SV

At. 12, Frederick, Ed. 21701 8/15/77

Senator Charles Hathias U.S. Senate Washington, D.C. 205/0 Dear Hac,

After very long effort I've finally obtained an instalment of the FBI's records on me If you read my last letter you may recall I asked you if you had taken at face value what you and others on the burch committee had been appointed about those of us called "critics of the Warren Bommission. I have now read part of what you were given.

I am distressed that you would even appear to accept such vile stuff without even asking me about it. If it were not so Orwellian, so totally authoritarian and the practice of our own government I'd call it garbage. I knew the FBI would do this kind of thing and if I did not ask you for copies of what related to me, so that there could be a response in the committee's files, I know I asked Schweiker and Hart, meither of whom responded.

Shat I've gone through thus far is obviously incomplete for the period supposedly covered. Whether it is included, out of order, in what I am told is yet to be sent I have no way of knowing. I have passed the 11/8/66 meno to Mervin Wetson for LBJ that your committee printed without names and the attachmed meno on me. If you have retained a copy, the bottom half of the first page and the first paragraph of the second are obliterated on the copy provided to me. No reason has been given me. Bo claim to exemption is specified here or elsewhere. This has become the standard FBI device to frustrate successful appeal. If I get a claim to all the exemptions involved it will be a major job just to go through all of this again. In itself that impeded my other work. They intend to stop it, as another memo you may not have says: "The danger seems considerable if he is not stopped now."

This was in connection with a scheme they had for having an agent sue ma. I've recently deposed that authoritarian in an FOLA suit. When he made a reference to these records of which I then had no knowledge after the deposition was over, not to interfere with it, I told the AUSA and representative of the FHI Office of Legal Counsel that if he would sue I'd waive the statute of limitations. I later wrote this man the same thing, has dog him to sue and put his reputation and his work on the line. He has not responded. I did not lib el him. He work about him is accurate and faithful.

For all their power and their willingness to use it, witness adhering to break me with a grurious suit in the name of an agent, these people are like night-sneaks. They can do this kind of dirty work only in secrecy. I'll come to their actual fear of daylight in responding to the specifics not hidden in the 11/8/66 attachment.

The opening paragraph is factually inaccurate. This, too, is typical of the PELI've come to know. The purpose of the inaccuracy is put-down. I'm only a chicken farmer. By then I had not been for years and their files also show this.

Next they have my Senate employment, again with the wrong dates, and my firing. This is attributed to "permitting certain information to leak to the press. Senator LaPolletse stated that Weisberg had been dismissed for a breach of trust involving the release of confidential information to a newspaper and the Sanator was quite certain the newspaper involved what was the 'Daily Worker,' a former east coast communist newspaper."

Except that I was fired, which is not literally true, every word of this is false. I was not on the Senate payroll. I elected not to go back to the job from which I was detailed. I was the administrative assistant to the Administrator of the Farm Security Administration. I was then the committee's editor, custodian of the public recordiand nothing else. The committee held no secretificatings and I possessed no secrets to leak.

There also was no leak. The naterial was printed. You can get it from the Library

of Congress. Henry Berger came actross relevant records in the Mine Workers' records in which he was working last summer. It was a letter to ohn Lewis from erdner "Pat" Jeckson, a "swis lobbyist and a close friend of mine. It reminded me of what I had forgotten, that a superior had told me to give proofs to a reporter. However, the record was public, the volume was being printed and it was not a secret hearing. One of my jobs was to make the galley proofs available to the press instead of transcripts because the corporate officials from whom we took testimony were permitted to correct their testimony.

(Henry said he had never seen so glowing endorsement of a young men ever. This included something else I'd forgotten, that I prepared testimony for the saving of the Wagner Act and it was solid testimony that was not reducted. It was delivered by one of Lewis' top assistants. Remember, this is the 1930s.)

The reporter was not a Communist. He was an anti-Sommunist. It was not the Daily Norwer. It was a labor news service. The man was the late Henry Hon, if you knew him when he and Henry Pleischer had the public-relations agency Henry now has.

The real reason LaPollete wanted to get rid of me as that Jackson and I lobbjed another appropriation for the subcommittee he wanted to die. He got along well exough an his father's reputation. He did not like to work and on the committee he did none. I prepared his first questioning at the first hearing, having to work around the clock for days to do it while he was living it up, not even abowing up at the office. The most work he ever did was to read a briefing in advance of the hearing.

That investigation was of the migratory worker situation in California, Remember Steinbeck's Grapes of Wreth? Without me and that lobbying, which had to get around FDE and did, there never would have been that investigation. Should I be ashabed now? Or then? Was it wrong then? So the first time he had a complaint, however false it was, Larollete got his venguence in a way be could defend with his later support.

Part of the next peragraph is obliterated in my copy. I'm certain it is as prejudicial and unfaithful as what remains, that I was one of 10 fired by the State Department, because of suspicion of being a communist or baving communist sympethies. As this does say, "He was later allowed to resign without prejudice," my emphasis. In fact I led the defense of the 10 of us and arranged for it. In later withdrawing its action, much to the chapmin of Roover and the FBI, State apological for it. The headline of the day, which should have been in the files your committee had, was "backed down." It was a ploy by the Reanderthals who had moved in and taken control over more than "security" under the KoCarran Act, since held unConstitutional.

I think I wrote you after obtaining part of the State files only. When State asked the FMI for a name-check on me the FMI lied and said it had no files on me. The reason is apparent: these records cannot stand examination and they were not about to let them be examined in a loyalty hearing. That the FEI did lie is in the records I've just obtained from it, together with the high-level contortions to contrive a semantical by-pass. The deal they finally cooked up is a typical non sequetur - State had not asked them to investigate me.

Wy defense was my bono by the law firm then besied by the late Judge Dhirman Armold. I had belied him when he was in charge of DI anti-trust, with all my investigative reporting on Mari cartols and the like. He or one of the other partners interested Trs. Order Reid of the New York Herald Tribums, who assigned Bert Andrews to do a story. It is one of the many missing from the State file and the one I've just received. It included an interview with Roover in which Roover told him that there really was nothing against any of us and that if a couple had been his employees he'd have told us that we knew people we should be careful about. State was forced to "back down." We were virtually all Jews. Two were proteges of Margaret Mead.

المرابعة والمدارية والمرابعة والمرابعة المرابعة والمسابعة والمرابعة والمسابعة والمسابعة والمسابعة والمسابعة

It has been many months since I wrote State about correcting the files it did lat me have, for from all, under the Privacy Act. I still await a response and the rest of the files.

Next there is the real gen, "It is alleged that Weisberg held an annual celebration of the Russian devolution. ... a picnic at his residence end was attended by 25 to 30 km known people..."

The griv times that many people were at the farm, not the home, was on the annual puting of the Jevish Welfare Board for Washington-area service personnel and their families. And it was not at the time of the Russian revolution, which was in Movember, but in September, after the high holy days. It was arranged by a rabbi we knew. You should remember the delight of kids in seeing eggs hatch, baby chicks, ducks and goese and other farm creatures. That is what it was. They had a picuic at our farm, no more.

In the Fal's own records rether than those they pessed along the "alleged" is missing.

The actuality is that in those days, at the request of USIA, I had challenged the USA to peaceful competition in poultry. Later State asked me to go there and show them how to raise better chickens. You may not recall it but I won first price for the whole United States in the only dressed poultry competition ever held. You should remainer my promotional work for the poultry industry.

I do not know what was masked in the copies provided so. I have PM records that are less than full and much less than truthful about some, like their ganging up with the Diss condittee in an attempt to frame me. To survive that monstrous business I had to take a grand jury ever from the AUSA and them persuade it to indict the Dies agent. I think that has not happened since and I know of no earlier case. After he got past the crap the Fol had fed hit as by my persistence alone be did, that Alba, then third man in the Office of the U.S. Attorney in Washington and later chief war orines prosecutor in Tokyo. had so much respect end so much concern for me that he gave me a transcript of the grand jury testimony. He told me the time might come when I might need it for my defense, given what he had seen. If he were not dead I would not be telling you this. While the transcript is not in my office I can locate it if you have any doubts. Tou know he risked him entire career in an effort to atone for what he had almost done to a kid, which is what I then was. The FEI had had two agents confine me, illegally, to pressure me into signing a false confession. I merely sat and refused. When they blinked they let me call my lawyer, partner in the law fire Drew Pearson arranged for Jackson's defense and wins. Jackson's friends, like Ween Acheson and others of that firm, who I met through Jackson, ran like bell when he asked then to be counsel. The union did not dare provide commel because the whole fraceup had as its added purpose getting the union movement.

The Fol has not given me the copy of the false confession it tried to pressure me and intimidate me into signing. However, it slipped up and gave me a record showing they had actually distributed it to FMI offices. I have a record referring to it. And so Dies had to cop a plea for his wretched minion.

The same name was also in on a real plot to throw Roosavelt out. I made the mistake of trusting the FMI with those record; believing they'd be returned. It was a shuddering thing. It involved the highest military personage. Now that I'm getting my records together for an archive for some years I've been trying to recapture these records for deposit, for attribute today and for the future. The Department of Justice has provided contemporaneous proof that the FBI lied to me over the disposition of them. The FBI alaims to have destroyed them, meaning a copy they made. The Criminal Pivision returned them to the FBI after the alleged date of destruction. I await the next lie.

In the PHI records there is an allegation that I was a co-worker, put in a warmer way, with one supported of involvement in the Pregory case. If my recollection is correct that was the case of a liberal Hew Max eal economist, Pregory Silvermaster. The maximi reference seems to be that my boss when I worked for the government had been at a party with him. I know my boss because the government put me to work under him. I an ant know what him.

politics were. I know he was a liberal. I know he praised me for a large research job I had done, to identify the prominent Communist labor leaders in one of the four latin. American areas into which the Pivision was divided. (So did the man with whom I was fired for whom I did it end another with whom I was fired who wanted me to do it for his area. Obviously this kind of information was essential for out diplomats.) The alleged "Gregory" man was driven out of the country as he was hounded from job to job, last I heard of him he was in Canada, teaching past the age of retirement to make it. To had just published the first of a two-volume anti-Castro study.

I can only wonder what else was fabricated, what was obliterated from the poison sent to the White House, what exists in the records still withheld. I have never been a Communist That I was a New Feeler appears like an epithet in the apooks' former secrets - when I was part of the New Feel government. That I am not even a party-liming Damocrat you should know very well. I doubt I have known a dozen Communists in my 64 years. I have known many more who could be called fascists.

Two aspects of this trouble me much. One is that you appear to have let yourself be influenced unilaterally well as you know me and my beliefs. That you would credit such stuff without even asking me and not respond when I raised the question with you. The other is that this reflects to me the capability of the FMI and others like it to intimidate the Congress while the Congress is actually investigating it and them. They show you what they can do to you and the Congress trembles, and it is a fact, these kinds of authoritarians have the means and the disposition to hurt those who oppose authoritarianism, which they represent. A political figure who has to run for re-election has something to think about.

In the records I have received there is a theme of reporting, critical of my faith in the Congress to clean up the mess the executive agencies and the Commission made in the JFI assassination. I do not have that faith today. As you may have seem I've been exposing the dishonesties of the House committee, which is updated McCarthyism to me in unquestified criticisms of the agencies. I guess the middle is a lonely place and that Wordsworth was correct about being in the forefront in an era of change. By first book, you may recall, asked for Congress to investigate. You spoke to Hanny Celler about it in 1965. The FET does not like that. These refords show it.

In the records I have gone over I do not think there is a single page that is truthful and fair. Some of the contrivences, especially about the perfection of the Director when he made terrible mistakes, are sickening. They differ in degree only from what I have seen in captured files of enemy authoritarization. That I am saying is that there is involved much more than the hurt to me. This represents a clear and present danger to the country and to a free system of society.

That hurt to me was the design is explicit. Prior to obtaining these records I had obtained others in an POIs suit. They spell it out. This is now in a court record in a case in which the FBI is a defendent. They have not challenged it in any way. They cannot. It is their own record, their own words, the diktat of their dictator.

Perfection is not a human state, hac. Bow that my health is again impaired I am more inclined, especially when I go over records like these, to consider my own record. My record for accuracy is entirely untouched. I have no reason to be ashised of my record for integrity. I do find, thanks to the FBI's entirely improper spring, that I was much too conservative at the beginning, much toogenerous in seeking justifications for wrongloing.

When we last met I was recovering from postmonia and pleurist. Shortly theresiter I was hospitelized for acute thrombophishis. By then there had been permanent danage. I feat it has increased since. There now is an incompletely diagnosed arterial complication. I have undertaken an enormous job. I will do as much of it as I can as well as I can for whatever good it can do, whatever value it can have. I have arranged for a permanent archival all my records. This includes the poison I am seeking from the government. I have waived all personal privacy rights in the archive. But I will rise to defend my rejutation if it

incinitament !

is challneged. To date it has never been to my face. Only the kind of venon to which you end your colleagues have been subjected. To the degree it is possible for me to do it on paper I will correct this evil propagands.

Widespread as non-compliance is I have obtained enough to provide a basis. This is a sample. In time perhaps there will be none. The Clabas not yet complied with my requests for the records on me, from 1971 they have not complied. They have given me a few pages, less that I had obtained elsewhere, and have been sitting on an appeal for years. I hope to be able to sue all these authoritarians.

In one of the FOLA suits I took the witness stend lest year to tick off cases of FSI perjury. I was not cross exemined on this. No agent came to testify in his own defensa. FSI counsel as well as the AUSA had a crack at cases—examining me and daring to try to pull some of the stuff you've been subjected to. The AUSA pooped out on the cross examination and the FSI lawyer remained silent.

I will not accept official perjury if I can do nothing about the willingness of the courts to tolerate it. I also will not be intiministed by these people. The wrong they do must be ended or the country is not asie. I fear the permanent harm to the nation from the wrong that has taken most of my recent years.

In the authentic sense of the abusid word, not that given it by the Hoovers, the McCarthys, the Dieses and their kind, I consider myself a patriot and my work a patriotic enteavor. By work is not and never has been the pursuit of a whodunit. It addresses the functioning of the basic institutions of our society. When they melfunction we are all in danger and so, in my view, is representative society and any meaningful concept of freedom.

Whether or not you respond I do ask that if you have such stuff as I have cited in your files you keep a copy of this with it. If this can still be done with the records of the Church committee I would appreciate that.

Sincerely

Earold Weisberg