

JL - Partial explanation of appeal to Shea on King political records - 1997 HW 8/2/78

This is partial only because of time. My chores take the first hour each morning. With the wet period we've had I use the exercycle at 7. After that I want to try to work continuously until the mail comes. After that I have some phoning and after that I would like to work continuously as possible until Goldberg and Katz of AIB are here.

(Long call from Jerry P. last night is informative on assassins committee.)

In what I sent you yesterday a.m. I represent the belief that was taking form about how to respond to the Reply Memo in 1997. During the day this took more form. I began.

We can't confront everything in JoAnn's long memo, exceptionally long, as you agreed, for the issue we see involved in the case. So we confront some. However few this means length for us, which means time problems for us and unwelcome length for the judge and his clerk. So I decided to reduce the length and accomplish another objective at the same time by writing Shea an appeal on the King political records and at the same time address the affidavit by SA Wood. I can attach this as an exhibit to the affidavit I have in mind for what you once called The Battle of the Affidavits. I refer to your old phrase because I believe that from their excesses and factual error and a bit because JoAnn saw fit to seek to ridicule where she was not on a good footing for the poke we may have a duplication of that situation, the one in 2052.

(If Lil gets to read what I wrote Shea so she can correct it it and this will go out in today's mail. If the AIB people can't drop a package off to you tomorrow I'll mail this then and you'll have it before the end of the week. Lil got bit up irritatingly by some small buhs sge did not see or feel - extensively - so she is sleeping little.)

I do not know how Shea will react to this appeal, particularly because he has just put a fair percentage of his people on a related subject. If he can't put people on it he might not have to if he wants to do something. If he takes it up with the FBI that may help. It can't hurt, whatever course he takes - or doesn't take.

In any even I am able to lay out a case of non-compliance on the subject and by the CIA and the FBI based on the Wood affidavit and what he did not include in it that is relevant on the subject and to compliance and intent regarding compliance.

In varying ways this is what I propose to do with other things I've noted in going over all but the attached decisions. I'm going to ignore them entirely at least for now and spend that time on the fact part.

And in this I'm going to use in each case the platform they provide.

I'll be waorking on each part separately and then I'll put it all together. This means that if we come to a point where we have no more time we can go with what I have by then done.

Without having had time to think it all through, it is my gut feeling that JoAnn's biggest mistake was to endorse the integrity of all government officials and to misrepresent me on this and at the same time misuse what Robinson said in regard to Epstein and Angleton's operation with Epstein. I will be using CIA records in this, perhaps not all as attachments. Perhaps also a bit of Colby if I have time.

I will be using some of the King records the CIA provided and I intend to use some of its past in other domestic intelligence work which involved overt illegality and lies to cover it up. I have the admission of the lie from the CIA. I've already selected the news stories for copying. The Chicom report on King is enough to require that the records provided be found in other components. Even if it did not happen only a Pratt would be willing to believe that it did not happen.

Hastily,

JL - Partial explanation of appeal to Shea on "ing political records - 1997 HW 8/2/78

This is partial only because of time. My chores take the first hour each morning. With the wet period we've had I use the exercycle at 7. After that I want to try to work continuously until the mail comes. After that I have some phoning and after that I would like to work continuously as possible until Goldberg and "atz of AIB are here.

(Long call from Jerry P. last night is informative on assassins committee.)

In what I sent you yesterday a.m. I represent the belief that was taking form about how to respond to the Reply Memo in 1997. During the day this took more form. I began.

We can't confront everything in JoAnn's long memo, exceptionally long, as you agreed, for the issue we see involved in the case. So we confront some. However few this means length for us, which means time problems for us and unwelcome length for the judge and his clerk. So I decided to reduce the length and accomplish another objective at the same time by writing Shea an appeal on the "ing political records and at the same time address the affidavit by SA Wood. I can attach this as an exhibit to the affidavit I have in mind for what you once called The Battle of the Affidavits. I refer to your old phrase because I believe that from their excesses and factual error and a bit because JoAnn saw fit to seek to ridicule where she was not on a good footing for the poke we may have a duplication of that situation, the one in 2052.

(If Lil gets to read what I wrote Shea so she can correct it it and this will go out in today's mail. If the AIB people can't drop a package off to you tomorrow I'll mail this then and you'll have it before the end of the week. Lil got bit up irritatingly by some small bugs she did not see or feel - extensively - so she is sleeping little.)

I do not know how Shea will react to this appeal, particularly because he has just put a fair percentage of his people on a related subject. If he can't put people on it he might not have to if he wants to do something. If he takes it up with the FBI that may help. It can't hurt, whatever course he takes - or doesn't take.

In any even I am able to lay out a case of non-compliance on the subject and by the CIA and the FBI based on the Wood affidavit and what he did not include in it that is relevant on the subject and to compliance and intent regarding compliance.

In varying ways this is what I propose to do with other things I've noted in going over all but the attached decisions. I'm going to ignore them entirely at least for now and spend that time on the fact part.

And in this I'm going to use in each case the platform they provide.

I'll be working on each part separately and then I'll put it all together. This means that if we come to a point where we have no more time we can go with what I have by then done.

Without having had time to think it all through it is my gut feeling that JoAnn's biggest mistake was to endorse the integrity of all government officials and to misrepresent me on this and at the same time misuse what Robinson said in regard to Epstein and Angleton's operation with Epstein. I will be using CIA records in this, perhaps not all as attachments. Perhaps also a bit of Colby if I have time.

I will be using some of the King records the CIA provided and I intend to use some of its past in other domestic intelligence work which involved overt illegality and lies to cover it up. I have the admission of the lie from the CIA. I've already selected the news stories for copying. The Chicom report on King is enough to require that the records provided be found in other components. Even if it did not happen only a Pratt would be willing to believe that it did not happen.

Hastily,

JL - Partial explanation of appeal to Shea on "ing political records - 1997 HW 8/2/78

This is partial only because of time. My chores take the first hour each morning. With the wet period we've had I use the exercycle at 7. After that I want to try to work continuously until the mail comes. After that I have some phoning and after that I would like to work continuously as possible until Goldberg and Katz of AIB are here.

(long call from Jerry P. last night is informative on assassins committee.)

In what I sent you yesterday a.m. I represent the belief that was taking form about how to respond to the Reply Memo in 1997. During the day this took more form. I began.

We can't confront everything in JoAnn's long memo, exceptionally long, as you agreed, for the issue we see involved in the case. So we confront some. However few this means length for us, which means time problems for us and unwelcome length for the judge and his clerk. So I decided to reduce the length and accomplish another objective at the same time by writing Shea an appeal on the "ing political records and at the same time address the affidavit by SA Wood. I can attach this as an exhibit to the affidavit I have in mind for what you once called The Battle of the Affidavits. I refer to your old phrase because I believe that from their excesses and factual error and a bit because JoAnn saw fit to seek to ridicule where she was not on a good footing for the poke we may have a duplication of that situation, the one in 2052.

(If Dil gets to read what I wrote Shea so she can correct it it and this will go out in today's mail. If the AIB people can't drop a package off to you tomorrow I'll mail this then and you'll have it before the end of the week. Dil got bit up irritatingly by some small buhs sge did not see or feel - extensively - so she is sleeping little.)

I do not know how Shea will react to this appeal, particularly because he has just put a fair percentage of his people on a related subject. If he can't put people on it he might not have to if he wants to do something. If he takes it up with the FBI that may help. It can't hurt, whatever course he takes - or doesn't take.

In any even I am able to lay out a case of non-compliance on the subject and by the CIA and the FBI based on the Wood affidavit and what he did not include in it that is relevant on the subject and to compliance and intent regarding compliance.

In varying ways this is what I propose to do with other things I've noted in going over all but the attached decisions. I'm going to ignore them entirely at least for now and spend that time on the fact part.

And in this I'm going to use in each case the platform they provide.

I'll be working on each part separately and then I'll put it all together. This means that if we come to a point where we have no more time we can go with what I have by then done.

Without having had time to think it all through it is my gut feeling that JoAnn's biggest mistake was to endorse the integrity of all government officials and to misrepresent me on this and at the same time misuse what Robinson said in regard to Epstein and Angleton's operation with Epstein. I will be using CIA records in this, perhaps not all as attachments. Perhaps also a bit of Colby if I have time.

I will be using some of the King records the CIA provided and I intend to use some of its past in other domestic intelligence work which involved overt illegality and lies to cover it up. I have the admission of the lie from the CIA. I've already selected the news stories for copying. The Chicon report on King is enough to require that the records provided be found in other components. Even if it did not happen only a Pratt would be willing to believe that it did not happen.

Hastily,