

Dear Jim,

5/21/78

What I'd likes in C.A. 75-1996

with the usual introduction.

You can't stay as tied up as you are. I've been more weary than usual since Wednesday. This, in general, has been true beginning with the rains of about the first of the month. There is a gradual increase in my weight, not serious, but this in spite of the diuretic. These are among the considerations that make me want to seek to force it to a decision - whatever the decision may be. I am not uneasy about this because there is no doubt about your fee now. And I'm not a bit uneasy about the decision.

Wednesday will probably be devoted to a single aspect, the consultancy. I have no desire to alter that. I mean by this that I am not asking you to raise any other questions. If there are any you want to raise, do so. I want this newest affidavit to get around in DJ circles, where it will be read, and for there to be an opportunity for the clerk if not the judge to read it. You, too. And if you think there are other areas it is necessary to address, I'll address them in still another affidavit.

As of this minute I've read it and given all to Lil, who had to begin typing it before I finished drafting it. A hell of a way to work but no real choice. I plan only a short addition at the end and a single added exhibit, O'Leary as lamplighter, if you remember the poem.

What do I want? Compliance with my original request. On some items complete compliance. On others I'll compromise. Plus the delivery of unexpurgated prosecutorial volumes. And only first-person attestations of compliance, from specified files. I mean listed, so I can check. I mean to include the political request, for the non-bedroom stuff on King, the only request I added. Remember, I was told I'd get it without making the request but I did make it.

I want to be firm on this. I want the DJ and the FBI to confront the consequences of all these dirty tricks. Not for vengeance. To end them, at least with us. I want them to have to pay the cost and to have to account for it. Otherwise we'll be paying the same kinds of costs we've paid forever.

If the judge is unwilling to face this now she will never be willing. My belief is that she has come to realize that she has been imposed upon by them. By now I believe she may see that the least undesirable of her alternatives is firmness. She will never have a better record with which to be firm. Nor will we for asking for firmness and compliance.

If you do not agree let us discuss it.

Best,