives	Baltimore Sun	1 April 1977
Assassinations Panel Studied "Choreography" As The Art Of Survival	Washington Post	1 April 1977
Assassin Probe's 'Preview' Provides Little New Light	Washington Post	3 April 1977
Another Witness Gone, But JFK Death Probe Continues	Chicago Tribune	3 April 1977
JFK Probe: Some Loud Reports But There's No Smoking Gun	New York Daily News	4 April 1977

HIC ....

11 22		D. T.
TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Assertions About Oswald Confronting House Group	New York Times	4 April 1977
Needed: Soft Shoe And Circumspection	Washington Star	5 April 1977
No Rush To Judgment	Washington Post	6 April 1977
Germond And Witcover	Washington Star	6 April 1977
Assassinations Unit Told To Avoid 'McCarthyism'	Washington Post	7 April 1977
Assassinations To Be Continued	The Economist	9 April 1977
Are There New Leads?	Newsweek	11 April 1977
Assassinations Unit Names Alvin Lewis Acting Chief Counsel	Washington Post	11 April 1977
Can Assassination Questions BeAllayed?	Washington Star	11 April 1977
Sprague Accuses Congress Of Sensationalism	Baltimore Sun	12 April 1977
Sprague Urges Carter To Set Up Inquiry Into The Murders Of Kennedy And Dr. King	New York Times	12 April 1977
Sprague Doubts Panel Can Find Truth	Washington Post	12 April 1977
New Light Shed On J.F.K. Murder	The National Guardian	13 April 1977
Inmate Tells Of FBI, CIA Offer In King's Death	Washington Star	13 April 1977
A New King Death Plot	Newsday	13 April 1977
Kennedy And King: Getting Assassination answers	Christian S dence Monitor	14 April 1977
Columnist As Clairvoyant	Northern Virginia Sun	14 April 1977
Richard A. Sprague	Radio TV Reports, Inc.	19 April 1977
FBI Still Probing King, JFK Murders	Washington Post	19 April 1977

## HIC ...

		PAGE 3
TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Slayings Staff Cites 'Slowness' Of Senate Panel	Washington Star	19 April 1977
House Aids Say F.B.I. Agrees To Cooperate in Kennedy Inquir	New York Times	20 April 1977
Dubious House Unit Votes \$2.5 Million For JFK Probe	Washington Post	21 April 1977
Assassinations Panel Gets A Pared Budget	Washington Star	21 April 1977
JFK-KING Panel May Probe Reporters	Washington Post	24 April 1977
Reporters Are Criticized In Assassination Inquiry	New York Times	25 April 1977
Is Walter Fauntroy A CIA Agent?	Washington Post	25 April 1977
A Return To The 1950s With Walter Fauntroy	Washington Post	26 April 1977
House JFK-KING Panel Fund Of \$2,5 Million Advances	Washington Post	27 April 1977
House Votes \$2.5 Million For Assassinations Unit	Washington Post	29 April 1977
House Adopts Budget Of Assassination Unit	New York Times	29 April 1977
Jeremiah O'Leary: 'Fed Up With Insinuations'	Washington Star	9 May 1977
Distortions That Persist	Cia Operations Center News Service	9 May 1977
The Insider Interview: Richard Sprague	New Times	13 May 1977
Assassinations Panel Convenes, Closes The Door	Washington Post	13 May 1977
Marc Lane: The Man Behind The Assassination Probe	Washington Post	15 May 1977
JFK-KING Panel Names Acting Staff Director	Washington Post	19 May 1977
JFK, KING Probes Sift Tangled Clues	Chicago Tribune	1 June 1977

TITLE	PUB.	DATE
Assassinations Provers Lose Another Lawyer	Washington Post	6 June 1977
House Inquiry Reported Fruit- less On Kennedy-King Assassina- tions	The New York Times	6 June 1977
Assassination Panel Witness Takes Fifth	The Washington Post	8 June 1977
Comment on Assassination Committee	The New York Times	8 Jun 1977
King murder questions	Washington Star	17 Jun 1977
Cornell Professor Is Names as Assassinations Panel Counsel	The New York Times	21 Jun 1977
New Counsel Altering Slayings Panel Image	The Washington Star	21 Jun 1977
Assassinations Panel Picks a New Counsel	The Washington Star	21 June 1977
Full investigation	Dover, Delaware	25 June 1977
Near-Total Blackout Imposed By Assassinations Committee	The Washington Post	4 August 1977