1 WILLIAM D. KELLER 13 United States Attorney FREDERICK M. BROSIO, JR. 2 Assistant United States Attorney ETTED Chief, Civil Division 3 JAMES STOTTER II Assistant United States Attorney 1 SEP 20 (97) 1100 United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street 5 CLERK, U. S. DISTRICT COURT CEINRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Los Angeles, California 90012 6 Telephone: (213) 688-2449 DEFUT 7 Attorneys for Defendants. ENTERSE 8 'UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SEP 271976 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CLEMA U.S. SUSTEM 9 CENTRAL DISINICI OF CALIFORNIA 10 Бу Deputy 11 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF No. CV 76-0011-R CALIFORNIA, INC., a non-12 profit corporation, FINDINGS OF FACT AND 13 Plaintiff, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW . 14 v. 15 ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION; ROBERT C. SEAMANS, 16 JR., ADMINISTRATOR, 17 Defendants. ,18 19 Trial on this case was held on September 8, 1976 in the above-entitled Court for the Honorable Manuel L. Real, United 20 States District Judge, the plaintiff appearing through its counsel, 21 Barry Leigh Weissman and the defendants appearing through their 22 counsel, William D. Keller, United States Attorney, Frederick M. 2324 Brosio, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, Chief, Civil Division, by James Stotter, II, Assistant United States Attorney. 25 The Court, having considered all the pleadings, affidavits and 26 other documents filed herein as well as the exhibits and the oral 27 28 arguments of counsel presented at the time of trial, hereby makes 29 its Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law: 30 FINDINGS OF FACT 31 1. The plaintiff, through his former attorney, Joel. 32 Kreiner, brought this action pursuant to the Freedom of Information S:11 -1.. APPENDIX C 14 (-4:) +1 Civil Action No. 77-1997

	. •	
	. «,	
	1	Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. \$552, in order to obtain all records of
	2	
	3	the Founder of Scientology in the possession of the defendant
	4	
	5	agency (the Atomic Energy Commission) (Exhibit A to the Complaint)
	6	2. In accordance with the provisions of the Freedom of
	7	Information Act and the applicable ERDA's regulation, this request
	8	was duly processed and all requested documents when located were
	9	disclosed to the plaintiff with the exception of copies of
	10	Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) reports. Copies of these
	11	FBI reports which had been loaned to ERDA from the FBI are the
	12	only documents in issue in this case.
	. 13	3. The FBI documents were properly referred by ERDA to
	14	the Federal Bureau of Investigation pursuant to defendant ERDA's
	15 16-	regulations 10 C.F.R. 709.7(g) promulgated pursuant to the FOIA.
	. 10	The plaintiff was referred to the FBI.
	18	4. Each of the ERDA copies of the FBI reports contained
	19	the following or a similar statement:
	20	"Property of FBI - This confidential report and
	21	its contents are loaned to you by FBI and are
,	22	not to be distributed outside agency to which loaned."
	23	5. The copies of the six FBI documents in the possession
	- 24	of ERDA are not the defendant's documents, but are records of the
	25	FBI. The legends on the documents prevent any agency from dis-
	25	closing their contents, pursuant to a FOIA.
	27	6. There is now pending in the case of The Founding
	28	Church of Scientology of Washington, D. C., Inc. v. Edward H. Levi,
	29	et al., in the United States District Court for the District of
	30	Columbia (Civil Action No. 75-1577) on FOIA request for numerous
•	31	FBI documents. The six documents involved in this case all part
	32	of the subject documents being litigated in that Freedom of
		-2-
	MAM (43 1) 12-1-13	
	-	

·	
1	Information Act case in Washington, D. C. between Scientology
2	
3	7. The plaintiff herein, Church of Scientology of
4	California is related to the Founding Church of Scientology of
• 5	Washington, D. C., Inc. The Church of Scientology of California
6	is the senior body of Scientology in the United States, and as
7	such, its Officers are knowledgeable of all the affairs of
8	Scientology in the United States.
9	8. If the six documents in questions are to be released,
10	they should be released through related action of Scientology
11	v. <u>Levi</u> , <u>supra</u> .
12	9. The defendant, government agency, processed the
13	plaintiff's FOIA request promptly and correctly once they were
14	able to locate documents responsive to the request. They were
15	correct in referring copies of the FBI documents to the agency
16	who had originated them. Such a procedure prevents the possibility
17	of anomalous results if more than one agency has the same infor-
/ 18	mation, and tends to efficiency by leaving disclosure decisions
· 19	with the originating agency, which knows most about the matter.
20	10. The following Conclusions of Law, to the extent they
21	are deemed to be findings of fact are incorporated into these
22	Findings of Fact.
23	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
24	1. The foregoing Findings of Fact to the extent that
25	they are deemed to be conclusions of law, are hereby incorporated
26	into these Conclusions of Law.
27	2. The defendant ERDA fully complied with the provisions
28	of the Freedom of Information Act, as amended, 5 U.S.C. § 552.
29	3. The plaintiff did not substantially prevail in this
30	action and no fees or costs will be assessed against defendants.
31	U.S.C. 552)a)(4)(E).
32	
	-3-
19 M 610 11	-

4

.

•

. .

1010 He?

