Dear Dave, 9/14/78

This is a story that can get entirely lost in a very large mass. Because I think you'll enjoy it I take time for a brief account before bed.

You may remember that I told you I'd get peckwith, FBI or no FBI.

We did it today. Intellectual judo was a factor, fact the rest.

Yesterday I took 'im 300 or may FBI pages on Willie Somersett. This proved that the FBI had been lying in 75-1996 about its claimed inability to retrieve. More, it held the kinds of information the FBI swears it has to withhold- and does from me. The records were obtained by a friend of mine, to whom I've been speaking about this for a long period of time. I'd just gotten them from him. Beckwith filed a 68-page affidavit 8/11 alleging the need to withhold and the impossibility of providing what had not been provided. Including a Somersett item.

I'd also alleged that the worksheets were bad, even with erasures. So he swore there are no erasures and provided a copy to prove it. (With this his exhibit Z I make the bade pun and call this Code-Bame Z.)

I didn't think I'd make that kind of mistake so I checked. He had a special and unusually neat, legible and clear worksheet made. He made no erasures on it. "e gave it to the judge instead of the actual one. So this morning I gave 'in copies of the real and the fake and he gave it to the judge. (St. James was more modest than I'd asked or preferred.) He said these things typify why we are still in court and allowed as how it isn't really proper to have an unindicted co-conspirator working on FOIA cases. Or even fair to said U-I CC.

The judge was unable to keep her face still. She was really angry. She did not raise her voice or anything like that. She said this was obstructionism and that she wanted Shea "in charge" and she did not want to see Beckwith in this case again.

Jim ordered a copy of the transcript so we'll have copies. I also asked him to make a copy for Shea. Shea stopped off for a pleasant visit with two very attractive sons as he was going home on vacation, From, rather. I told him then that while I regretted having to bother with such things there seemed to be no other way of ending the outpouring of false Beckwith affidavits so I'd just have to get him. I'd have liked to be with him today when he got the word.

And of all the stupid things, the Government attorney rushed up to the podium after Jim finished to defend Reckwith as a fine gentleman who always provides just the kind of affidavits they want. Judenrat in skits.

These people are so high on raw power there is no certainty but I have a sneaking suspicion the affidavits will be sherter and less brazen. I do not predict that they'll stop the stonewalling and just get the famned case over with. They'll have another and I've predicted to Jim who it will be.

Now don't get any wrong ideas. We will not play favorites. No bias in favor of the FBI. The day of the CIA will come and we're walking to it. (I can't run anymore.)

Why the appeals court was so anxious for us to get the transcript case before it is now clear. We both look forward to it now. You should get and read the "arks and Ray decisions it just headed down in CIA cases. These other people can't come up with the kinds of nuts and bolts we do and they are looking for nuts and bolts. Jim's brief hits the right spots well - bas faith. It will help if the committee puts Nosenko on. (They may use a tape, transcripts of interviews or a videotape, with him in a hood. When I suggested to Jim that they'd cover his face be broke up, remembering that the Post carried his picture and we have it in the record.)

See what happened when you did not get here on time? Best, This is what you missed.