## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA GIRCUIT WASHINGTON, D. C. 20001

December 12, 1973

RE: 78-1107 - Harold Weisberg v. U.S. Department of Justice, et al

Dear Counsel:

 $i^{\prime}$  Your case is approaching the point at which it may seen be calendared, in its regular turn.

Due to the time between filing briefs and calendaring, changes may occur in the status of related cases, or in the addition of new related cases, which may have a bearing on calendaring. I am writing, consequently, to inquire whether your related case statement should be brought up to date. For example, (1) is there a pending related case in this Court, (2) are there related pending proceedings in the District Court, (3) if this is an agency matter, are there related proceedings before the agency, and (4) is any case pending before the United States Supreme Court which may affect the disposition of this case. The Court is also interested, although this may not affect calendaring, whether there is any change in the related case statement as it applied to pending cases in other courts of appeals.

Additionally, if any other events or changes in circumstances raise questions concerning the calendaring of this case, that information should be communicated to the Court.

There is no necessity to respond if there are no changes to report. It would be useful if counsel confer and designate one attorney to respond, if there are changes. A response by December 22 will be appreciated.

Sincerely,

Michael Davidson Chief Staff Counsel

Sent to:

James H. Lesar, Esquire 910 16th St., N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C.

John A. Terry, Esquire Assistant U.S. Attorney

25