IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

HAROLD WEISBERG, Plaintiff, v. CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al., Defendants.	)	Civil Action No. 77-1997

SUPPLEMENTARY AFFIDAVIT

Robert E. Owen, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I am the Information Review Officer for the Directorate of Operations of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA). My official position, authority and knowledge of this case remain the same as described in my affidavit of 25 May 1978 in the above-captioned litigation. The substance of my 25 May affidavit and its accompanying Document Disposition Index is incorporated by reference and made a part hereof. It will be referred to subsequently as the Owen affidavit.

2. This affidavit is intended to supplement and amplify remarks made in the Owen affidavit and to relate the rationale and the related Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) exemptions to the various documents or portions thereof withheld in this FOIA litigation. The categories of substance withheld and the related rationale expressed in the Owen affidavit are as follows:

Classification - Paragraphs 4 and 5

Intelligence Sources -- Paragraphs 7 through 14

Foreign Liaison Sources -- Paragraphs 9 through 14

Unilateral Intelligence Sources -- Paragraphs 7 and 8

Intelligence Methods -- Paragraph 16

CIA Installations Abroad -- Paragraph 15

Cryptonyms and Pseudonyms -- Paragraph 17

Identities of CIA Components -- Paragraph 18

Identities of CIA Staff Employees -- Paragraph 18

Privacy -- Paragraph 20

3. All documents originally released with portions deleted, have been annotated and copies appended. The notations are letters which have been placed in the areas from which deletions were made. The letters correspond to the letters used in the Document Disposition Index to designate the different categories of information deleted. The only deletions which are not so marked are those which contained classification markings or related information control markings, including declassification determinations. These latter markings are not deleted as being exempt under FOIA exemptions, but because classified documents processed for release under FOIA cannot be released if they are currently classified. When they are declassified, in whole or in part, removal of the classification and related information control markings is part of the administrative procedure of declassification. See paragraph 19 of the Owen affidavit.

4. In each instance in which classification is claimed as initiating an FOIA exemption, the document is clearly marked on its face with the stamped designation of the level of its classification; either CONFIDENTIAL or SECRET. In those instances in which classification is currently claimed to withhold information in a document, that determination was made in accordance with the criteria established under Executive Order 11652.

5. The comments in the Document Disposition Index regarding documents which are denied in toto are supplemented by comments in the appended Supplementary Document Disposition Index. The purpose of the supplementary comments is to make a more specific description of the application of the various exemptions cited for withholding the document.

Robert E. Owen
Robert E. Owen

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF FAIRFAX)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 6th day of October 1978.

Karen A. Finn
Notary Public

My commission expires: September 22, 1981

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

HAROLD WEISBERG, Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 77-1997
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY, et al., Defendants.	)	

SUPPLEMENTARY DOCUMENT DISPOSITION INDEX

James E. Ray Documents

Document No.	Date	No. of Pages
249	3 May 1968	1

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This document concerns the activities of an individual in a foreign country. The individual was initially mistaken for James E. Ray because of similarity in the names. The record makes it clear they are not the same individual. The text of the message consists of a report received from a foreign liaison service plus several comments about the liaison service. The report from the foreign service was received under an arrangement which provides for confidentiality for such reporting. Likewise, the information confirming the existence of a liaison arrangement between this foreign liaison service and the U.S. government is expected to be protected against unauthorized disclosure. The report is consequently classified and exempt from release in its entirety pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(1). Since the document established the fact of the foreign liaison service providing intelligence information to the United States, the document is also exempt from release pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(3) to protect against unauthorized disclosure of an intelligence source and intelligence methods. The report is concerned with an individual who is not one of the subjects of the FOIA request. Paragraph 1 on the report is a detailed medical report about the individual. Release of such a medical report would have, predictably, damaging consequences on the individual's future. On the other hand, there is no evident public benefit from release of the report. Consequently, paragraph 1 of the document, which is the bulk of the document, is additionally exempt to protect against a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(6). Some portions of the document are also additionally exempt from release for reasons set forth in entries c, d, e, and f of the original comments on this document.

250 14 May 1968 1

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: Supplementary comments for Document No. 249 apply equally to this document except that this was a memorandum to the FBI rather than a cable.

253 8 June 1968 1

Supplementary Comment: This document is a cable from a CIA installation abroad. It relates information concerning the efforts of a foreign liaison service to obtain information concerning "James Earle Galt". All of the information in this message was received from a foreign liaison service under an arrangement requiring continued confidentiality for such reports. The text of the message is therefore exempt under FOIA exemption (b)(1) since it is properly classified and also exempt from release pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(3) since its release would disclose information concerning intelligence sources and methods. The text of the report and the headings on the document contain an assortment of entries which are also exempt from release for additional reasons. They are those listed in categories d, e, and f of the original comment.

257 13 June 1968 1

Denied in toto

Supplementary Comment: Comment "a" is hereby amended to read, "a. information pertaining to intelligence methods (b)(3)."

As indicated in the original comment for Document No. 257, that document is a transmittal slip which accompanies Document Nos. 258 through 264. Document 257 explains that a name trace or, in more commonly understood terms, a search for biographic data was made on a name of an individual who was alleged to have been contacted by Mr. Ray during the time he was a fugitive. The search resulted in the retrieval of biographic information on a number of people whose names were similar to that of the person being searched, but who were not the same as that person. Document Nos. 258 through 264 are individual name trace summaries or biographic summaries of the data available on the other persons. No information was retrieved on the person of interest. The kind of personal data that is cited in the individual biographic summaries contained in Document Nos. 258 through 264 varies but there are a number of instances in which the summaries contain information that, if publicized, could cause damage to an individual's future. In one instance it is clear that the individual was involved in an intelligence activity. In another instance the comments reflect that the person was associating with an individual believed to be a Communist Party member and an intelligence operative for the Soviet KGB. Another individual was shown to have been used for intelligence activities by CIA, in an identified country abroad. Several individuals are identified as members of the Communist Party, in the United States. There is no apparent public interest in or benefit from the revelation of such information, while the likelihood of damage to the individuals' futures is predictable. Since these individuals' records

257 (cont.)

have become entangled in the records responsive to the subject FOIA request only as an accidental consequence of having names similar to an individual who was only possibly related to one of the subjects of the request, all the documents from 257 through 264 are withheld in their entirety principally to avoid a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(6). In addition to the privacy factor, some of the documents from 258 through 264 also contain information, the release of which could result in the unauthorized disclosure of the identity of an intelligence source and which have been classified to prevent the unauthorized disclosure of information which could reasonably be expected to damage national security interests by the resulting damage to intelligence activities. These documents are identifiable by the individual comments which claim FOIA exemptions (b)(1) and/or (b)(3) in addition to (b)(6). There are also instances of portions of the documents being additionally exempt from release for other reasons. They are listed in the comments on individual documents in an attempt to cite as completely as possible the variety of exempt information in the separate documents.

258 undated 1

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: See Supplementary Comment for Document No. 257 above.

259 undated 1

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: See Supplementary Comment for Document No. 257 above.

260 undated 1

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: See Supplementary Comment for Document No. 257 above.

261 undated 1

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: See Supplementary Comment for Document No. 257 above.

262 undated 1

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: See Supplementary Comment for Document No. 257 above.

263 undated 1

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: Comment "a" is hereby amended to read "a. information pertaining to intelligence methods (b)(3), and".

See Supplementary Comment for Document No. 257 above.

264 undated 3

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: See Supplementary Comment for Document No. 257 above.

265 17 April 1968 1

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This information is withheld primarily because it was obtained from a foreign intelligence source who acquired the information under unique circumstances. The circumstances combined with the information are unique enough to insure that the identity of the intelligence source would be immediately recognizable to the individual named in the report. Consequently, the entire report is withheld to protect against the unauthorized disclosure of the identity of an intelligence source pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(3) and the consequent damage to an intelligence activity in furtherance of which the document is classified and thus exempt pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(1). An additional consideration was the fact that the individual named was temporarily of interest because of being mistaken for James Earl Ray while the latter was a fugitive from justice. The possibility of damaging publicity, should the document be released, is obvious. Given the fact that the individual proved innocent of any involvement in the assassination, the public interest or benefit in publicizing the individual's identity and related personal facts is not evident. Therefore, the document also warrants protection as a whole on the grounds of privacy pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(6). Portions of the document would also be exempt for other reasons explained in categories d and e in the original comments.

271 9 April 1968 1

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This document concerned an individual mistaken for James Earl Ray when the latter was a fugitive. The text of the document is confined to information received from a foreign liaison service under an arrangement providing for confidentiality. The document must thus be withheld not only because of the arrangement which protects such information

271 (cont.)

from release and restricts access within the government but also to protect against disclosure of the fact of the existence of the liaison arrangement. The entire document is thus exempt from release pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3). The majority of the document is also exempt on the grounds of privacy and the supplementary remarks for Document No. 265 regarding privacy apply equally to this case and warrant withholding pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(6) also. Portions of the document are also additionally exempt from release for reasons cited in categories d and e of the original comments.

276 24 April 1968 2

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: The text of this document is confined to reporting received from a foreign liaison service. The information was provided by the source in the belief that it was relevant to the investigation of the assassination. The information was, in fact, relayed to the FBI in Document No. 277 immediately below. Because the information was received from a foreign government under an arrangement of confidentiality, the document must be withheld in entirety to protect against the disclosure of an intelligence source and the existence of the liaison relationship pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(3) and because the document is protected by classification and is thus exempt pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(1). Some of the information in the document relates to private details of the life of an American national which would also be exempt from release on the grounds of privacy pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(6). Other portions of the document contain categories of information which would also be exempt for additional reasons as cited in parts d, e, and f of the original comments.

277 25 April 1968 2

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: Since this document is a copy of a message transmitting the substance of Document No. 276 above to the FBI it is exempt in its entirety for the same reasons. Specifically, it is information received from a foreign government under an arrangement requiring confidentiality and the information is consequently classified. The document is therefore withheld pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3). Portions of the document are additionally exempt for reasons cited in c, d, and e of the original comments.

279 26 April 1968 6

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: As with Document Nos. 276 and 277 above, this document, which is a copy of the original report received from the foreign liaison service which is repeated in

279 (cont.)

the text of Document Nos. 276 and 277, is withheld in its entirety for the same reasons. Specifically, the document is withheld to protect against the disclosure of the existence of a foreign liaison arrangement with a specific foreign government, to protect against the disclosure of an arrangement of confidentiality and because the information is consequently classified. The document is therefore withheld in its entirety pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3). Portions of the document are additionally withheld for reasons cited in c, d, e, and f of the original comments.

283 2 May 1968 10

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This document records the transmittal of a report discussed previously in Document Nos. 276, 277, 278 and 279. The first two pages are a memorandum addressed to the FBI which contain a summary of the more interesting elements of the report. The accompanying eight pages of attachments include the original report received from an identified foreign liaison service and an English translation of the original report with a one-page letter also provided by the liaison service. The entirety of this document is withheld for the same reasons expressed earlier in the supplementary comments for Document No. 276. Particularly, the document conveys information received only from a foreign liaison service. The liaison arrangement provided for confidentiality for any information exchanged. The document is therefore exempt from release under FOIA exemption (b)(1) as a classified document and because revelation of the contents would expose the existence of an official liaison arrangement as well as the substance of a document received under said arrangement and is therefore exempt pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(3). Portions of the document are additionally exempt from release because they contain information of the kind categorized under c, d, e, and f of the original comments of this report.

285 13 May 1968 2

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This document is withheld in its entirety for two reasons. The information concerns an individual who was initially thought to be Mr. James E. Ray during the time that the latter was a fugitive. Since that was a case of mistaken identity, which this document confirms, the release of information identifying the individual could result in needless unpleasant publicity. Since there is no evidence of any public benefit or interest in such a disclosure, the individual's right to privacy seems to clearly outweigh the public's right to know. Consequently, this document is being withheld in its entirety pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(6). The document is also being withheld because the information and the manner in which it was acquired by the intelligence source involved is unique enough to

285 (cont.)

insure that the intelligence source would be identified to individuals who witnessed the circumstances under which the information was acquired. The second page of the document is a photograph of the individual along with his name and the name of the intelligence source who provided it. The first page is the document transmitting the photograph and identifying the subject. Withholding the document in its entirety is thus also justified pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3). Some of the information in the document is additionally exempt for the reason stated in paragraph b of the original comment.

286 24 May 1968 1

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This document describes the nature of an investigative effort conducted by a foreign liaison service at the request of the CIA. The document also relates that the results of the effort were negative. The document is withheld in its entirety because the release of it would acknowledge the existence of a liaison arrangement with an identified foreign liaison service and would also reveal the substance of the report provided by the foreign liaison service under an arrangement requiring confidentiality for such information. The document is consequently classified and exempt from release pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(1) and, because of the revelations regarding the liaison arrangement and liaison information received, the document is also exempt pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(3). Portions of the document are additionally exempt from release for reasons stated in paragraphs d, e, f, and g of the original comments.

288 22 April 1968 2

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This document contains information provided by a foreign liaison service. The document relates some events which the source believed could be relevant to the investigation of the assassination. The entire document must be withheld because the release of the document would reveal the existence of a liaison arrangement with a foreign liaison service. The liaison arrangement includes mutual provisions for providing a confidentiality for information officially exchanged. Since the release of this document would violate such arrangements, the information is classified and thus exempt from release pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(1). In the interest of protecting intelligence sources and methods, the entire document is also exempt pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(3). Some portions of the document are also additionally exempt from release as described in sections d, e, f, and g of the original comments.

295 June 1963 3

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This letter was an entirely personal communication from one individual to another. It seems reasonable to assume that the parties corresponding would be offended by the publication of their correspondence. On the other hand, there is no apparent public benefit to be derived by such a release therefore the document is withheld pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(6).

296 June 1963 5

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This letter was an entirely personal communication from one individual to another. It seems reasonable to assume that the parties corresponding would be offended by the publication of their correspondence. On the other hand, there is no apparent public benefit to be derived by such a release therefore the document is withheld pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(6).

297 June 1963 4

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This letter was an entirely personal communication from one individual to another. It seems reasonable to assume that the parties corresponding would be offended by the publication of their correspondence. On the other hand, there is no apparent public benefit to be derived by such a release therefore the document is withheld pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(6).

298 16 October 1964 1

Released with portions deleted.

Supplementary Comment: The original comment is amended to read:

Deleted portions contain:

a. information from a foreign liaison service (b)(1) and (b)(3)
b. information which could identify an intelligence source (b)(1) and (b)(3)
c. information pertaining to an intelligence source (b)(1) and (b)(3)
e. information confirming the existence of a CIA station in a named city abroad (b)(1) and (b)(3)

The entry, "d. cryptonyms and pseudonyms (b)(1) and (b)(3)" was erroneously shown.

314 8 April 1968 2

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This document contains information provided by a foreign liaison service. The report describes the plans of certain local political groupings to conduct public demonstrations against the American Embassy in the country. The report provided information about the plans and the leaders of this activity. To release any of the report would expose the existence of the liaison arrangement with the foreign service involved and would also violate the arrangement which provides for confidentiality for intelligence information exchanged. Consequently, the document must be withheld in its entirety pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3). Some segments of the document are additionally exempt from release as described in paragraphs c, d, and e of the original comments.

315 9 April 1968 3

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This document is a memorandum which repeats the substance of Document No. 314. This document is therefore also exempt in its entirety for the same reasons cited in the supplementary comments on Document No. 314 above. Portions of the document are additionally exempt from release for reasons cited in paragraphs d, e, and f of the original comments.

316 10 April 1968 2

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This document contains information provided by a foreign liaison service. The document relates plans and activities of a foreign political organization in its efforts to exploit the death of Reverend King. Release of this document would violate the liaison arrangement by exposing the fact of the existence of the liaison arrangement as well as information provided by the foreign liaison service under an arrangement of confidentiality. The entire document is therefore properly classified and exempt pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(1) as well as FOIA exemption (b)(3). Portions of the document are also additionally exempt from release for reasons cited in paragraphs d and e of the original comments.

325 15 April 1968 1

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: The text of this document is concerned with the substance of an intelligence report provided by a foreign liaison service. The document summarizes reporting received from a foreign liaison service about the political activities of a foreign organization and makes mention of the death of Reverend King as a propaganda subject to be exploited. The document may not be released because the information was received under an arrangement of confidentiality which requires

325 (cont.)

that such information be protected from public disclosure. Release of the document would also expose the fact of the existence of the liaison arrangement. The document is therefore withheld in its entirety pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3). Portions of the document are also additionally exempt from release for reasons cited in paragraphs c, d, and e of the original comments.

331 20 May 1968 26

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This document is concerned entirely with reporting provided by a foreign liaison service. The document contains a collection of information received from a foreign liaison service about foreign political organizations. There is an incidental reference to Reverend King's death as a possible cause for demonstrations expected by the government. To reveal the contents of the document would violate an arrangement providing for mutual confidentiality for intelligence reports exchanged. Since the release of this document would violate that agreement as well as confirm the existence of such an agreement, which is subject to the same understanding of confidentiality, the entire document must be withheld. The document is therefore exempt principally under FOIA exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3). Portions of the document are additionally exempt for reasons cited in paragraphs d and e of the original comments.

332 24 May 1968 10

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This document is a report provided by a foreign liaison service under an agreement of confidentiality. This document is a periodic report prepared by a foreign liaison service concerning the political activities of dissident political groups in a foreign country. There is mention of the plans of one group to hold demonstrations to protest the assassination of Reverend King. To reveal this document would violate the understanding and also confirm the fact of the existence of the liaison arrangement which is also privileged information, consequently, this information is exempt from release under FOIA exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3). Portions of the document are additionally exempt for reasons cited in paragraphs d, e, f, and g of the original comments.

334 9 October 1968 4

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This document is a second report received from the same foreign liaison service which provided Document No. 332. This periodic report also contains one mention of an instance in which the assassination of Reverend King

334 (cont.)

is posed as a justification for a proposed political demonstration. The liaison equities which justify withholding the document in its entirety as described in the supplementary comments for Document No. 332 apply equally to this document. The FOIA exemptions are likewise (b)(1) and (b)(3). Portions of the document are additionally exempt for reasons cited in paragraphs d, e, and f of the original comments.

336 17 September 1969 4

Denied in toto.

Supplementary Comment: This document contains two letters which are entirely personal communications between two individuals. It seems reasonable to assume that the parties corresponding would be offended by the publication of their correspondence. On the other hand, there is no apparent public benefit to be derived from such a release, therefore the document is withheld pursuant to FOIA exemption (b)(6).

MESSAGE FORM
TOTAL COPIES: 15

[redacted]

DATE: 10 APRIL 1968

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION

BY 7 PER # 120

CONF: [redacted]

RID COPY

INDEX
DESTROY
RETURN TO BRANCH
FILE
NO INDEX
FILE IN CS FILE NO.

INFO: FILE, VR [redacted]

ROUTING AND/OR INITIALS - SEEN BY
6
7
8
9
10

[redacted]

(classification) (date and time filed) (elite) (reference number)

[redacted] 11 1603 Z CITE DIRECTOR 90530

TO: FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

SUBJECT: [redacted]

1. [redacted] SUBJECT HAD AMAZING RESEMBLANCE TO PHOTOGRAPHS OF ALLEGED ASSASSIN OF DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
Date 8 Feb 1978

2. [redacted] DEPARTED [redacted] FOR HOUSTON ON PANAM FLIGHT XX EVENING 8 APRIL [redacted] REPORTEDLY SAID THAT SUBJECT ARRIVED IN HOUSTON.

[redacted]

3. THIS OFFICE HAS NO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT.

4. THE INFORMATION IN PARA ONE HAS BEEN MADE AVAILABLE TO YOUR BUREAU REPRESENTATIVE IN [redacted]

[redacted]

END OF MESSAGE

201-832793

[redacted]

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

[redacted]

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

RECORD COPY

224

COPY NO.

[redacted]

MESSAGE FORM

TOTAL COPIES: [redacted]

SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION

PER

☐ INDEX ☐ DESTROY ☐ RETURN TO. ______ BRANCH ☐ FILE RID

☐ NO INDEX ☐ FILE IN CS FILE NO.

☐ RID COPY

INFO:

FILE , VR

(elite) (pica)

(classification) (date and time filed) (reference number)

CITE DIRECTOR 90530

PAGE TWO

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

[redacted]

[redacted]

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

224

COPY NO.

16 MAY 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Attention: Mr. S.J. Papich

SUBJECT: Investigation in [redacted] of Report on Suspicious Actions of [redacted] Originally Described as Resembling Suspected Assassin of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

1. Reference is made to the report concerning the above subject made by telephone to Mr. S.J. Papich at 0030 on 17 April 1968. Following is a full account of the investigation made in this case:

A. On 16 April 1968 a United States [redacted] in [redacted] reported that one [redacted], who bore a general physical resemblance to the description given of the suspected assassin of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. had arrived in [redacted] about 15 April 1968 and requested a position [redacted] became agitated when asked for a contact address in [redacted] and refused to divulge his [redacted] residence. The [redacted] described [redacted] as 5' 10" tall, 155 pounds, with brown hair, a receding hairline on both sides, and a not very prominent scar two and one-half inches long traversing the center forehead, his most outstanding characteristic being a disconcerting, idiotic grin resembling a constant grimace. According to [redacted] documents and statements, he was born 8 April 1937, applied for [redacted] California, 16 June 1964 and registered there as a [redacted] on 21 February 1968 but never [redacted] His Social Security Number is [redacted]

[redacted]

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
Date 17 February 1978

RECORD COPY

251

201-0832132

[redacted] A, B, & C

[redacted] A, B, & C

The photograph of [redacted] bears no apparent resemblance to photographs of suspected assassin James Earl Ray provided by the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

2. The above information has been given to the Legal Attache of the United States Embassy in [redacted]

FOR THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR PLANS:

[redacted]

CSCI-316/01774-68

[redacted] (8 May 1968)

Distribution:
2 - Addressee
1 - [redacted]
1 - [redacted]
(5) - [redacted] (201-832732)
1 - [redacted]
1 - [redacted]

[redacted]

201-0832732

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

6 MAY 1968

TO : Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Attention: Mr. S. J. Papich

FROM : Deputy Director for Plans

SUBJECT: [redacted]

1. The following paragraphs summarize information relayed telephonically to the Bureau, and are forwarded to you for confirmation and for your files.

2. On 28 April 1968, [redacted] representative in [redacted] reported that [redacted] a [redacted] visited the American Embassy, at the request of the local [redacted] Ambassador, and reported that he had seen a man in [redacted] on 23 April 1968 who strongly resembled newspaper photographs of Eric Stavro Galt, who is currently wanted in connection with the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King. [redacted] said that he saw the Subject at the desk of the [redacted] Hotel on the afternoon of 23 April when both were checking out of the hotel. [redacted] was accidentally given the hotel accounting receipt for the Subject, on which he noted "Name: [redacted] In a short conversation with Subject, [redacted] learned that Subject was employed by the [redacted] and was enroute to [redacted] on an 18 month contract. Subject told [redacted] that he had recently arrived in [redacted] from [redacted] via [redacted] on [redacted] Airlines.

3. The above information was relayed to the Bureau upon receipt by our duty officer on 29 April 1968. We were advised that the [redacted] records did

[redacted]

RECORD COPY

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
Date 9-Feb-1978

[redacted]

not contain information on Subject or the [redacted] We then requested [redacted] representative in [redacted] to investigate this matter through [redacted] means and through [redacted]

4. On 29 April, [redacted]. Our representative received this information on 30 April 1968, and then visited [redacted] U. S. citizen, [redacted] and obtained the following information from a personnel file: [redacted] departed the U. S. on 9 October 1967 for employment with [redacted] He has been resident in [redacted] since that date except for the period 10-23 April, when he went to [redacted] on leave. Date of Birth: 24 April 1933. Occupation: Welder. Home Address: [redacted] Married, wife in U. S. Father: [redacted] (city and state not recorded).

5. [redacted] representative also obtained a passport photograph of the Subject from the [redacted] and is forwarding the photo to our Headquarters. Later on 30 April, [redacted]

CSCI 316/01685-68

[redacted]

201-0532932

[redacted]

B-88

18 APR 1968

SUBJECT: Investigation of Death of Martin Luther King, Junior.

1. Your Bureau representative in [redacted] provided our representative with composite photographs of the suspected killer of Martin Luther King, Jr, and asked for a check against [redacted].

2. Checks for persons appearing to resemble the composite photographs were negative [redacted] the [redacted] but 1968 an unidentified white [redacted] the description did not fit either the composite photograph or the description provided by your Bureau representative. However, our representative passed copies [redacted] to your Bureau representative with the notation that he did not appear to be identical with the Bureau suspect. [redacted]

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
Date 14 May 1978

[redacted]

A-73

[redacted]

201-0532752

326

BASIC : [redacted] (IN 93087)

PROJECT: None

LIAISON: None

[redacted]

18 April 1968

Distribution:
Orig & 1 - Federal Bureau of Investigation.
2 -
1 - [redacted]
1 -

[redacted]

NW-0832,32

B-97

25 APR 1968

SUBJECT: Investigation of Death of Martin Luther King, Jr.

1. Reference is made to our memorandum of 18 April 1968 which advised that our representative in [redacted] had passed [redacted] of an unidentified American who visited the [redacted]

2. Forwarded herewith are [redacted] passed to your Bureau representative. As noted in our 18 April memo, these [redacted] were passed to your Bureau representative with the notation that they did not appear to be identical with the Bureau's suspect. The Subject of the attached photos was described as 22-27 years of age, approximately 5 feet 9 inches to 5 feet 11 inches in height, weight, approximately 175 pounds, light brown hair, and slightly ruddy complexion.

3. As noted in our 18 April memorandum the [redacted] may be used in the course of an investigation [redacted]

[redacted]

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
Date 14 Feb 1978

[redacted]

[redacted]

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
Date 14 Feb 1978

[redacted]

[redacted]

32

[redacted]

BASIC : [redacted]

PROJECT: [redacted]

25 April 1968

[redacted]

Distribution:
Orig & 1 - Federal Bureau of Investigation
1 - [redacted]
2 - [redacted]
1 - [redacted]

[redacted]

261-0832752

205