Insofar as I can determine, the brief in No. 82-1229 is good. I've lost track of the issues involved, but this seems to address them well for the space permitted.

However, you set a new record for typos. They appear on 16 pages that I caught (and there may be more because I read this while riding) and I suspect there is a factual error on 2 pages.

I'll enclose copies to save you time because with som many perhaps you want to provide corrected copies with an apology and explanation of the time pressures.

On 20 and again on 39 you refer to the number of Dallas pages not previously processed as 2,369. My recollection is that there were something like 3,000-3,500. Did they come in two sections? There is correspondence providing the number of pages and, of course, I may remember incorrectly.

Page 40 in this copy was out of place.

The driving was for the regular surgical checkup and Hufnagle was satisfied and told me not to slack off in the walking therapy. He did Doeppler's on the left foot and both insteps, where they check for circulation to the toes, and both were apparently OK.

He is not too concerned about the fluctuation in the protimes, which continue.

Tomorrow morning will be consumed in this test and the monthly visit to the podiatrist and by the time we have lunch it will be time to take bill to a medical appointment she has. The days sure get consumed on me!

Lardner phoned me yesterday about a new book, by Norton, author Jean Davis, on Oswald and roughly in support of the official line. He'd not read much but I'd been told more by the NFR guy. I think it would not be a bad idea if Mark can find a copy, which might be out before pub day, to read and annotate it, particularly for omissions and unwarranted interpretations. I will when I getbe copy because I understand that Norton has a big push behind this one, willobe spending much to advertise and promote it.

I've just gotten back, as usual the trip tired me, and I'll nap for a bit. Nothing else new.

Best,