

1871 removed for

Dear Paul, reur 4/6/78 and enclpures

4/11/78

All of what you list in "Published Sources" (p.11) is not published so I express my immediate interests, by number: 5, if you can provide copies;10;11;12; 20,21;22.

Your 7 is probably Publishers Weekly. (The limerick is fun.)

Your 4/6/78 to Gene Wilson: I found Mark's FOIA request on the intercepts to be inadequate. I therefore filed a much broader one. Wilson said that was included in my overall JFK request and refused to comply. No action on my appeal. Or on the JFK requests. He has not, for example, told me that this was to have been completed last month. I suspect the Epstein may have caused the delay.

In general, especially now with the new turn in the litigation, Epstein's words are of great potential importance. We should try to depose him. If we do, it will be pretty much restricted to FOIA and what relates but what he has said may be useful if not directly relevant.

By now you should have received what I sent you on this.

We have another CIA case in court in which we will be exercising discovery, probably will seek to depose Wilson. Jim is too busy to have thought this through.

However, I think his/CIA discrimination is legitimately a factor in that case.

There seems to have been almost no attention to what I take it is an extensive program of Epstein's public appearances.

My mail also holds no references to it.

I am suggesting that outside the captive markets there seems to be very little interest. They may sell many books through the pre-pub arrangements but I doubt there is a great sale in the stores.

Sincerely,

1 D