1116 M

This explanation and update while I enjoy the putpouring of a real good sweat.

Trom moving more earth and filling up a flowerbed for Ldl, digging holes for eggplants and filling them with manure, and even building up the lowe side of the lane. Creat!

No trouble with legs, arms or yawning. At least not yet. And as soon as I cool off and rest I'm going back for another load.

Right now bil is typing what for me is a rare affidavit - the kinf you like short. Three pp. double-spaced on this machine.

I've also kept it simple. I ever resisted the temptation to add a second exhibit.

Here is what happened. Early this morning I returned to the 0692 affidavit I had completed drafting last night. I wanted only a few fact to add, like dates and numbers, as I read and correct it. So I was lloking for the date of release of the 0.R report. As I looked through a file in which I thought I might have it I came accross this single 3-pp letter to artingh that, I believe, exemplified how detailed and procise my leuters were once they asked for Serial numbers.

There are many more like this but it will be some time before I can get to all of them. I'm not even sure Lil made up a complete files of my letters to the FBI/ on 1996. But this single one has so many explanations of why withholdings were not justified, even their informent claims, so many itemizations of serial number, something like two dozen, I'd guess, and it even includes an expression of concern over taking the unnecessary before the judge.

Unfortunately it was the only latter in that file, so it is the only example. But I believe it makes the point. And makes clear that yone and others lied to the judge. It even includes that I cannot possible make notes on all the Serials. In short, it addresses many of the current issues but as of a year ago.

I think you can file it with no more than a Memorandum to the Court.

It will be an added basis for a Vaughn v Rosen renewal or for much more than I can see as possible pace we have a complete enough foundation for it.

on the stuff given to Halperin, there is no doubt that it includes a file that was withheld from you, some of what was in Hoover's 'ersonal and Confidential File on King. "ardner read me that. I'll work it into the affidavit I've wrafted for that. Haybe I'll learn more by the time I've gone over it.

I'm glad there is not the protest rush on that. I'm hoping that if I have time I can find ways of shortening it without eliminating subject matter content. Fearing of 0692, not the assassination. I also want to get it over with so I can do other things.

Finding this letter made me thing. I believe that I suggested some time ago, for when you have time, making a discovery move to determine what the FBI did with all those letters. They are going to have some trouble explaining away letters like that of 5/1/77 and its specifies. It should not take many of them and FBI records showing that they did nothing - ignored it all - to make a real case. And of course this bears heavily on the falsity of the Civil representation that I provided "nothing." Sure they used that in connection with the consultancy, where it is less than true. But using it in that limited way was its own kind of dishonesty. I think the faster we can lay it on them as heavily as we can the shorter all this all will be and the more successful.

If I can get a notary the affidavit and exhibit will be enclosed, with carbons so you won't have to take time for copying.

Best,