

I finished reading & marking Mark  
on bus. We will not have time to  
discuss it. When D.J. argues its  
interpretations today it may  
be best approach to suggest  
briefing & to avoid possibility of  
judge acting on spur of moment &  
false D.J. interpretations. It is  
never possible to respond to all  
their dishonesties. Meanwhile,  
I find an enormous amount that  
is relevant in Wright's opinion,  
language I believe fits this  
case to me. Especially because  
without knowing what Wright  
said I address "bad faith"  
directly & with witness. In a sense  
this entire affidavit addresses  
"bad faith". The approach I have  
~~used~~ favored for years. I X

- 1) none of CIA's King  
records subject to  
(b)(7) exemption - illegal
- 2) (b)(7) (D) used in proper  
- public domain
- 3) Bad faith - lies <sup>see Ray p. 3</sup>
- 4) No Nat. Security questions  
within CIA's charter in  
any of records in request
- 5) "Incl. & generalized" affi-  
davit "out" - Ray, p 8
- 6) No showing of either law on  
face mental Nat. Security  
applicability
- 7) "adequate adversary  
testing" Ray p. 9 pte -

Next we must be sure that  
some of the appeals court is  
ready for this & along  
with 1448 appeal perhaps  
any way for me to produce  
it. In any event, I am  
now even more persuaded  
that this must be the  
~~point~~ point of our stir-  
mish line if we are to  
contend with such great  
power without wasting  
ourselves.

Telephone 202

910 Fifteenth Street, N.W.

FENSTERWALD AND OHLHAUSEN

Law Offices

FENSTERWALD AND OHLHAUS

cludes sum - 910 Fifteenth Street, N.W.

many judgment Telephone 2

- If there is any dispute  
8) Nat. Security - what DJ may  
claim to be "reasonable  
basis" not enough Ray p 12  
9) "Covering up" Ray p 14  
10) Plaintiff in camera Ray p 15