HW - Notes on CIA materials released to JL

9/28/64 - 298 Source and content of report withheld. Comment quotes King as saying Pope supports civil rights. (298 is attachment

mentioned in 299)

There are 5 claims to withholding although the obvious fact is of Italian origin.

- (b)(1) and (b)(3) for each a)"information from a foreign liaison service"
  - b) "information which could identify an intelligence source"
  - c) "information pertaining to an intelligence source"
  - d) "cryptonyms and pseudonyms"
  - e) "information confirming the existence of a CIA station in a named city abroad"

Last first - is this secret? Is there any intelligence agency in the world that does not know where all CIA stations are? With regard to others, is there any need to withhold? Is there any real hazard to the CIA or its ssources from disclosing? Is it secret that other intelligence and police agencies cooperate with CIA? Are the cryptonyms and pseudonyms not known to other intelligence agencies? Do they mean anything after almost 14 years and after public disclosure of them?

Does this not really represent great effort to withhold what does not have to be withheld, whether or not the withholding is justified, as I think in at least some cases it is not.

We might want to get Florence appointed to go over such withholdings.

Almost entire first page is obliterated - even original date is; it had one typing date, 10/10/64. Even addresses and sender of Dispatch eliminated.

"Comment" of 298, said to be attached, is par. 3 on page 2. Par. 4

says copy given to (obliterated). For this a total of 8 claims to (b)(1) and (b)(3) are made, those for 298 and others like them, with (b)(3) alone for "information identifying CIA organizational components." An unknown one is unlikely.

Is "information <u>pertaining</u> to an intelligence source" an exemption?

Two totally obliterated pages in addition to #298.

- 300 Typing date is 11/16/64. Chief -- and Chief -- masked. Claims are 3 - confirming existence of station so it is not that. This means "cryptonyms and pseudonyms" or "identifying CIA organizational components." None of this can be unknown.

dispatch The memo sends memo on article in CP paper  $\underline{\text{Vie Nuovo}}$  and copy of one in Rinascita, which reprints article by King from  $\underline{\text{Nation}}$ .

- 11/30/64-301 DDP to FBI on FBI saying King going to Italy. CIA says received info from unreliable source and withholds all of it. For this one graf they make 6 claims, 1st 5 (b)(1) and (b)(3) and 6 (b)(c), info. identifying CIA organizational components. The others are all like above, but in this case there is no other obliteration, so it all has to be in one short graf.
- 9/29/65 302 Every data removed from teletype. Comes from withholding claims, "would identify an intelligence source," :identifying a staff employee" and "identifying ..." organizational component (b)(3). Other 2 (b)(1) and (b)(

  This is straight domestic intelligence on a trip King and Louis Lomax planned.
- 3-30-66 303 Hides even place sending memo to Legal Attache, who is FBI, not CIA. Chief from and to obliterated. Short memo attached is 59 in another release.

7/15/66 - 304 Total obliteration page 1 except for numbers in front of items. Total obliteration page 2. 3 is unidentified note relating to a time and a dean at University of Miami and an envelope hand addressed (Motel) "Miss Maxine Thurston."

Net page is two charges on King's Diner's Club, one at a Marriott, other Sheraton Chicago Hotel, and small note "3267 N. St. NW. (?) 349"

Next same two Diner's charges and small note (other side?) "Henry 723-9125"

Opposite charges note "J. Nelson 234-5044."

Next more U address notes (Motels - Miami?)

Next memorandum of King phone calls 5/18/66 (Miami)

Next some small purchases at airport men's shop, Miami, and at Americana, NYC.

Another at Miami men's shop, airport.

Note on calendar page for King to call H. Wachtel.

Six backs of cards, apparently all NYC (also faces of cards)

Read claims to exemption, p.26. I wonder if they can have an exemption where they are forbidden to do thse things.

- = 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310.
- 311 24 pp. almost totally obliterated, all but 1 para. and a few innocuous dates connected with nothing. Note CIA asked FBI for name check on someone.
- 4/6/68 312 Cable said to be classified. It isn't. Appears to be on reaction to King assassination but that is all withheld.
  - 313 Mexico report on assassination reaction baxxxxxx and they say they have to withhold not to confirm station (same in 317, Japan)
  - 314, 315, 316 total denial

- 318 Dominican Republic
- 319 Cuban coverage, 2 copies
- No. 320
- -- 321, 322, 323, 324
  - 325 Withheld
  - 326, 327, 328
  - 329 Questions, slight obliterations (who in CIA?) only interest is were Communists behind Ray.
  - 330 Hides "station" in Paris reaction dispatch
  - 331, 332 Denied
  - 333
  - 334 Denied
  - 335 On Garrison, Fensterwald inquiry of FBI
  - 336 Denied
    - 337 CHAOS record 338
  - is to FBI on CTIA Georgetown conference from Dep. Dir. Operations 12/11/73

    Names mentioned on p. 2 did not get under PA
    - 340, 341, unnumbered

Next seems to be 419, from Trud 11/22/65?

No number - FBIS on Ray sentencing

No number on Identi-Kit composite of Ray.

5. v. 5

This is the first record I've examined to here with a classification stamp - secret!

,