

44-1000-1000

Thanks for your note on the (my preference) Low-Life pictures and the principle we may establish by it. We are indeed aware of the principle. So was the judge, whose decision was properly narrow. She and/or her clerk also did some of their own research, citing what JL had not. JL and I have been marvelling at the power-mad and hung-up crazies of the FBI who needlessly force us to seek to establish precedents. There have been a few, you know. All unnecessary, all because the stone walls have stone heads. And DJ supports them and this counter-productive approach. I'm assuming I sent you a copy of the order. We have heard not a word since then. We both feel they'll appeal, as you seem to take for granted. I believe that the judge also was aware of this and wanted the limited principle to be established. We'll see. And hope. HW 2/24/78

Harold -
Congratulations on your victory in the Low Life pictures case. This establishes a very important principle, as you are aware. Hope the Ct of Appls. feels the same!

Best -
OR